

AT A RECONVENED MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF JAMES CITY COUNTY, HELD ON THE FOURTEENTH DAY OF JANUARY 1985 AT 6:30 P.M. IN THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER BOARD ROOM, 101 MOUNTS BAY ROAD, JAMES CITY COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

A. ROLL CALL

Stewart U. Taylor, Chairman, Stonehouse District
Jack D. Edwards, Vice-Chairman, Berkeley District
William F. Brown, Roberts District
Perry M. DePue, Powhattan District
Thomas D. Mahone, Jamestown District

James B. Oliver, Jr., County Administrator
Darlene L. Burcham, Assistant County Administrator
Frank M. Morton, III, County Attorney

Mr. Taylor made a motion to go into Executive Session to discuss personnel matters pursuant to Section 2.1-344(a)(1) of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

The work session recessed at 7:05 p.m.


James B. Oliver, Jr.
Clerk to the Board

AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF JAMES CITY, VIRGINIA, HELD ON THE 14th DAY OF JANUARY NINETEEN HUNDRED EIGHTY-FIVE AT 7:35 P.M. IN THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER BOARD ROOM, 101 MOUNTS BAY ROAD, JAMES CITY COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

B. ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING

Mr. Oliver opened the floor for nominations for chairman.

Mr. Brown nominated Mr. Edwards.

Mr. DePue made the motion to close the nominations.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, DePue, Mahone, Taylor
(4). NAY: (0). Mr. Edwards abstained.

Mr. Edwards opened the floor for nominations for vice-chairman.

Mr. Taylor nominated Mr. Mahone.

Mr. DePue made the motion to close the nominations.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, Edwards, DePue, Taylor
(4). NAY: (0). Mr. Mahone abstained.

Mr. Edwards presented a plaque to Mr. Taylor in appreciation for his service as Chairman in 1984.

Mr. Mahone made the motion to approve the resolution establishing the meeting dates and rules that the Board would follow in 1985.

RESOLUTION

Organizational Meeting of the Board of Supervisors

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of James City County, Virginia, is requested by State law to organize at the first meeting in January.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of James City County that the following rules shall apply for the year 1985.

1. Regular meetings of the Board shall be held on the second Monday of each month at 7:30 p.m. and the fourth Monday of each month at 3:00 p.m. in the Board Room of the James City County Government Center; provided, however, that in the following months the meetings shall be held on the following dates:

May 6th at 7:30 p.m.
May 20th at 3:00 p.m.

September 9th at 7:30 p.m.
September 30th at 3:00 p.m.

October 7th at 7:30 p.m.
October 21st at 3:00 p.m.

November 4th at 7:30 p.m.
November 18th at 3:00 p.m.

2. That the Board shall for parliamentary purposes follow Robert's Rules of Order and more specifically those provisions which pertain to the conduct of Business in Boards, Newly Revised, 1970 at p. 405 as follows:

AAJ775

Procedure in Small Boards. In a board meeting where there are not more than about a dozen members present, some of the formality that is necessary in a large assembly would hinder business. The rules governing such meetings are different from the rules that hold in assemblies, in the following respects:

Members are not required to obtain the floor before making motions or speaking, which they can do while seated.

Motions need not be seconded.

There is no limit to the number of times a member can speak to a question, and motions to close or limit debate (15, 16) generally should not be entertained.

Informal discussion of a subject is permitted while no motion is pending.

The Chairman can speak in discussion without rising or leaving the chair; and, subject to rule or custom within the particular board (which should be uniformly followed regardless of how many members are present), he usually can make motions and usually votes on all questions.

Mrs. Burcham read the list of appointments to the Boards and Commissions.

Social Services Board	Stewart U. Taylor
Advisory Council - 9th Judicial District	Thomas D. Mahone
Chamber of Commerce	Perry M. DePue
Community Action Agency	Will Taylor for Jack Edwards Don Messmer for William Brown Anthony Conyers for Thomas Mahone
Courthouse Committee	Perry M. DePue Jack D. Edwards
Farmers Advisory Committee	Stewart U. Taylor
New Horizons Task Force	Perry M. DePue
Pamunkey River Study Committee	Stewart U. Taylor
Peninsula Planning District Commission	Thomas D. Mahone William F. Brown
Planning Commission	William F. Brown
Sanitary District #1 Board	Thomas D. Mahone William F. Brown
Transportation Safety Commission	Thomas D. Mahone
Virginia Peninsula Economic Development Council	William F. Brown
Water Task Force	Stewart U. Taylor Jack D. Edwards
Clean County Commission	C. Hammond Branch
Planning Commission	Fred Belden
Wetlands Board	Ralph D. Cobb

