### A G E N D A JAMES CITY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS READING FILE County Government Center Board Room 101 Mounts Bay Road, Williamsburg, VA 23185 April 12, 2016 6:30 PM

## A. FOR YOUR INFORMATION

1. FY17-FY21 Capital Improvement Program

## **ITEM SUMMARY**

3/12/2016
The Board of Supervisors
Jose Ribeiro, Senior Planner II and Leanne Pollock, Senior Planner II
FY17-FY21 Capital Improvement Program

# ATTACHMENTS:

	Description	Туре
D	Memorandum	Staff Report
D	Ranking Criteria	Backup Material
D	Summary Ranking Spreadsheet	Exhibit
D	Minutes February 11	Minutes
D	Minutes March 3	Minutes
D	Minutes March 21	Minutes
D	Material from LHS Boosters	Exhibit
D	Material from a citizen	Exhibit
D	Material submitted to staff via e-mail	Exhibit

## **REVIEWERS:**

Department	Reviewer	Action	Date
Planning	Holt, Paul	Approved	3/28/2016 - 9:31 AM
Development Management	Purse, Jason	Approved	3/28/2016 - 11:16 AM
Publication Management	Burcham, Nan	Approved	3/28/2016 - 11:19 AM
Legal Review	Kinsman, Adam	Approved	4/1/2016 - 10:36 AM
Board Secretary	Fellows, Teresa	Approved	4/1/2016 - 10:42 AM
Board Secretary	Hill, Bryan	Approved	4/2/2016 - 10:48 AM
Board Secretary	Fellows, Teresa	Approved	4/5/2016 - 10:23 AM

### **MEMORANDUM**

DATE:	April 12, 2016
TO:	The Board of Supervisors
FROM:	Jose Ribeiro, Senior Planner II Leanne Pollock, Senior Planner II
SUBJECT:	FY17-FY21 Capital Improvement Program

The Planning Commission annually ranks Capital Improvement Program (CIP) requests submitted by various County agencies. The purpose of this task is to provide guidance to the Board of Supervisors regarding priority projects during the budget process.

As described in the Code of Virginia, the CIP is one of the methods of implementing the Comprehensive Plan and is of equal importance to methods like the Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances, official maps and transportation plans. The Policy Committee uses a standardized set of ranking criteria to prioritize projects. Committee members evaluated each request for funding and produced a numerical score between 10 and 100. The scores generated by individual Committee members were then averaged to produce the Committee's final score and priority. The Planning Commission's ranking criteria are attached for reference (Attachment No. 1).

In Attachment No. 2, the CIP project requests from County agencies and Williamsburg-James City County (WJCC) schools are summarized. The only proposed County project that has been previously included in the Board's five-year CIP is the Stormwater Division request. Some of the improvements proposed by WJCC schools were included in prior CIPs; however, estimates and completion timelines have been amended. The projects are listed from highest to lowest. Staff received more detailed applications for each project; however, rather than provide every application in the meeting packet, staff has included a brief summary for each project in Attachment No. 2. If there is any specific project for which a Board member is interested in having more detailed information, please refer to the CIP materials posted online at:

http://jamescity.novusagenda.com/AgendaPublic/CoverSheet.aspx?ItemID=1204&MeetingID=177.

### Recommendation

At a special meeting on March 21, 2016, the Planning Commission voted 7-0 to endorse the FY 17- FY 21 CIP priorities as prepared by the Policy Committee and revised by the Planning Commission to serve as a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors. The Planning Commission's revision involved adjusting the ranking for the Lafayette High School auxiliary gym application from the 14th to the 5th position. The recommendations are listed below in rank order. (Please note that projects that had tie scores from the Policy Committee share the same project rank number.)

FY17-FY21 Capital Improvements Program April 12, 2016 Page 2

- 1. Stormwater neighborhood drainage (a)
- 2. Transportation match (a)
- 3. Jamestown Beach Event Park improvements
- 4. James City County Marina
- 5. Lafayette High School auxiliary gym (a)
- 6. Jamestown High School entrance redesign
- 7. Norge Elementary School entrance redesign
- 7. D.J. Montague Elementary School entrance redesign
- 9. Berkeley Middle School entrance redesign
- 9. Lafayette High School entrance redesign
- 11. Toano Middle School entrance redesign
- 11. Stonehouse Elementary School entrance redesign
- 13. Stonehouse Elementary School bus canopy (a)
- 14. Chickahominy Riverfront Park splash pad (a)
- 15. Lafayette High School walkway

### (a) Indicates that the project has requested funds in FY 17.

For the purposes of assisting in the preparation of the budget, the Policy Committee and the Planning Commission recommend that the Board of Supervisors consider the aforementioned CIP rankings and recommendations.

JR/LR/nb FY17-FY21CIP-mem

### Attachments:

- 1. Policy Committee Ranking Criteria
- 2. Policy Committee Capital Improvement Program Summary Spreadsheet
- 3. Approved Policy Committee minutes from February 11, 2016
- 4. Unapproved Policy Committee minutes from March 3, 2016
- 5. Unapproved Planning Commission Minutes from March 21, 2016
- 6. Materials Submitted by the Lafayette High School Athletics Boosters dated March 21, 2016
- 7. Material Submitted by Frank Polster at the Planning Commission meeting on March 21, 2016
- 8. Materials Submitted to Staff via e-mail dated March 18 and 21, 2016

# CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM RANKING CRITERIA James City County Planning Commission

## SUMMARY

The Capital Improvement Program ("CIP") is the process for evaluating, planning, scheduling, and implementing capital projects. The CIP supports the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan through the sizing, timing, and location of public facilities such as buildings, roads, schools, park and recreation facilities, water, and sewer facilities. While each capital project may meet a specific need identified in the Comprehensive Plan or other department or agency plan, all capital plans must compete with other projects for limited resources, receive funding in accordance with a priority rating system and be formally adopted as an integral part of the biannual budget. Set forth below are the steps related to the evaluation, ranking, and prioritization of capital projects.

## A. DEFINITION

The CIP is a multi-year flexible plan outlining the goals and objectives regarding public capital improvements for James City County ("JCC" or the "County"). This plan includes the development, modernization, or replacement of physical infrastructure facilities, including those related to new technology. Generally a capital project such as roads, utilities, technology improvements, and county facilities is nonrecurring (though it may be paid for or implemented in stages over a period of years), provides long term benefit and is an addition to the County's fixed assets. Only those capital projects with a total project cost of \$50,000 or more will be ranked. Capital maintenance and repair projects will be evaluated by departments and will not be ranked by the Policy Committee.

## **B. PURPOSE**

The purpose of the CIP ranking system is to establish priorities for the 5-year CIP plan ("CIP plan"), which outlines the projected capital project needs. This CIP plan will include a summary of the projects, estimated costs, schedule and recommended source of funding for each project where appropriate. The CIP plan will prioritize the ranked projects in each year of the CIP plan. However, because the County's goals and resources are constantly changing, this CIP plan is designed to be re-assessed in full bi-annually, with only new projects evaluated in exception years, and to reprioritize the CIP plan annually.

## C. RANKINGS

Capital projects, as defined in paragraph A, will be evaluated according to the CIP Ranking Criteria. A project's overall score will be determined by calculating its score against each criterion. The scores of all projects will then be compared in order to provide recommendations to the Board of Supervisors. The components of the criteria and scoring scale will be included with the recommendation.

## D. FUNDING LIMITS

On an annual basis, funds for capital projects will be limited based on the County's financial resources including tax and other revenues, grants and debt limitations, and other principles set forth in the Board of Supervisors' Statement of Fiscal Goals:

- general obligation debt and lease revenue debt may not exceed 3% of the assessed valuation of property,

- debt service costs are not to exceed 10-12% of total operation revenues, including school revenue, and
- debt per capita income is not to exceed \$2,000 and debt as a percentage of income is not to exceed 7.5%.

Such limits are subject to restatement by the Board of Supervisors at their discretion. Projects identified in the CIP plan will be evaluated for the source or sources of funding available, and to protect the County's credit rating to minimize the cost of borrowing.

## E. SCHEDULING OF PROJECTS

The CIP plan schedules will be developed based on the available funding and project ranking and will determine where each project fits in the 5 year plan.

# CIP RANKING CRITERIA Project Ranking By Areas of Emphasis

**1. Quality of Life (20%) -** Quality of life is a characteristic that makes the County a desirable place to live and work. For example, public parks, water amenities, multi-use trails, open space, and preservation of community character enhance the quality of life for citizens. A County maintenance building is an example of a project that may not directly affect the citizen's quality of life. The score will be based on the considerations, such as:

- A. Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, strategies and actions set forth in the Comprehensive Plan?
- B. Does the project support objectives addressed in a County sponsored service plans, master plans, or studies?
- C. Does the project relate to the results of the citizen survey, Board of Supervisors policy, or appointed committee or board?
- D. Does the project increase or enhance educational opportunities?
- E. Does the project increase or enhance recreational opportunities and/or green space?
- F. Will the project mitigate blight?
- G. Does the project target the quality of life of all citizens or does it target one demographic? Is one population affected positively and another negatively?
- H. Does the project preserve or improve the historical, archeological and/or natural heritage of the County? Is it consistent with established Community Character?
- I. Does the project affect traffic positively or negatively?
- J. Does the project improve, mitigate, and / or prevent degradation of environmental quality (e.g. water quality, protect endangered species, improve or reduce pollution including noise and/or light pollution)?

## Scoring Scale:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
The project does not affect or has a				The project will have some positive impact					The project will have a large positive
negative affect on the				on quality of life.					impact on the quality
quality of life in JCC.									of life in JCC.

**2. Infrastructure** (20%) – This element relates to infrastructure needs such as schools, waterlines, sewer lines, waste water or storm water treatment, street and other transportation facilities, and County service facilities. High speed, broadband or wireless communication capabilities would also be included in this element. Constructing a facility in excess of facility or service standards would score low in this category. The score will be based on considerations such as:

- A. Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, strategies and actions set forth in the Comprehensive Plan?
- B. Does the project support objectives addressed in a County sponsored service plan, master plan, or study?
- C. Does the project relate to the results of a citizen survey, Board of Supervisors policy, or appointed committee or board?
- D. Is there a facility being replaced that has exceeded its useful life and to what extent?
- E. Do resources spent on maintenance of an existing facility justify replacement?
- F. Does this replace an outdated system?

Capital Improvement Program Ranking Criteria

- G. Does the facility/system represent new technology that will provide enhance service?
- H. Does the project extend service for desired economic growth?

## Scoring Scale:

V									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
The level of				There is a					The level of need is high,
need is low				moderate level					existing facility is no longer
				of need					functional, or there is no
									facility to serve the need

**3. Economic Development (15%)** – Economic development considerations relate to projects that foster the development, re-development, or expansion of a diversified business/industrial base that will provide quality jobs and generate a positive financial contribution to the County. Providing the needed infrastructure to encourage redevelopment of a shopping center would score high in this category. Reconstructing a storm drain line through a residential neighborhood would likely score low in the economic development category. The score will be based on considerations such as:

- A. Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, strategies and actions set forth in the Comprehensive Plan?
- B. Does the project support objectives addressed in a County sponsored service plan, master plan, or study?
- C. Does the project relate to the results of a citizen survey, Board of Supervisors policy, or appointed committee or board?
- D. Does the project have the potential to promote economic development in areas where growth is desired?
- E. Will the project continue to promote economic development in an already developed area?
- F. Is the net impact of the project positive? (total projected tax revenues of economic development less costs of providing services)
- G. Will the project produce desirable jobs in the County?
- H. Will the project rejuvenate an area that needs assistance?

## Scoring Scale:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Project will				Neutral or will					Project will have a positive
not aid				have some aid					impact on economic
economic				to economic					development
development				development					

**4. Health/Public Safety (15%)** - Health/public safety includes fire service, police service, safe roads, safe drinking water, fire flow demand, sanitary sewer systems and flood control. A health clinic, fire station or police station would directly impact the health and safety of citizens, scoring high in this category. Adding concession stands to an existing facility would score low in this category. The score will be based on considerations such as:

- A. Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, strategies and actions set forth in the Comprehensive Plan?
- B. Does the project support objectives addressed in a County sponsored service plan, master plan, or study?

- C. Does the project relate to the results of a citizen survey, Board of Supervisors policy, or appointed committee or board?
- D. Does the project directly reduce risks to people or property (i.e. flood control)?
- E. Does the project directly promote improved health or safety?
- F. Does the project mitigate an immediate risk?

### Scoring Scale:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Project has no or minimal impact on health/safety				Project has some positive impact on health/safety					Project has a significant positive impact on health/safety

**5. Impact on Operational Budget (10%) –** Some projects may affect the operating budget for the next few years or for the life of the facility. A fire station must be staffed and supplied; therefore it has an impact on the operational budget for the life of the facility. Replacing a waterline will not require any additional resources from the operational budget. The score will be based on considerations such as:

- A. Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, strategies and actions set forth in the Comprehensive Plan?
- B. Does the project support objectives addressed in a County sponsored service plan, master plan, or study?
- C. Does the project relate to the results of a citizen survey, Board of Supervisors policy, or appointed committee or board?
- D. Will the new facility require additional personnel to operate?
- E. Will the project lead to a reduction in personnel or maintenance costs or increased productivity?
- F. Will the new facility require significant annual maintenance?
- G. Will the new facility require additional equipment not included in the project budget?
- H. Will the new facility reduce time and resources of city staff maintaining current outdated systems? This would free up staff and resources, having a positive effect on the operational budget.
- I. Will the efficiency of the project save money?
- J. Is there a revenue generating opportunity (e.g. user fees)?
- K. Does the project minimize life-cycle costs?

## Scoring Scale:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Project will have a negative impact on budget				Project will have neutral impact on budget					Project will have positive impact on budget or life- cycle costs minimized

**6. Regulatory Compliance (10%)** – This criterion includes regulatory mandates such as sewer line capacity, fire flow/pressure demands, storm water/creek flooding problems, schools or prisons. The score will be based on considerations such as:

- A. Does the project addresses a legislative, regulatory or court-ordered mandate? (0- 5 years)
- B. Will the future project impact foreseeable regulatory issues? (5-10years)

- C. Does the project promote long-term regulatory compliance (>10 years)
- D. Will there be a serious negative impact on the county if compliance is not achieved?
- E. Are there other ways to mitigate the regulatory concern?

## Scoring Scale:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Project serves				Project serves					Project serves an
no regulatory				some regulatory					immediate regulatory need
need				need or serves a					
				long-term need					

**7. Timing/Location (10%)** - Timing and location are important aspects of a project. If the project is not needed for many years it would score low in this category. If the project is close in proximity to many other projects and/or if a project may need to be completed before another one can be started it would score high in this category. The score will should be based on considerations such as:

- A. Is the project in conformance with and supportive of the goals, strategies and actions set forth in the Comprehensive Plan?
- B. Does the project support objectives addressed in a County sponsored service plan, master plan, or study?
- C. Does the project relate to the results of a citizen survey, Board of Supervisors policy, or appointed committee or board?
- D. When is the project needed?
- E. Do other projects require this one to be completed first?
- F. Does this project require others to be completed first? If so, what is magnitude of potential delays (acquisition of land, funding, and regulatory approvals)?
- G. Can this project be done in conjunction with other projects? (E.g. waterline/sanitary sewer/paving improvements all within one street)
- H. Will it be more economical to build multiple projects together (reduced construction costs)?
- I. Will it help in reducing repeated neighborhood disruptions?
- J. Will there be a negative impact of the construction and if so, can this be mitigated?
- K. Will any populations be positively/negatively impacted, either by construction or the location (e.g. placement of garbage dump, jail)?
- L. Are there inter-jurisdictional considerations?
- M. Does the project conform to Primary Service Area policies?
- N. Does the project use an existing County-owned or controlled site or facility?
- O. Does the project preserve the only potentially available/most appropriate, non-County owned site or facility for project's future use?
- P. Does the project use external funding or is a partnership where funds will be lost if not constructed.

## Scoring Scale:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
No critical timing				Project timing OR					Both project timing AND
or location				location is					location are important
issues				important					

8. Special Consideration (*no weighting- if one of the below categories applies, project should be given special funding priority*) – Some projects will have features that may require that the County undertake the project immediately or in the very near future. Special considerations may include the following (check all applicable statement(s)):

А.	Is there an immediate legislative, regulatory, or judicial mandate which, if unmet, will result in serious detriment to the County, and there is no alternative to the project?	
B.	Is the project required to protect against an immediate health, safety, or general welfare hazard/threat to the County?	
C.	Is there a significant external source of funding that can only be used for this project and/or which will be lost if not used immediately (examples are developer funding, grants through various federal or state initiatives, and private donations)?	