Mr. Edwards made a motion to approve the appointments.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, Edwards, DePue, Mahone, Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

**C. MINUTES - December 17, 1984 - Work Session
December 17, 1984 - Regular Meeting**

Mr. Mahone made a motion to approve the minutes as presented.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, Edwards, DePue, Mahone Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

D. PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. Case No. Z-17-84. Timber Ridge Apartments

Mrs. Victoria Gussman presented this matter to the Board. She stated Daniel J. Jessee had applied on behalf of the Barnett-Range Corporation to rezone approximately 28 acres of an 89.3 acre tract, known as the Jefferson-Pilot tract, from B-1, General Business, to R-5, Multi-family Residential. Mrs. Gussman stated that moderate density development would tend to act as a buffer between higher intensity commercial uses and nearby existing and planned residential development.

Mrs. Gussman stated the applicant had submitted a revised proffer which would provide for the future dedication of two main internal streets and a 20-foot strip along the John Tyler Highway for future road widening. Mrs. Gussman stated the proffer would also provide for a 100-foot undisturbed strip along Route 5. Mrs. Gussman stated the applicant had proffered to make the improvements recommended by the Highway Department at the Carolina Boulevard entrance by having turn lanes and a traffic light installed. Mrs. Gussman stated the applicant would install a traffic light even if the Highway Department did not require one. Mrs. Gussman stated on November 27, 1984 the Planning Commission voted by a 7-2 vote to recommend approval of this development.

Mr. Edwards opening the public hearing.

1. Mr. Daniel J. Jessee, applicant, stated that after meeting with community citizens there were two main issues: (1) the size of the project and (2) traffic on Route 5. Mr. Jessee said the Planning Commission stated the size of the development was not appropriate and, therefore, the applicant was now applying for 350 units. Mr. Jessee stated a study was conducted and submitted to the Highway Department offering turn lanes and tapered lanes of 400 feet. He stated a traffic light would still be installed by the applicant if the Highway Department did not require it. He stated they would go along with the State Highway Department recommendations.

Mr. Brown asked Mr. Jessee what the proposed rental range would be for the development.

Mr. Jessee stated that rates in the late fall would range from \$400 - \$520, but the square footage of the apartments offered would be larger than the average in the area.

Mr. Brown asked Mr. Jessee what amenities would be offered.

Mr. Jessee responded there would be a club house with exercise rooms, a pool with a jacuzzi, two tennis courts, a jogging trail, and a standard park playground facility.

Mr. DePue asked Mr. Jessee if there might be a title change to the property.

Mr. Jessee stated the Barnett-Range Corporation would be the title holder.

Mr. DePue asked Mr. Jessee what assurances he had that a second road would be available for residents.

Mrs. Gussman stated that in the site plan review process the Highway Department requires a second road for further development.

2. Mrs. Pat Dubay, 5196 John Tyler Highway, stated she was pleased the applicant had decreased the number of units, but stated it was the same density of 10 units per acre. She stated she had a petition with 350 signatures opposing this development and presented it to Mr. Edwards.

3. Mr. George Strong, 142 Cooley Road. Mr. Strong stated the density was not decreased and his main concern was the traffic on Route 5. Mr. Strong stated numerous trucks used this road and by installing a traffic light at Carolina Boulevard it would be extremely hazardous for traffic coming around the curve at that location slowing down enough to prevent hitting traffic stopped at the light. Mr. Strong stated this development was too dense for the area and did not blend in with the community. He stated the citizens felt commercial development would be preferable. Mr. Strong stated he wondered if such

AAJ775

projects really paid for themselves or if the tax payers paid for it and if it was then in the best interest of the community. Mr. Strong stated he was opposed to the zoning change the applicant proposes and encouraged the Board to deny his request.

4. Mr. Michael Giesing, 100 Paddock Lane, stated he moved to this area because of the low density. Mr. Giesing stated his main concerns were: (1) the traffic on Route 5 was very heavy; he stated trucks used this road as a shortcut and often exceeded the speed limit; (2) He wanted to know just what services would be offered to the citizens of the community; and (3) The project only decreased in the number of units, and density did not change.