Attachment 2

### FY17 - 21 - CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM RANKING SPREADSHEET

Applying Agency	Project Title	Brief Project Description (see application narratives for more detail)	FY17 Requested \$	FY18 Requested \$	FY19 Requested \$	FY20 Requested \$	FY21 Requested \$	Total Requested \$	Agency Ranking	FY 17 Policy Committee Score:	Special Considerations*	Priority	Other notes
General Svcs.	Improvement and Water Quality	Projects involving drainage improvements installation or upgrading pipe and ditch systems. Utilizing natural channel design techniques for stream restoration projects.	\$2,703,000	\$2,634,000	\$2,493,000	\$2,510,000	\$2,204,000	\$12,544,000	1 of 1	78	Y, A	1	This project has requested funds in FY17.
Planning	Transportation Match	Improvements to the segment of Longhill Road between Route 199 and west of the OldeTown Road/Longhill interseection.	\$1,500,000	\$2,485,250	\$2,485,260	\$4,599,000	\$1,944,500	\$13,014,010	1 of 1	75	Y, C	2	This project has requested funds in FY17.
arks & Rec		Installation of restroom facilities, providing electrical power to event area, paving of roads and drop off areas and installation of picnic areas.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,633,000	\$1,633,000	1 of 3	65		3	
Parks & Rec	James City County Marina	Replacement of bukheads with vegetated shoreline and floating docks and replacement of a fuel tank.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$880,000	\$1,340,000	\$2,220,000	2 of 3	59	Y	4	
VJCC Schools	Lafayette High School Auxiliary Gymnasium	Building new space for additional recreational and instructional use.	\$2,450,679	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,450,679	5 of 10	35		5	This project has requested funds in FY17.
VJCC Schools	Jamestown High School Entrance Redesign	Redesigning the entrance so pedestrian traffic entering the school building must funnel through the front office.	\$0	\$159,650	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$159,650	1 of 10	52		6	
JCC Schools.	Norge Elementary School Entrance Redesign	Redesigning the entrance so pedestrian traffic entering the school building must funnel through the front office.	\$0	\$85,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$85,000	3 of 10	51.1		7	
JCC Schools	D.J. Montague Elementary School Entrance Redesign	Redesigning the entrance so pedestrian traffic entering the school building must funnel through the front office.	\$0	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$120,000	2 of 10	51.1		7	
JCC Schools	Lafayette High School Entrance Redesign	Redesigning the entrance so pedestrian traffic entering the school building must funnel through the front office.	\$0	\$0	\$90,177	\$0	\$0	\$90,177	6 of 10	50.9		9	
JCC Schools	Berkeley Middle School Entrance Redesign	Redesigning the entrance so pedestrian traffic entering the school building must funnel through the front office.	\$0	\$0	\$90,176	\$0	\$0	\$90,176	7 of 10	50.9		9	
JCC Schools	Toano Middle School Entrance Redesign	Redesigning the entrance so pedestrian traffic entering the school building must funnel through the front office.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$92,882	\$0	\$92,882	8 of 10	50.7		11	
JCC Schools.	Stonehouse Elementary School Entrance Redesign	Redesigning the entrance so pedestrian traffic entering the school building must funnel through the front office.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$142,055	\$0	\$142,055	9 of 10	50.7		11	
JCC Schools	Stonehouse Elementary School Bus Canopy	Installation of canopy over the bus loop walkway	\$258,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$258,000	4 of 10	41		13	This project has requested funds in FY17.
arks & Rec	Chickahominy Riverfront Park Splash Pad	Installation of a splash pad at Chickahominy Park in the area of the recently demolished small pool	\$175,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$175,000	3 of 3	37		14	This project has requested funds in FY17.
JCC Schools.	Lafayette High School Walkway	Construction of an ADA compliant walkway to the sports fields at the Warhill Sports Complex	\$0	\$0	\$1,177,184	\$0	\$0	\$1,177,184	10 of 10	21		15	

\* Special Considerations: Y (Yes); for A, B, and C please refer to page 7 of ther CIP Ranking Criteria document

### M I N U T E S JAMES CITY COUNTY POLICY COMMITTEE REGULAR MEETING Building A Large Conference Room 101 Mounts Bay Road, Williamsburg, VA 23185 February 11, 2016 4:00 PM

### A. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. John Wright called the meeting to order on Thursday, February 11th, 2016 at 4:33 p.m.

### B. ROLL CALL

Commissioners: Mr. John Wright Ms. Robin Bledsoe Mr. Rich Krapf Mr. Tim O'Connor Mr. Heath Richardson

### Staff:

Mr. Paul Holt, Planning Director Ms. Tammy Rosario, Principal Planner Mr. José Ribeiro, Senior Planner II Ms. Leanne Pollock, Senior Planner II Ms. Ellen Cook, Senior Planner II Ms. Savannah Pietrowski, Planner Mr. John Carnifax, Director of Parks and Recreation Ms. Tara Woodruff, Director Budget and Accounting Mr. John Horne, Director of General Services Ms. Fran Geissler, Director Stormwater Division Mr. Marcellus Snipes, Senior Director for Operations Mr. Alan Robertson, Facilities Management Coordinator Ms. Nancy Ellis, Parks Administrator Mr. Alex Baruch, Development Management Assistant

## C. MINUTES

1. January 14,2016 Minutes

Ms. Robin Bledsoe moved to approve the January 14, 2016 minutes.

### D. OLD BUSINESS

.

### E. NEW BUSINESS

### 1. FY17-FY21 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)

Mr. Jose Ribeiro, Senior Planner, gave a presentation on the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) stating that the Planning Division received five non-school and 10 school applications for CIP funding. Mr. Ribeiro stated that the application materials were submitted to the Policy Committee members to review and rank based on the Comprehensive Plan. The final rankings will then be forward to the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors for final consideration.

The Policy Committee began their discussion with the Parks and Recreation applications.

Mr. John Wright asked why the application concerning the Jamestown Beach shows a time horizon of FY21 to begin renovations for the restroom facilities and support services when it seems that the beach is being use for more events each year.

Mr. John Carnifax stated that the projected revenue in the budget will not be there until FY21.

Mr. Carnifax gave an overview of improvements to the Jamestown Beach that have been completed recently including changes to restrooms, walkways, parking areas and special event facilities.

Ms. Robin Bledsoe asked if Parks and Recreation has had to turn possible events away due to the limited facilities at Jamestown Beach.

Ms. Nancy Ellis stated that they have not had to turn any special event away at this point.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that she is very happy that citizens have Jamestown Beach as a resource.

Mr. Carnifax stated that he thinks some special events have not approached them because they do not have the infrastructure to do the larger scale events; however, those are the changes that will be made once the revenue has been saved up.

Mr. Rich Krapf stated that when he was deciding which project to give the higher priority he ranked the Marina higher because of some of the potential stormwater issues. Mr. Krapf asked if staff could elaborate on why they ranked the Jamestown Beach application higher than the Marina.

Mr. Carnifax stated that the Economic Development Authority (EDA) is looking into some potential Public Private Partnerships to operate the Marina and ancillary facilities. Mr. Carnifax stated that they are not sure how much that business would be willing to fix up as a part of that agreement and if it would be the Marina, the buildings or both. Mr. Carnifax stated that we know that the beach is going to be staying with the County so by focusing on that, there can be tremendous use.

Mr. Tim O'Connor asked what the difference in traffic is between the beach and the

### Marina.

Mr. Carnifax stated that the beach gets thousands of people over the summer and the Marina has 68 slips plus a boat ramp from which citizens launch their boats. Overall the Marina has a significantly lower amount of traffic.

Mr. Wright asked what the potential exposure would be from the Federal or State level if the Marina does not come into compliance with stormwater regulations.

Ms. Fran Geissler stated that the Marina is owned by the County and is covered under the municipal stormwater permit; however, because of the way the census determines an urbanized area the Marina is not in the regulated portion of the County. Ms. Geissler stated that most likely it would not be a real issue in the short term but could be after FY19.

Ms. Bledsoe asked about if that area gets developed quickly could that change the FY19 projection.

Ms. Geissler stated the area would have to grow much faster than the projected rate and as an example stated that Busch Gardens is not in a regulated area because no one lives there.

Mr. Wright stated the he weighted the Marina higher than the Jamestown Beach because of the stormwater issues and asked Mr. Carnifax which of the two projects he rated as more important.

Mr. Carnifax stated that he would rank the Jamestown Beach project as an immediate higher priority than the Marina.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that if the Marina is not addressed sooner won't the expense be astronomical to the point where there won't be any interest to rent/purchase and fix it up.

Mr. Carnifax stated that was correct, depending on the severity of potential storms and other factors the Marina could be impacted.

Ms. Bledsoe asked if the EDA has looked at the CIP application numbers.

Mr. Carnifax stated that he did not know; however, similar numbers were in the Shaping Our Shores Master Plan which they did review.

Mr. O'Connor asked if Parks and Recreation has started to fix up the borings on the bulk heads and asked if the County knows how much it will take to replace it.

Mr. Carnifax stated that the County has cost estimates on how much it would cost per linear foot; however, no borings have been replaced.

Mr. Wright continued the discussion to the third application from Parks and Recreation concerning the installation of a splash pad.

Mr. Carnifax stated that at Chickahominy Campgrounds there used to be two swimming pools. One had been leaking over the past few years so the decision was made to close the pool and fill it. Mr. Carnifax stated that the next best use of that area would be a spray ground/splash pad to be installed in that area.

Ms. Ellis stated that the ongoing cost of maintaining a splash pad is significantly less than maintaining a pool. It is also a revenue stream because there isn't a public splash pad available in Williamsburg which makes it a unique feature.

Mr. Wright stated that there was no more discussion on the three Parks and Recreation applications so they would move on to the next application.

Ms. Ellen Cook gave a presentation discussing the revenue sharing application for the intersection of Olde Towne Rd. and Longhill Rd. that the Planning Division put forward for consideration.