Mr. Edwards closed the public hearing.

Mr. Brown stated that this item should be deferred until the Board knew of the status of the traffic light at Carolina Boulevard by the State Highway Department.

Mr. DePue stated he wanted to know the answer about the traffic light as well as view pictures of other Barnett-Range Corporation projects.

Mr. Mahone stated good facilities were offered by the development. Mr. Mahone stated the Comprehensive Plan called this a commercial area and his concern was that this development would be a drastic change and might over-tax water and sewer lines. Mr. Mahone also stated the density was a problem and the second entrance on Route 5 would need to be addressed by the owner. Mr. Mahone stated a density of 10 units per acre was not compatible with the area. Mr. Mahone stated the Zoning Ordinance was being worked on now and one of the areas being discussed was density which he feels is too liberal now.

Mr. Brown stated apartments would be preferable to commercial offices. Mr. Brown stated more development is being considered along Route 5 and by installing a traffic light it would help to regulate the traffic. Mr. Brown stated the size of the apartments and the rental range would not bring in many school-aged children and he felt the developer had modified their plans to people's desires. Mr. Brown stated he would like more information before the next meeting.

Mr. Edwards stated the main issue was whether the density was appropriate for the area. Mr. Edwards stated the traffic was worse in other parts of the County.

Mr. Brown made a motion to defer the resolution.

On a roll call, the vote was: AYE: Brown, DePue, Edwards, Mahone, Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

D-2. Case No. Z-19-84. Reybold Homes, Inc.

Mrs. Victoria Gussman presented this matter to the Board. She stated Larry R. Cooke had applied on behalf of Development Properties, Inc. to rezone approximately 179.16 acres from A-2, Limited Agricultural, to R-2, Limited Residential, and R-3, General Residential. Mrs. Gussman stated the comprehensive plan designated the entire area for low density residential development and suggested an overall density of up to four dwellings per acre. Mrs. Gussman stated the major subdivisions surrounding the area were zoned R-1. Mrs. Gussman stated on November 27, 1984 the Planning Commission voted by a 7-2 vote to recommend denial of this case.

Mr. Edwards opened the public hearing.

1. Mr. Larry Cooke, applicant, stated that after receiving feedback from community citizens as to their opposition to increased traffic, Development Properties, Inc. requests a change from proposed R-3 zoning to all R-2 zoning and requested the Board of Supervisors to defer this item back to the Planning Commission. Mr. Cooke stated they had no answers to the traffic problem and meetings with Mr. Maeder, Superintendent of the National Park Service, had not resulted in a solution of screening on the Colonial Parkway.

Mr. Edwards asked Mr. Frank Morton, County Attorney, if it would be appropriate to send this item back to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Morton stated it was not necessary to send the item back to the Planning Commission. Mr. Morton stated the Board could vote on it tonight as R-2 amended or as it is presented.

Mr. DePue asked Mr. Morton if the Board had to act on the proposal tonight.

Mr. Morton stated the Board had to take action on the proposal within one year.

Mr. Brown stated that a substantial amount of the property was covered by floodplain. Mr. Brown stated that Mr. Cooke should have some plans made and goals set and indicate the intent of the property. Mr. Brown stated that if Mr. Cooke could not furnish more information at this time he would like to vote on it as R-2 or defer the matter back to the Planning Commission.

Mr. Cooke stated he was willing to discuss issues which would satisfy his needs and the needs of the people.

2. Mr. Jack P. Kirtland, 343 Neck-O-Land Road, stated he was not against development but felt it should be zoned R-1. Mr. Kirtland stated he felt this development would bring in duplex apartments resulting in higher density. Mr. Kirtland stated many people moved to that area because of the rural setting close to the city and they don't want to have it changed so that is why the area should not be zoned anything other than A-1 or R-1. Mr. Kirtland stated his traffic figures differed from those of the Planning Department, his being higher. Mr. Kirtland presented Mr. Edwards a petition with 222 signatures requesting the Board to zone the area A-1 or R-1. Mr. Kirtland stated the entrance created a traffic problem and the road was not wide enough and information from Frank Hall, Resident Engineer, indicated it would be 25 years before the next updating of this road.