Mr. Paul Holt stated that the Rev Share is a 50/50 match so every dollar the County brings to the table Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) will match.

Mr. Wright asked if the dollar amounts shown for FY 17-21 are the matching amounts.

Mr. Holt stated that they were.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that the funds being discussed for revenue sharing were approved last year for this type of project.

Mr. Holt stated that was correct and last year there were no funds for FY 16, the funds start in FY 17 and work their way forward which is consistent with a five-year plan.

Mr. Wright stated that once it is approved and we start building there is a commitment to continue funding the project.

Mr. Holt stated that was correct and that there are more projects in the queue that should be addressed.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that the Rev Share should help with that because it shows a commitment from the County.

Mr. Wright asked how much we have in the funds currently.

Ms. Tammy Rosario stated that there isn't any funding at this point; this application would start the implementation of Mr. Bryan Hill's vision for funding.

Mr. O'Connor asked if there will be five years of construction that go along with this application or is this just the funding mechanism.

Mr. Holt stated that it was the funding mechanism.

Ms. Rosario stated that the intersection project would not take five years of construction; this is just reflecting five years of applications.

Mr. Holt stated that the project itself takes five to six years including engineering, relocating utilities, all of the work in the right-of-way, and then the construction could take two years.

Mr. Krapf asked if a more important project comes along and bumps this out of the way

could we have a half-completed project on our hands.

Mr. Holt stated that in order to receive the Rev Share from VDOT you have to be able to complete the project in full.

Mr. Wright thanked staff for the information and moved onto the Stormwater application.

Mr. Krapf asked if staff had heard anything about a grant application that was submitted in December.

Ms. Geissler stated that the Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) is still working through the applications and their timeline states that a decision will be made by February or March.

Mr. Krapf stated that he put a mark next to that application because similar to the Rev Share matching funds there are potential grant funds available for this application.

Mr. John Horne stated that the Stormwater Division has been successful for two years in receiving these funds; however, the number of applications have grown over the past two years so it is much more competitive.

Mr. Wright asked if the stormwater projects that are being taken on are outside of Home Owner Associations (HOA) and neighborhoods that have a funding mechanism.

Ms. Geissler stated that they are projects outside the purview of an HOA, they are stream restoration projects which give the County reduced pollution in our waterways. Ms. Geissler stated that the Grove, Toano and Forrest Glen projects are longstanding, no organized drainage systems which frequently create localized flooding issues.

Ms. Bledsoe inquired regarding the quality of life in the Grove area and how great the impact of not having an organized drainage system is to the Grove residence.

Ms. Geissler stated that it is pretty pervasive, particularly in the Whiting and Jackson intersection. Ms. Geissler stated that there are photos included in the application that show how grave the situation is in that area because the water just sits; it does not have anywhere to go.

Mr. Horne stated that even if you can get the water moving along the roadway ditches the whole neighborhood suffers from not having a neighborhood outfall where the water can go to be stored. Mr. Horne stated that a part of this project will be to look at how the drainage of the neighborhood can be organized differently to make it better.

Ms. Bledsoe asked how realistic it is to think that with this funding we will be able to see some significant changes in the Grove area.

Ms. Geissler stated that they just received the final version of a proposal to work on this project and are ready to submit a purchase order to start the data collection aspect.

Mr. Wright asked if there is an EPA criteria associated with these expenditures.

Ms. Geissler stated that there are; however, these projects address local issues regardless of what the state wants us to do. For example, in the Skiffes Creek area

there has to be an action plan for Skiffes Creek to reduce bacteria much like we had to do for the Chesapeake Bay.

Mr. O'Connor stated that he had it marked down as a special consideration.

1 4

Mr. Horne stated that one thing he believes will be important moving forward is that the funding is coming from the Board of Supervisor's allocated funds from increased revenue to handle these types of projects.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that these projects are not going to get less expensive, they are only going to get more expensive.

Mr. Wright stated that there was no additional discussion on the stormwater plans and the school's applications were up next.

Mr. Ribeiro stated that he wanted to thank the schools and Policy Committee for working together to align the CIP timelines so they could occur concurrently.

Mr. Krapf asked about the Lafayette High School Accessibility Path and what the rational was for ranking it ten out of ten applications.

Mr. Alan Robertson stated that if it is built then it would need to be built to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements, we are not obligated to have the path to meet ADA compliance.

Mr. Wright stated that it appears that the majority of the applications have to do with entrance re-designs for schools. Mr. Wright stated that he is not sure where the rankings come from because they all look to be similar projects just at different locations.

Mr. Marcellus Snipes stated that the rankings are being done to meet the requirements of the CIP application and were done by the Superintendent.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that the rankings are important to the Policy Committee because it helps us know what you would like to prioritize based off limited dollars.

Mr. Robertson stated that all of the new school entrances are designed so when you come in the front door a visitor is forced to go through the main office to sign in, whereas in the older schools a visitor would be buzzed in and could go anywhere in the school. The reason the costs are different is because the construction in the different schools would have to be different to meet the architectural elements of the entryway.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that this is a safety issue and is curious if the assumption can be made that Jamestown High School's security is more of an issue than some of the other schools on the list based off the ranking.

Mr. Robertson stated that more of the projects are economical if you combine them and are doing construction when there is other work being done to the school. Therefore timing also contributes to where the applications are ranked.

Mr. O'Connor stated that because it is a safety concern he ranked them all equally however, he is concerned with the ease of egress out of the building once more walls are put up. Mr. Robertson stated that Hornsby has the ability to pop a door open as long as someone is monitoring people coming in and out for an athletic event or concert.

. .

Mr. Wright asked if emergency personnel would have easy access to get in and out when the new entrances are built.

Mr. Snipes and Mr. Robertson stated that they have a lock box with a key that will still open the doors to gain access.

Mr. Wright asked about the bus canopy and if the Policy Committee could receive an overview on their use.

Mr. Snipes stated that the Stonehouse Bus Canopy has been in the CIP for a number of years, originally requested by the Principal. Mr. Snipes stated that the canopy would be over the walkway into school from the bus circle to shield the students from the elements.

Mr. Robertson stated that typically the new schools have canopies from when they were built however the older schools do not.

Mr. Wright asked why the schools could not build the canopies out of current operating funds.

Mr. Robertson stated that it is typically more expensive than what you would put in operating funds. It is also a site change that would need to go through the Planning Division for site plan approval.

Ms. Bledsoe asked if Mr. Snipes could elaborate on the auxiliary gymnasium.

Mr. Snipes stated that the auxiliary gym was placed back into the CIP based on citizen input from parents and other community members.

Mr. Robertson discussed some of the previous plans for auxiliary gymnasiums in the community and the history behind additional auxiliary gym requests in the past.

Mr. Snipes stated that the gyms are used all the time for various events, not just for sports.

Mr. Wright asked what was driving the need for the auxiliary gym.

Mr. Snipes stated that it was based on the school and what type of events are taking place at the school in the gyms.

Mr. O'Connor stated that at Warhill High School some of the sports will practice in the Commons.

Mr. Robertson stated that there are too many activities to fit inside the space available at the school

Mr. Wright asked if they were all school sponsored activities.

Mr. Robertson stated that they were. Mr. Robertson also stated that the auxiliary gyms

are just large gymnasiums with the space for bleachers cut off the sides, perfect for practicing sports or other activities.

Mr. Krapf stated that Mr. Snipes mentioned that principals were typically the driving force behind many of these projects. This could lead to a problem if a principal leaves to go to another school district and the next principal is not on board with the project. What happens in that situation?

Mr. Robertson stated that the CIP process starts in two places, either the maintenance operations staff sees an issue or the principal at the school and their staff suggest improvements and it boils up. Mr. Robertson stated that any project under \$50,000 are typically funded through the operating budget and anything over would go through this process.

Mr. Wright stated that school aged students are only increasing here not decreasing.

Mr. Robertson stated that the outside demand is unbelievable for space seven days a week at almost every school.

Ms. Bledsoe asked why they feels that we are unique in the community demand for our school gymnasium space?

Mr. Snipes stated that Parks and Recreation in other localities typically have dedicated facilities that can handle the community events around the community but we are very efficient in combining those resources.

Mr. Robertson stated that the kids now are not just in one activity they are in multiple activities which increases the demand throughout the community.

Mr. Wright asked if the instructional use of the gym is only for sports or is it multipurpose.

Mr. Robertson stated that there are multiple classes going on in the gym at one time during the day and afterschool meetings take place in the gym along with other non-sport activities/events.

Mr. O'Connor asked what the alternative to building the accessibility path is.

Mr. Snipes stated that students could take the sidewalk to walk around however, it does take longer.

Mr. Wright asked what feature there is to prevent going across the area currently.

Mr. Robertson stated that there is currently a ravine that is very steep where students can cut through to get to Warhill Sports Complex however, it is not sanctioned by the school because it is not safe. Mr. Robertson stated that at the edge of the track area at Lafayette would be where the path would start but the grade from one side to the other if you go straight across would not meet ADA's standards because the slope would be too steep.

Mr. O'Connor asked if there is access and benefit to Seasons Trace and other communities close by for them to use the path.

Mr. Robertson stated that it would be a benefit to them.

. . .

Mr. O'Connor asked about some of the safety concerns regarding the bridge such as if it would be open all the time and if it would be lit.

Mr. Robertson stated that the rest of the track in that area is not lit at the moment but the safety concerns are apparent.

Mr. Wright asked if there was a way to meet the ADA compliance in a different way to build the path the less expensive route.

Mr. Robertson stated that there are alternatives to a walkway that have been discussed internally however if a walkway is going to be put in it would have to be in that location and comply with ADA regulations.

Mr. Wright asked if there is a way to continue to give the option for students to take the bus or use the sidewalk to get to Warhill Sports Complex and build the non ADA compliant path.

Mr. Robertson stated it would be discrimination if we tell one student that they would have to go a longer route than another student who is not handicap.

Mr. Snipes stated that all students have to have equal access to what the other students are able to use.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that the schools have come up with this route as the safest way to get students where they need to be that is ADA compliant.

Mr. Snipes stated that was correct.

Ms. Bledsoe asked if they should be called auxiliary gyms or if they should really be called multi-purpose rooms.

Mr. Snipes said that the terminology is interchangeable.

Mr. Wright asked if the School Board has looked at these applications.

Mr. Snipes stated that they approved the applications on December 15<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Robertson stated his appreciation to the Planning Division for all the help they provide through the process.

Mr. Ribeiro stated that the follow up meeting will occur on March 3<sup>rd</sup> at 4:00 p.m.

### F. ADJOURNMENT

Ms. Bledsoe made a motion to adjourn. It was approved 4-0.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 5:21 p.m.

# Unapproved Minutes of the March 3, 2016 Policy Committee Meeting

#### **Capital Improvement Program FY17-FY21**

Mr. José Ribeiro, Senior Planner II, stated that purpose of this meeting is to look at the combined scores to see how the Policy Committee ranked the different Capital Improvement Projects. Mr. Ribeiro stated that the individual scores were also available if anyone wanted to see how they ranked a specific project. Mr. Ribeiro stated that the top and bottom rankings are highlighted in the spreadsheet. Mr. Ribeiro stated that there were some tie scores.

Mr. Rich Krapf stated that he thought many of the school entry redesign projects were grouped together because while Williamsburg-James City County Schools (Schools) ranked them, they were all the same basic project.

Ms. Robin Bledsoe asked where the Jamestown High School Redesign project ranked on the list.

Mr. Krapf stated that it ranked fifth on the list, which was good because it was the Schools' number one priority.

Mr. Heath Richardson stated that it looked like most everyone ranked the Jamestown High School project higher on their list.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that it seemed like the Jamestown High School project already had a few pieces in place and would save money if the projects were done at the same time.

Mr. Richardson stated that in Mr. Tim O'Connor's email, he mentioned that the front entrance redesign at the schools should take priority.

Mr. Krapf stated that the Schools ranked the walkway the lowest of all the projects, ten out of ten, which is why it was helpful to have the Schools' representatives at the last meeting to clarify the reason each project was being done.

Mr. Richardson stated that he ranked the school projects lower because of the ranking criteria.

Mr. Krapf stated that everyone approaches the ranking process differently.

Ms. Bledsoe asked Mr. Ribeiro to show the original rankings submitted by the departments.

Mr. John Wright stated that he used the rankings as guidance to make sure their rankings fell similarly to where his ended up.

Mr. Richardson asked if this was the first time the County got such robust feedback from the schools in the CIP process.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that it has been a process throughout the years and the biggest hurdle that we overcame this year was the scheduling of when the CIP applications could come to the County for review.

Mr. Paul Holt stated that with all of the projects that were submitted this year the process has been fairly smooth.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that extending the timing of the process has been beneficial.

· Y

Ms. Leanne Pollock stated that the Schools used to have their own format for submitting CIP projects and last year was the first year that they filled out the County's application so the Policy Committee could compare apples to apples and have the same information from the Schools and the County projects.

Mr. Richardson stated that the School Board should be pretty stable over the next few years with the additions to the Board in the last election. Mr. Richardson asked what the process moving forward with CIP would be with the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Ribeiro stated that on March 21<sup>st</sup> at the Planning Commission special meeting, the CIP will be up for a vote as a public hearing and then the recommendation will move on to the Board of Supervisors as a reading file item.

Mr. Wright asked if the applicants would be at the Planning Commission meeting to give presentations on their CIP applications.

Mr. Holt stated that they usually do not show up; however, if there is a question before the meeting or a need for an applicant to be there, then a representative could be available.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that the recommendation from the Policy Committee will be forwarded to the Planning Commission which gives members of the Planning Commission who are not on the Policy Committee an opportunity to ask questions.

Mr. Krapf stated that the next step for the Policy Committee would be to see if the Committee agrees with how the rankings aggregated. Mr. Krapf stated that the top five were consistent with his rankings so he did not have any amendments.

Ms. Pollock stated that the special consideration column was in the weighting spreadsheet so that, if a project dropped lower than members wanted, than they could factor in a special consideration to the score.

Mr. Krapf stated that he had added a special conservation to the marina because of the potential for a publicprivate partnership that could be coming in the near future.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that the marina project is important to her because it is deteriorating so quickly.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that she was surprised that the auxiliary gym ranked lower than the Chickahominy Riverfront Park splash pad and that she did not mean to rank the splash pad higher than the auxiliary gym.

Mr. Richardson stated that the auxiliary gym was ranked five out of their ten projects.

Mr. Krapf stated that he rated the auxiliary gym lower because he thought it was more of a nice-to-have than a necessity.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that Mr. O'Connor ranked the auxiliary gym much higher and would like for him to have an opportunity to speak to that ranking. Ms. Bledsoe stated that she would like to amend her score for the auxiliary gym to make it a higher priority.

Ms. Tammy Rosario stated that in Mr. O'Connor's email in the second bullet point he discussed school parity which may be why the auxiliary gym rose higher on his list.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that her concern was not why Mr. O'Connor's score was higher but why everyone else's was lower and because of those scores the auxiliary gym would be ranked lower than a splash pad at Chickahominy Riverfront Park.

Mr. Richardson stated that he tried to enter the most objective scores as possible.

1 7

Ms. Bledsoe stated that where the auxiliary gym ended up being ranked mattered to her.

Mr. Krapf stated that there was a difference in his scoring of the splash pad being five points higher than the auxiliary gym because the Parks and Recreation staff made a good point about the impact if the splash pad does not go in with respect to overcrowding in the big pool and a few other concerns.

Mr. Richardson stated that those impacts also caused his scores in quality of life and impacts to be higher and asked if at the Planning Commission meeting there could be a re-ranking of the projects.

Ms. Pollock stated that in the past the Policy Commission has forwarded their recommended rankings to the Planning Commission; however, if the Commission would like to attach certain notes to the bottom of the ranking to ensure the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors are aware of certain discussion items staff can add those notes to their memo. Ms. Pollock stated that if there is a consensus within the Policy Committee to change the order that is within the Committee's right.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that she is in the minority in thinking the auxiliary gym should be higher than the splash pad and that she would defer to her peers.

Mr. Wright asked if there were any budget numbers for the CIP funding to know how many projects the money could fund.

Ms. Tara Woodruff stated that she did not have that information.

Mr. Wright stated that he is pretty sure the County does not have the funding to make all of the 2017 CIP projects possible.

Ms. Pollock stated that the Board of Supervisors would primarily deal with the financial side of the CIP funding whereas the Policy Committee and Planning Commission are looking at the projects from more of a Comprehensive Plan consistency aspect.

Mr. Richardson asked if at the end of this process when the Board of Supervisors is looking at the recommendation from the Planning Commission do they know that the Commission looks at the criteria in that way without the financials.

Ms. Bledsoe stated that they do and are very plugged into the process of how we get to these numbers. Ms. Bledsoe stated that most of the Commissioners are very close in their rankings.

Mr. Krapf made a motion to approve the rank order as stated in the aggregated ranking sheet with a note stating Ms. Bledsoe's opinion about the auxiliary gym and the splash pad.

On a voice vote to recommend approval of the CIP ranking with Ms. Bledsoe's amendment, the motion carried (4-0, Mr. O'Connor being absent).

A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE COUNTY OF JAMES CITY, VIRGINIA, WAS HELD ON THE TWENTY-FIRST DAY OF MARCH, TWO-THOUSAND AND SIXTEEN, AT 6:00 P.M. IN THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER BOARD ROOM, 101-F MOUNTS BAY ROAD, JAMES CITY COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

1. <u>ROLL CALL</u>

Planning Commissioners	Staff Present:
Present:	Paul Holt, Planning Director
Robin Bledsoe	Jose Ribeiro, Senior Planner II
Rich Krapf	Maxwell Hlavin, Assistant County Attorney
Tim O'Connor	
Chris Basic	
Heath Richardson	
John Wright	
Danny Schmidt	

Ms. Robin Bledsoe called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

Ms. Bledsoe welcomed the students from Lafayette High School.