3. Mr. Victor D. Shone, 415 Neck-O-Land Road, stated people should do with their property as they pleased but traffic limits them. Mr. Shone stated there was only one access road to Powhatan Shores and with the heavy traffic in that area many children were in danger as well as the possibility of school bus accidents. He requested the Board not to consider any zoning other than R-1. Mr. Shone also stated Mr. Cooke may have met with some people but Mr. Kirtland, himself, and others were not notified of any meetings and were told by the developer that detailed plans were too costly to present to the people. Mr. Shone stated he wanted more information.

4. Mr. Barry Marten, 8 Lavelle Court, stated people moved to Powhatan Shores for a rural setting. Mr. Marten stated with this new development traffic would increase because of high density. Mr. Marten stated the Colonial Parkway would be affected which would not be in the best interest of the County or Neck-O-Land residents.

5. Mr. Robert E. Gilley, 2130 Lake Powell Road, encouraged an R-1 subdivision. Mr. Gilley stated Mr. Cooke did not meet with all people involved. Mr. Gilley also stated 60 acres of the proposed rezoning property belonged to one owner, the rest by other families.

6. Mr. Richard Maeder, Superintendent of the National Park Service, stated he and Mr. Cooke had not reached any agreement for the preservation of scenic views along the Colonial Parkway. Mr. Maeder requested the Board to keep screening along the Colonial Parkway in mind if they voted on the issue tonight, otherwise he stated he would like proper wording in a proffer which would protect the scenic views along the Colonial Parkway.

Mr. Larry Cooke stated in response to Mr. Shone's comments that he had comments back from residents, not discussions with residents. Mr. Cooke stated residents were not in favor of a meeting and he did all that he could. Mr. Cooke stated he felt the Colonial Parkway would benefit from the development and screening was acceptable to him. Mr. Cooke stated that R-1 development could not continue because people could not afford it.

Mr. Edwards closed the public hearing.

Mr. DePue stated the application needed to be more specific. Mr. DePue stated that he is not in favor of R-2 or R-3 zoning.

AAJ775

Mr. Mahone stated there were many acres along Neck-O-Land Road to be developed and any rezoning should be R-1.

Mr. DePue made a motion to approve the resolution denying the proposal.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, DePue, Edwards, Mahone, Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION OF DENIAL-ZONING CASE NO. Z-19-84. REYBOLD HOMES, INC.

WHEREAS, in accord with Section 15.1-431 of the Code of Virginia, and Section 20-14 of the James City County Zoning Ordinance, a public hearing was advertised, adjoining property owners notified, and a public hearing scheduled and conducted on January 14, 1985, for Zoning Case No. Z-19-84 for rezoning 52.8 acres from A-2, Limited Agricultural, to R-2, Limited Residential and 126.36 acres from A-2, Limited Agricultural to R-3, General Residential on property identified as parcel (1-42) on James City County Real Estate Tax Map No. (47-4), and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission following its public hearing on November 27, 1984 voted to recommend denial of Case No. Z-19-84.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of James City County does hereby deny Zoning Case No. Z-19-84 as described herein.

3. Case No. Z-20-84. Minimum Off-Street Parking Zoning Ordinance Amendment

Mr. Edwards opened the public hearing, and as no one wished to speak, he closed the public hearing.

Mr. Edwards stated there was a need to have green space between parking lots and this Ordinance amendment might eliminate that space. Mr. Edwards stated the owner should purchase adequate property to cover this green space.

Mr. Brown stated that the Site Plan Review Committee would only eliminate the green space when necessary.

Mr. Brown made a motion to approve the resolution.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, DePue, Edwards, Mahone, Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

E. CONSENT CALENDAR

Mr. Edwards asked the Board members if they wished to have any items removed from the Consent Calendar.

Mr. Mahone made a motion to approve the items on the Consent Calendar listed under E-1.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, DePue, Edwards, Mahone, Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

1a. CUP-11-84. Jesse Ferrell

RESOLUTION

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

CASE NO. CUP-11-84

WHEREAS, it is understood that all conditions for the consideration of an application for a Conditional Use Permit have been met;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of James City County that a Conditional Use Permit be granted for the placement of a temporary mobile home on property owned and developed by the applicant as described below.