### 2. <u>ANNUAL ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING</u>

Ms. Bledsoe opened the floor for nominations for Chair and Vice Chair.

Mr. Rich Krapf nominated Mr. Tim O'Connor for Chair

In a unanimous voice vote, the Commission elected Mr. O'Connor as Chair, 7-0.

Mr. Danny Schmidt nominated Mr. John Wright as Vice Chair.

In a unanimous voice vote, the Commission elected Mr. Wright as Vice Chair, 7-0.

Ms. Bledsoe opened the floor for discussion on adopting the 2016 calendar.

Mr. Heath Richardson moved to approve the 2016 calendar.

In a unanimous voice vote, the Commission adopted the 2016 calendar, 7-0.

## 3. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u>

Ms. Bledsoe noted that the public comment period was for topic not pertaining to items on the public hearing agenda. Ms. Bledsoe opened the public comment period.

There being none, Ms. Bledsoe closed the public comment.

## 5. <u>PUBLIC HEARING CASES</u>

## A. <u>Review of the FY2017-FY2021 Capital Improvement Program (CIP)</u>

Ms. Bledsoe stated that she wanted to provide an overview of the Capital Improvement Program process as it pertains to the Planning Commission. Ms. Bledsoe stated that pursuant to \$15.2-2239

"a local Planning Commission may, and at the direction of the governing body shall, prepare and revise annually a capital improvement program based on the comprehensive plan of the locality for a period not to exceed the ensuing five years." Ms. Bledsoe stated that the Comprehensive Plan Toward 2035: Leading the Way which was recently adopted includes goals and objectives for schools, parks & recreation, stormwater management, land use and transportation. Ms. Bledsoe further stated that information specific to the WJCC Schools would be found in the section on public facilities on page PF 1-19. Ms. Bledsoe stated that the Planning Commission received the WJCC Schools Capital Improvement Plan for FY2021 - FY2026 on March 16, 2016. Ms. Bledsoe noted that the majority of this document includes what are considered capital maintenance projects. Ms. Bledsoe stated that those projects are not ranked or voted on by the Planning Commission. Ms. Bledsoe stated that there were 14 projects included in the plan which would qualify for Planning Commission ranking. Ms. Bledsoe stated that the Planning Commission received applications for ten projects and could only consider projects for which applications had been received. Ms. Bledsoe stated that the four projects that were not submitted for consideration were: three entrance redesign projects for Rawls Byrd Elementary, Stonehouse Elementary and James River Elementary and the fourth items being lighting football practice field and hockey practice for Lafayette High School. Ms. Bledsoe stated that when the Commission members toured the facilities the lighting was brought up numerous times, but an application was never submitted and it could not be included in the Planning Commission rankings.

Mr. José Ribeiro, Senior Planner II, stated that after a series of meetings to discuss and rank CIP requests and to evaluate the projects for consistency with the adopted 2035 Comprehensive Plan, the Policy Committee is forwarding its recommendations to the Planning Commission for consideration. Mr. Ribeiro further stated that fifteen applications were received. Of those applications, ten came from the WJCC Schools and five from various County divisions.

Mr. Ribeiro stated that the Policy Committee uses a standardized set of ranking criteria to prioritize projects. Committee members evaluated each request for funding and produced a numerical score between 10 and 100. Mr. Ribeiro further stated that the scores generated by individual Committee members were then averaged to produce the Committee's final score and priority. Mr. Ribeiro noted that projects that received the same score also received the same priority ranking. Mr. Ribeiro stated that at its March 3, 2016 meeting, the Committee unanimously recommended forwarding the FY17-21 CIP priorities to serve as a recommendation to the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Ribeiro stated that staff recommends that the Planning Commission forward these priorities to the Board of Supervisors for consideration during the budget process.

Ms. Bledsoe opened the public hearing.

Mr. Frank Polster, 420 Hempstead Road, stated that is speaking in support of the FY2017-FY2021 Stormwater CIP request. Mr. Poster stated that by virtue of the MS4 priorities, TMDL requirements and watershed management plans to improve impaired waterways, funding has tended to be concentrated in priority areas since problems on the ground result in problems in the waterways. Mr. Polster Mr. Polster further stated that the concerns identified in the Mill Creek Watershed Management Plan were the basis for a number of the projects included in the CIP request. Mr. Polster noted that

Ms. Bledsoe moved to forward the Policy Committee's CIP Program recommendations to the Board of Supervisors.

On a roll call vote, the Planning Commission voted to recommend approval of the CIP prioritization list by a vote of 6-0, Mr. Wright being absent.

### 9. <u>COMMISSION DISCUSSION AND REQUESTS</u>

Ms. Bledsoe stated that she would like to thank Mr. Krapf for his leadership through the Comprehensive Plan process.

### 10. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

Mr. O'Connor moved to adjourn the meeting until 6:00 p.m. on April 01, 2015.

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 6:24 p.m.

Richard Krapf, Chairman

Paul D. Holt, III, Secretary

March 21, 2016

To our Williamsburg/ James City County Leaders:

In the continued interest of a collaborative solution to the longstanding needs at Lafayette High School, we are pleased to offer context as well as a proposed solution.

Information for your consideration is organized as follows:

- 1. Nov 23 letter to the School Board, outlining the needs currently addressed in the Schools' CIP
- 2. Logistical requirements of student athletes, coaches, and parents
- 3. Auxiliary gym plans
- 4. Athletic Facilities Comparison of WJCC High Schools
- 5. A proposed solution

We think you will find that the proposed solution mitigates risks, enhances the safety of our students, provides equity in facilities among our high schools, lowers operating costs and supports the academic endeavors of our student athletes.

Respectfully,

Kathy Wallum

Kathy Woollum President

Lafayette High School Athletic Boosters

On behalf of the Lafayette High School Advocacy Group, we offer the following proposed solution

# LHS ATHLETIC BOOSTER CLUB



### November 23, 2015

Dear Members of the Williamsburg/ James City County School Board:

Thank you for your consideration and discussion of the existing Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) needs of Lafayette High School during the School Board meeting on Tuesday, November 17. As president of the LHS Athletic Booster Club, I represent an organized group of parents, school staff, alumni, community members and business owners dedicated to supporting all sports at Lafayette High School.

It is my pleasure to provide additional context to the existing needs at LHS. Please note that nearly half of our sports teams must travel off campus for games and practices. This creates unnecessary risks to our student athletes, additional time away from their studies, a logistical burden on parents, an inconsistent environment for activities to take place, and an extraordinary responsibility to the school system.

## 1. LHS Auxiliary Gym

### Background

- LHS has neither an auxiliary gym (like Jamestown HS) nor a double capacity gym (like Warhill HS). The vast majority of high schools in the region have an auxiliary gym.
- Numerous plans existed to build an aux. gym at LHS but were never executed.
- LHS capacity needs for sports practices and PE instruction continue to be exacerbated by growing student enrollment; Current enrollment has already exceeded 2017 projections.
- LHS Sports Teams compete for space at Hornsby with outside entities such as church groups and AAU teams that have paid the County for that space.

### **Problem: Safety Issues**

- Students must leave campus to attend practice.
- Students are challenged to find a ride to various practice locations.
- Students must travel to practice later at night.
- Athletic trainer and student aides cannot cover all practices due logistical constraints.

## Problem: Current Capacity Issues

Lack of space for sports teams and student instruction

## Fall Time Frame

- Volleyball and Cheerleading use LHS gym during their fall season for practices and games. Thus, other sports must use James Blair facility for any off-season workouts for all who are interested (greater number than roster) namely:
  - JV and Varsity Boys basketball open gym
  - JV and Varsity Girls basketball open gym
  - Baseball during inclement weather

# LHS ATHLETIC BOOSTER CLUB



Softball during inclement weather

## Winter Time Frame

- Girls and Boys Basketball, while in season, are guaranteed LHS gym for games only.
- Teams that must utilize James Blair and Hornsby for practice schedule
  - o JV and Varsity Boys basketball
  - o JV and Varsity Girls basketball
- Off season, the following teams have access to James Blair only:
  - o Softball
  - o Baseball

Spring: Rain day practices at James Blair

- Softball
- Baseball

## Instruction

• Physical education classes lack optimal participation due to space limitations of current gym- students sit out.

## **Theater Department**

• Theater department lacks significant storage space that could be remedied by space within an auxiliary gym.

## **Problem: Future Capacity Urgency**

• Based on current plans for a new middle school, James Blair will no longer be available to LHS.

## 2. Need for Walkway to Warhill Sports Complex

## Background

- LHS historically used Mid County Park fields. Since those fields have been eliminated, LHS now accesses Warhill Sports Complex (WSC).
- Students need safe passage to practice fields. Initial approved budget item became unwieldy with recommended adaptations.