Applicant:	Mr. Jesse Ferrell
Real Estate Tax Map ID:	(59-2)
Parcel No.	(1-6)
District:	Roberts
Zoning:	R-5, Multi-family Residential
Permit Term:	The permit term shall expire at the end of two years from this date or the date of the certificate of occupancy of the residences to be constructed on the site, whichever is first.
Further Conditions:	The trailer shall meet all required setbacks. Sanitary facilities shall be provided at all times.

1b. Community Development Revolving Loan Fund

RESOLUTION

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT REVOLVING LOAN FUND

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of James City County administers revolving loan funds whereby previous home improvement loans under the Community Development Block Grant Program are repaid and then used for additional rehabilitation loans; and

WHEREAS, said repayments are currently deposited in the General Community Development Fund, and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of James City County hereby authorizes the creation of a separate fund identified as the Community Development Revolving Loan Fund and authorizes the deposit of monies received from loan repayments, final grant drawdowns, investment income, and other miscellaneous sources.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of James City County hereby authorizes the County Treasurer to establish a bank account for the purposes of depositing said funds with disbursements as authorized by general appropriation or specific approval of the Board of Supervisors.

1c. Case No. SP-85-84. Ford's Colony Information Center

RESOLUTION

SITE PLAN APPLICATION CASE NO. SP-85-84
FORD'S COLONY INFORMATION CENTER

WHEREAS, approval of the site plan has been recommended by the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 20-72 of the James City County Zoning Ordinance; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that approval be granted of the plan for the proposed development of property as described below and in accordance with the conditions below.

AAJ775

Applicant: Mr. Drew Mulhare on behalf of Ford's Colony at Williamsburg, Inc.

District: Powhatan

Zoning: R-4, Residential Planned Community

Parcel No. (2-1)

Tax Map No.: (31-4)

Further Conditions: The site plan must show the water line running to the building.

A land disturbing permit application must be filled out and returned.

A siltation agreement with surety for \$800 must be executed prior to issuance of a land disturbing permit.

The existing eight inch sanitary sewer must be properly labeled (the road side ditch is incorrectly labeled as an existing eight inch sanitary sewer.)

Adequate lighting must be provided if the uses which are served by the parking lot will be in operation at night. The lighting in the parking lot must be directed so as not to produce objectionable glare on adjacent property or streets and no fixture shall exceed a height of thirty feet.

1d. Case No. CUP-12-84. The Woolfolk Companies

RESOLUTION

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

CASE NO. CUP-12-84

WHEREAS, it is understood that all conditions for the consideration of an application for a Conditional Use Permit have been met;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of James City County that a Conditional Use Permit be granted for the placement of a temporary mobile home on property developed by the applicant as described below.

Applicant: Woolfolk Companies

Real Estate Tax Map ID: (50-2)

Parcel No. (9-3)

District: Roberts

Zoning: M-1, Limited Industrial

Permit Term: The permit term shall expire at the end of one year from this date or the date of the certificate of occupancy of the project to be constructed on the site, whichever is first.

Further Conditions: The trailer shall meet all required setbacks.

Any entrance to the site from Pocahontas Trail shall be approved by

the Virginia Department of Highways
and Transportation.

1e. Installation of Street Lights

RESOLUTION

INSTALLATION OF STREET LIGHTS

WHEREAS, a petition has been filed for the installation of additional street lights in James City County; and

WHEREAS, street lighting plans and cost estimates have been prepared by the Virginia Electric and Power Company and reviewed by the County; and

WHEREAS, funds are available in the FY 1984-85 budget for the installation and annual rental charges.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors approves the installation of two additional street lights on Centerville Road near Settlers Lane and one additional street light at the end of Tewning Road.

1f. Set Public Hearing Date of February 11, 1985 for:

- (1) Case No. Z-18-84. David M. Murray
- (2) Case No. Z-21-84. Mary Kempton
- (3) Oak Road Right-of-Way Acquisition and East Williamsburg Refuse Container Site Acquisition

1g. Street Name Change

RESOLUTION

STREET NAME CHANGE

WHEREAS, Section 17-38 of the Subdivision Ordinance of James City County provides the James City County Board of Supervisors with the authority to rename streets; and

WHEREAS, a street name change has been requested by Ford's Colony at Williamsburg, Inc. to change Middle Plantation Drive to Ford's Colony Drive.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of James City County hereby changes the name of a street now named Middle Plantation Drive to Ford's Colony Drive.

2. Case No. SP-87-84. Amended Kingsmill Golf Course

Mr. DePue made a motion to approve the resolution.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Edwards, DePue, Mahone, Taylor (4).

NAY: (0). Mr. Brown abstained.

RESOLUTION

SITE PLAN APPLICATION CASE NO. SP-87-84
AMENDED KINGSMILL GOLF COURSE (WELL PUMP)

WHEREAS, approval of the site plan has been recommended by the Planning Commission in accordance with Section 20-72 of the James City County Zoning Ordinance; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that approval be granted of the plan for the proposed development of property as described below and in accordance with the conditions below.

AAJ775

Applicant: Mr. Norman Mason on behalf of Busch Properties, Inc.
 District: Powhatan
 Zoning: R-4, Residential Planned Community
 Parcel No.: (1-1)
 Tax Map No.: (50-4)
 Further Conditions:

F. BOARD CONSIDERATIONS

1. Crossroads Community Youth Home

Mrs. Darlene Burcham presented this matter to the Board. Mrs. Burcham stated this resolution would authorize formal County participation in the Court Services Management Board by joint agreement and would conceptually approve County participation in the securing of a new location for Crossroads. Mrs. Burcham stated this resolution would not commit to a special location.

Mr. Brown stated he supported the resolution. He stated it did not select a particular site and before the County committed funds for this project, the General Assembly would act on whether the County received funds back.

Mr. DePue stated he wanted the staff to continue working on issues before an official contract was established. Mr. DePue stated he is more agreeable to \$26,000 than \$55,900.

Mr. Mahone stated he did not like the wording of the resolution. He stated the second paragraph stated "a piece of property" which to him means a site has been selected. He stated he did not agree with the resolution.

Mr. DePue made a motion to approve the resolution.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, Edwards, Mahone, Taylor (4).
 NAY: Mahone (1).

RESOLUTION

**AUTHORIZATION FOR THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO
 EXECUTE A JOINT MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT
 FOR CROSSROADS COMMUNITY YOUTH HOME**

WHEREAS, a need has been identified to secure a new facility for the Crossroads Community Youth Home; and

WHEREAS, after several years of search and negotiations, a piece of property has been located which contains the necessary features for the operation of a group home; and

WHEREAS, the Crossroads Community Home is managed jointly by the Counties of York, James City, and Gloucester, and the City of Williamsburg, and in light of this multi-jurisdictional arrangement it is deemed prudent to develop a working agreement for signature by each jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, due to the unique funding arrangements available to this project, each participating jurisdiction is required to provide an amount up front to purchase the property with said amount for the County of James City being approximately \$55,900, of which approximately \$39,000 can reasonably be expected to be reimbursed by the State Department of Corrections under the provisions of Section 16.1-313 of the Code of Virginia;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the James City County Board of Supervisors, that the Board expresses its support for the concept of the joint management and operation of the Crossroads Community Youth Home and realizes that a need exists for its relocation and that such a

relocation will incur a financial commitment on the part of James City County of an amount of approximately \$55,900.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County Administrator be authorized to execute a joint agreement with participating jurisdictions for the management of the Court Services Management Board.

G. MATTERS OF SPECIAL PRIVILEGE

Mr. Oyer addressed the Board about a Landfill problem. Mr. Oyer stated the landfill was being used incorrectly and it was an eye-sore to the community. Mr. Oyer presented Mrs. Burcham with a petition with signatures from community residents.

H. REPORTS OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

1. Televising Board of Supervisors Meetings

Mr. Tom Collins, of the Cable Advisory Committee, presented this matter to the Board. Mr. Collins stated by televising Board meetings the citizens would be provided with a greater view of County activities and would have the opportunity for increased participation. Mr. Collins stated a three-month trial period should be used and after the three months, a survey by high school students could be made to determine public interest. He stated if public interest was shown, the Cable Advisory Committee would seek volunteers to broadcast the meetings. Mr. Collins stated the Cable Advisory Committee had received an estimate of \$25 per hour for video production services.

Mr. DePue asked Mr. Collins if the video equipment was available and if it would be operated by a professional for the first three months.

Mr. Collins stated the equipment was now available and would be operated by a professional.

Mr. DePue stated he was in favor of this project and felt it was essential. He stated he would be in favor of funding this project for the first year.