## Problem: Safety Issues

- Students must traverse a steep hill, then cross various creeks to get through wooded area to access fields at WSC. 2x4 planks over creek areas are primary indicators of paths in this unlit area.
- Cross-country team runs along congested Longhill Road.
- Students drive off campus.

## **Problem: Logistical Challenges**

- Teams must request buses, which adds pressure on Transportation Department.
- Athletes drive to WSC.
- Teams must transport gear and supplies off campus.

## Current Teams Impacted by Sports games or practices that are held at WSC:

- Baseball
- Girls soccer
- Boys soccer

## LHS ATHLETIC BOOSTER CLUB



- Cross country
- Periodic football practices
- Periodic field hockey practices
- (Softball practices and plays at James City County Rec. Center)

### 3. Need for Lights on Practice Fields

### Background

Lights were included in plans for LHS practice fields but never installed at LHS.

### Safety Issues

- Band must practice in parking lot
- Overall safety concern due to unlit area (particularly when it gets dark at 5:00 pm)

## **Teams Impacted**

- Football- namely during post season play
- Track- winter and spring
- Cross Country
- Tennis
- Field Hockey
- Band

It was encouraging that when it was time on the agenda to discuss the Capital Improvement Plan, the above issues were acknowledged and a productive conversation among the board ensued.

We appreciate that Dr. Constantino said he highly encourages the board to add or adjust as needed and would welcome the topic at the next joint meeting with the County so that citizens in the community can be heard.

The LHS Athletic Boosters seek reasonable, consistent and safe access to sporting events and an efficient working environment for our athletes and coaching staff. Our request is that these long standing concerns be included in the 2016 budget.

Respectfully,

Kathy Wallun

Kathy Woollum President Lafayette High School Athletic Boosters

Lafayette Off-Site Athletic Practices

4

James Blair	Hornsby	Warhill Sports Complex	Rec Center
Gym	Gym	Fields	Softball Field
2.8 miles from LHS	4.7 miles from LHS	1.5 miles from LHS	2.1 miles from LHS
JV Volleyball	JV Girls Basketball	Field Hockey	Softball
12-15 girls	<b>10-15 girls</b>	36-40 girls	15 to 25 girls
5:30 to 7:00, 3X week(Fall)	6:30-8:30, 2X week	3-5, 5x week	5:30-7:00, 5x week (Spring-
*applies when coach not a teacher	(Fall)	(Fall)	good weather)
Basketball	Basketball	Baseball	Softball
20-35 athletes (male & female)	20-35 athletes	20 to 40 boys	15 to 25 girls
5:30-8:30, 2X week (Fall)	5:30-8:30, as needed	5x week (Spring-good	3:00-7:00, 2x week
	(Fall/Winter)	weather)	(Fall&Winter)
Softball		Boys soccer	
15 to 25 girls		40 boys	
5:30-7:00, 2x week (Fall&Winter)		5x week (Spring)	
Baseball		Football	
20 to 40 boys		40-70 boys	
7:00-8:30, 2x week (Fall&Winter)		As needed, 1Xweek	
		(Fall-weather)	
Basketball		Girls soccer	
20-35 athletes (male)		40 girls	
5Xweek(Winter-in season)		5x week (Spring)	
Softball		Baseball	
15 to 25 girls	and the second s	20 to 40 boys	
3:00-7:00, 5x week (Spring-rain		7:00-8:30, 2x week	
days)		(Fall&Winter)	
Baseball			
15 to 22 boys			
3:00-7:00, 5x week (Spring-rain			
days)			

November Calendar

This Calendar template is blank, printable, and editable. From WinCalendar.com

October		~ 1	November 2	2015 ~		
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9 Schadule 1	10 Schedule 1	<b>11</b> Schedule 1	12 Schedule 1	13 Schedula 1	14 Schedule 5
15	16 Schedule 1	17 Schadule 1	<b>18</b> Schedule 1	19 Schedule 1	20 Schedule 1	21 Schedule 5
22	23 V3B 2:45 to 5:00 VBB 5:00 to 7:00 NO JV PRACTICE	24 Schedule 1	25 Schedule 4	26	27 Schedule 4	28 Schedule 5
29	30 VGB & VBB Game Denbigh Home JVBB -2:45 to 5:00 @ LHS JGBB -6:30 to 8:30 @ Homsby		5:30 to 7:00 a ay and Wedn		7:00 to 8:30	at James Bla

JVBB - 5:00 to 7:00 @ LHS JVBB - 4:40 to 6:30 @ JB VGB - 2:45 to 5:00 @ LHS JVGB - 6:30 to 8 30 @ Hornsby

 
 Schedule 1 .. @ Mix
 Schedule 2 - @ JB
 Schedule 3 - @ Mix

 VGB -- 2:45 to 5:00 @ LHS
 Girls Home BB Games
 Boys Home BB Games
 VBB - 7:00 to 9:30 @ LHS VBB - 6:30 to 8:45 @ JB JVGB - 6:30 to 8:30 @ Hornsby JVGB - 6:30 to 8:30

Schedule 4 -@ LHS All Away BB Games VGB - 2:30 to 5:30 JVBB - 5:00 to 7:00 VBB - 7:00 to 9:30

# **December Calendar**

This Calendar template is blank, printable, and editable. Courtesy of WinCalendar.com

• Museimteer		~ De	cember 20	15~		dootary 🕨
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
		GBB Warhill - A Depart - 4:30 PM	BBB Warhill - H	Depart - 3:20 PM	BBB Smithfield - H	5 Re/Max Wrestling Tournament Schedula 5 @ JB
6	7 Schedule 1	8 GBB Jamestawn- H Schedule 2	9 BBB Jamestown A Depart - 4:30 Schedule 4	10 GBB York - A Depart - 3:45 Schedule 4	11 BBB York H Schedule 3	12 Schedule 5
13	14 BBB Tabb - H Schedule 3	15 GBB Grafton – H VBB – 2:30 to 5:00 @ LHS JVBB – 6:30 to 8:30 @ Hornsby	16 BBB Gration – A Depart – 3:45 Schedule 4	17 GBB Paguasan H Schedule 2	18 GBB Tabb - A Depart - 3:45 BBB Poquoson - A Depart - 3:45	19 Schedule 5
20	21 Sochedule 5	22 Schedule 5	23 Schedule 5	24 Schedule 5	25 NO PRACTICE	26 Schedule 5
27	28 Schedule 5	29 Schedule 5	30 Schedule 5	31 Schedule 5	Baseball 7:	0 to 7:00 and 00 to 8:30 at r on Monday sday

JVGB - 6:30 to 8:30 @ Hornsby

 
 Schedule 1 - @ Mix
 Schedule 2 - @ JB
 Schedule 3 - @ Mix

 VGB - 2:45 to 5:00 @ LHS
 Girls Home BB Games
 Boys Home BB Games
 JVBB - 5:00 to 7:00 @ LHS JVBB - 4:40 to 6:30 @ JB VGB - 2:45 to 5:00 @ LHS VB8 - 7:00 to 9:30 @ LHS VBB - 6:30 to 8:45 @ JB JVGB - 6:30 to 8:30 @ Hornsby JVGB - 6:30 to 8:30

Schedulg 4 -@ LHS All Away BB Games VG8-2:30 to 5:30 JV88 - 5:00 to 7:00 VB8-7:00 to 9:30

January Calendar This Calendar template is blank, printable, and editable. Courtesy of WinCalendar.com

Dicember		2018 C	January 20	16~		
Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat
					1	2
					Schedule 5	Schedule 5
3	4 Schedule 1	5 GBB Bruton – H	6 BBB Bruton – A Depart – 4:30	7 GBB New Kent – A Depart – 3:45	8 BBS New Kent H	9
		Schedule 2	Wrestling - Home VGB 3:00 @ JB JVGB 8:30 @ H	Schedule 4	Schedule 3	Schedule 5
10	11 Schadule 1	<b>12</b> GBB Warhill – H Schedule 2	13 BBB Warhill - A Depart - 4:30 Schedule 4	14 GBB Smithfield - H Schedule 2	15 BBB Smithfield A Depert 3:20 Rem Rumble Wrestling Girls @ JB 3:00 to 6:00	16 Schedula 5 @ JB
7	18 Schedule 1	19 GBB Jamestown A Depart 4:30 Schedule 4	20 BBB Jameslown- H Schedule 3	GBB York H Schedule 2	<b>22</b> BBB York – A Depart – 3:45 Schedule 4	23 Echedule 5
4	25 No Practice	VBB: 6:00 7:30	VGB 12:30 - 2:30 VBB 6:00 - 7:00 NO JV Practice	VGB 12:30 -2:30 JVGB2:30 - 4:30 JVBB 4:40-6:30 VBB 6:30 to 8:30	BBB Grafton – H No School	<b>30</b> GBB Grafton – A Depart – 3:45 Schedule 5
1	Notes: Notes: Softball 5:3 and Wedne	0 to 7:00 and sday		ALL @ LHS ) to 8:30 at Ja	ames Blair or	n Monday