Mr. Taylor stated he was in agreement with this project and felt high school students could be used in the survey.

Mr. Oliver suggested the Board fund the program through this fiscal year through an appropriation from contingency of \$1,000.

Mr. Brown made a motion to authorize an appropriation from contingency of \$1,000 for a three-six month trial period of televising Board meetings.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, Edwards, Mahone, DePue, Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

Mr. Oliver stated he was concerned with gaps in our Ordinances. He encouraged the Board to let staff know when they feel there is a problem with an Ordinance.

Mr. Oliver suggested the Board convene into Executive Session at the appropriate time to discuss real estate and personnel issues.

I. BOARD REQUESTS AND DIRECTIVES

Mr. Brown stated the monitoring of the Sewage Treatment facility was no longer necessary.

Mr. DePue stated that if an Ordinance was not specific, the administration should immediately go to the Board with changes or if there is not cause to change the Ordinance, a report stating the reasons for supporting the intent.

Mr. DePue stated he was not in favor of a 10% salary increase for school administrators.

Mr. Mahone stated the litter law needed to be enforced rather than violators being warned.

AAJ775

Mr. Edwards made a motion to go into Executive Session to discuss acquisition of real estate and personnel issues pursuant to Section 2.1-344(a)(2) and (1) of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, Edwards, DePue, Mahone, Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

The meeting convened into Executive Session at 10:27 p.m.

The Board reconvened into public session at 11:00 p.m.

Mr. DePue moved approval of the Ordinance to acquire real property for the Landfill.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, Edwards, DePue (3). NAY: Mahone, Taylor (2).

Mr. Brown made a motion to recess until 1:00 p.m., January 28, 1985.

On a roll call, the vote was AYE: Brown, Edwards, DePue, Mahone, Taylor (5). NAY: (0).

The board recessed at 11:04 p.m.


James B. Oliver, Jr.
Clerk to the Board

ORDINANCE NO. 31A-87

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND AND REORDAIN CHAPTER 20, ZONING, OF THE CODE OF THE COUNTY OF JAMES CITY, VIRGINIA, ARTICLE I, IN GENERAL, SECTION 20-12, MINIMUM OFF-STREET PARKING SUBPART A, GENERAL PROVISIONS AND SUBPART B, DESIGN, PARAGRAPH 1.

BE IT ORDAINED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of James City, that Chapter 20, Zoning, of the Code of the County of James City, Article I, In General, Section 20-12, Minimum Off-Street Parking Subpart A, General Provisions and Subpart B, Design, Paragraph 1, are is hereby amended and reordained.

CHAPTER 20

ZONING

ARTICLE I. IN GENERAL

Section 20-12. Minimum Off-Street Parking

A. General Provisions

3. Off-street parking spaces shall be used solely for the parking of vehicles in operating conditions by patrons, occupants or employees of the use to which such parking is accessory. Permanent storage of vehicles shall not be allowed. Storage of vehicles for sale shall not be allowed.

4. Site plans, in accordance with Article II of this Chapter, shall be submitted for all new off-street parking areas with ten (10) or more spaces, or for any additions to existing off-street parking areas.

5. Parking areas required by this Section are intended to accommodate the off-street parking needs of the customers and employees of commercial, institutional, industrial, and residential uses. They are specifically intended to eliminate the need for parking along adjoining streets and roads. As such, all required parking areas shall be generally accessible and free of charge to the customers and employees they are designed to serve. Separate lots for employees and customers may be permitted; but parking for a fee, meter or rent of the minimum number of spaces required by this Section shall be by Conditional Use Permit only.

6. Parking spaces for the handicapped and any necessary curb cuts and ramps shall be provided in all parking lots in conformance with the standards for numbers and design found in the Virginia Uniform Statewide Building Code.

B. Design.

Parking areas shall be arranged for functional efficiency and convenience and shall be designed to be amenable to surrounding property. Parking areas accessory or otherwise, containing more than ten (10) parking spaces, shall comply with the following:

1. The parking area shall be separate from the street right-of-way and property lines by a landscaped strip at least ten (10) feet in width. Ingress and egress shall be provided through driveway openings only. In the event a parking lot is adjacent to a parking lot on another parcel, the required landscaped strip along the common property line between the two parking lots may be waived by the Site Plan Review Committee.

AAJ775