 
 Schedule 1 - @ Mix
 Schedule 2 - @ JB
 Schedule 3 - @

 VGB - 2:45 to 5:00 @ LHS
 Girls Home BB Games
 Boys Home BB

 DUB - 4:40 to 5:20 @ JB
 VGB - 2:45 to
 0
JVBB - 5:00 to 7:00 @ LHS JVBB - 4:40 to 6:30 @ JB VGB - 2:45 to @ US VC0 #2:10 to 5:30 VBB -- 7:00 to 9:30 @ LHS VBB -- 6:30 to 8:45 @ JB JVGB -- 6: 0 to 1:30 @ Hernday JVGB -- 0:90 to 3:30 JVGB -- 6:30 to 8:30 @ Hornsby

February Calendar This Calendar template is blank, printable, and editable. Downloaded from WinCalendar.com

			ebruary 201	Thu	Fri	titech ► Sat
Sun	Mon 1 Schedule 1	Tue 2 GBB Poqueson - A Depart - 3:45 Schedule 4	Wed 3 BBB Poquoson – H Schedule 3	4	5 BBB Tabb - A Dapart - 3:45 Schedule 4	6 Schedule 5
	8 GBB Bruston - A Depert - 4:30 Schedule 4	9 BBB Bruton – H Schedule 3 Sentar Night för Winter Sports	10 GBB New Kent-H Schedule 2	<b>11</b> BBB New Kent - A Oepart - 3:45 Schedule 4	12 VGB 2:45 to 5: 00 VBB 5:30 to 7:30	13 Schedule 5
4	15	16	17	18	19	20
21	22	23	24	25	26	27
28	29	Notes: Notes: Softball 5:3 on Monday	30 to 7:00 and and Wednes	d Baseball 7: sday	00 to 8:30 at	t James Blair
	<u>- @ Mix</u> 5 to 5:00 @ LHS 0 to 7:00 @ LHS	<u>Schedule 2 - @</u> Girls Home BB JVBB 4:40 to	Games Boy	<u>edule 3 - @ Mix</u> /s Home 8B Gar jB 2:45 to 5:00	nes A	PDF Cale Schedule 4 -@ Lh II Away BB Gam GB - 2:30 to 5:30

 
 JVBB - 5:00 to 7:00 @ LHS
 JVBB - 4:40 to 6:30 @ JB
 VGB - 2:45 to 5:00 @ LHS
 VGB - 2:30 to 5:30

 VBB - 7:00 to 9:30 @ LHS
 VBB - 6:30 to 8:45 @ JB
 JVGB - 6:30 to 8:30 @ Hornsby
 JVGB - 6:30 to 8:30
 JVBB - 5:00 to 7:00 JVGB - 6:30 to 8:30 @ Hornsby VBB - 7:00 to 9:30


# Athletic Field Reservation Form

Contact and Organization: Lafayette	High School - Baseball	Date: Feb. 15, 2016
Address: 4460 Longhill Road	City: Williamsburg	State: <u>VB.</u> Zip: <u>23188</u>
Day Phone: <u>565-4229</u>	Evening Phone: 81(1-5669	Fax #: <u>565-4268</u>
E-mail Address: daniel.barner	2 wjccschools.org	

Residency: James City County City of Williamsburg York County Other: WJCC School Division

	Athletic Fields	
Event Date: February 22, 2016 to June 16, 20	16 - Every day Monday through Saturday	Y
Mon. Tues.	Wed. Thurs.	rl. Sut. Sun.
Start Time: <u>Mon-Fri - 3:00 PM &amp; Sal. 9:</u>	ananan in in a su an	i 8:30 PM & Sat. 12:00 PM
Rontul Ratas Field/Court	Resident: \$25/hour 🛄 Non-Resident: \$35 s 🔲 No; Total Hours:	hour
fames City County Recreation Center	Sofiball Field#:	Multinse Socoer Field Field #:
lamas River Community Center	Sollball Field #:	Multiuse Soccer/Football Field Field #:
Mid-County Park	Cours #:	Vollayball Court
	Haskethall Court #:	
Warhill Sports Complex	Court #:	Multiuse Synthetic Field
Warhill Sports Complex	Court #:	Multiuse Synthetic Field Field #: Basketball Court #:

Rule Agreement: I have read the Park Facility Use Rules and understand that I have to abide by them.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date:

Office Use:	Special C	onditions:		Code:	Reservation#
					Dep. Return Date
	Approved	Disar	proved/Reason	100 - 100 - 11 August 100 - 10	1, 1

Parks and Recreation Department parks.rec@jamescitycountyva.gov 5340 Palmer Lane, Suite 1A

Williamsburg, VA 23188 jamescitycountyva.gov

LHS Off Site Travel to Other Gyms

Estimated One-Way Mileage to Off Site Gyms for Practice 8 Year Round - unschedule (rain dates and off season workouts) Used median 3.75m 9 Year Round - scheduled Fall/Winter - scheduled Vear Round - s Schedule 2 Schedule 3 Schedule 3 JVBB to JB 2.8m JVGB to Homsby 4.7m JB 2.8m VBB to JB 2.8m Homsby 4.7 00 00 36 Schedule 1 JVGB to Hornsby 4.7m # Scheduled days

4683.3 7812.5 \*4 teams JB; 2 teams HB 451.2 492.8 902.4

٠

.

24.4

,

Grafton High School 2014 *Source is Mark Tschirhart at <u>mtschirha</u>	4 Aux Gym* art@ycsd.york.va.us
Description	Cost
Architectural & Engineering	\$177,000
Construction	\$1,660,000
<ul> <li>* The project was bid in 2013, substantial completion was Jan 7, 2014.</li> <li>** Construction costs typically escalate around 5% per year so bidding the same gym in 2016 would cost approximately \$1,925,000.</li> </ul>	
Total	\$1,837,000

\*\*\*Please see attached sketch of the Grafton Aux Gym and note (1) hallway (similar need at LHS to complete main building), (2) boys and girls' locker rooms (similar need at LHS), (3) bleachers for spectators (similar need at LHS for spectators at game), and (4) storage space (LHS has additional storage needs that would likely come under \$2.45 budget by WJCC School Board).

\*\*\*\*Builder was A.R. Chesson Construction Co., Inc., P.O. Box 2266, Elizabeth City, NC 27909. The office phone is (252) 338-9171. Ed Powell was the Executive Vice President. The standard invitation for bid (IFB) process was used to select the contractor.



Comparison of WJCC Public High School Athletic Facilities

Area of School Campus (acres)	Jamestown High School 80.01	Lafayette High School 50 **	Warhill High School
	00.01	50 **	54.5
Gymnasiums on Campus:			
Main Gymnasium	1	1	
Auxiliary Gymnasium	1	0	1 0
Add at gran			0
Athletic Fields on Campus:			
Baseball Field	1 *	0	1 *
Softball Field	1 *	0 23	
Football Field	1	1	±
Track	1	1	1
Tennis Courts	6		1
Soccer Field	1	6	6
Field Hockey Field	1	0 <sup>1</sup>	1
	-		1
Sports Teams Required to Practice Off Campus	0	12-14	0
Main Gymnasium (sq. footage)			
Auxiliary Gym (sq. footage)	12,285	10,548	13,315
	5,336	0	0
Total Square Footage	17,621	10,548	13,315
Description			,
Main Gym # of full size courts	1	1	2
Aux Gym # of full size courts	1	0	0
			U

#### Notes:

- \* indicates lighted fields
- \*\* Due to the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Ordinance and the fact that the LHS campus is adjacent to a Resource Protection Area (RPA), it remains unclear how much of our 50 acres are actually buildable.
  - <sup>1</sup> While the football field (located inside the track) could be used for soccer practice, the track and its interior are used by Track & Field in the Spring after school and lack of lighting prohibits additional practice time in the evenings.
- <sup>2</sup> The field used by Field Hockey in the Fall has difficulty accomodating Spring sports teams due to poor drainage issues.
- <sup>3</sup> The field that is used by Field Hockey could potentially be used as a Softball Field in the Spring but is not equipped with dugouts for either teams and currently lacks a backstop.







WJCC Public Schools: 6-Year Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) Budgct Fiscal Year 2013-2014 through Fiscal Year 2018-2019 I.AFAYETTE HIGH SCHOOL

•

TO BE ANY OTHER DESIGNATION OF THE OWNER							
Project	Tier	Rank	Tier Rank Description	Plane			
						A TELADORT	
Food Court	N		11			172th Alter	
	A T		weuesign existing caleteria area into food court, similar to WHS.	Design and construction [5718] 2 225 Kcc	0	325 560	
Refurbish Practice		v	- 4		ņ.	con'cer	
Diald	1	2	Eviluation practice field is badly in need of repair. Estimate [Design and construction Fry 14]	Design and construction Frv14	E.	\$ 120 MM	
nicit T			Includes cost of regrading, reseating, irrigation improvements, and		}	2000 6AG	
			lighting.				
Science Davillion	1 1 1		74753 • 4 •				
HOITTAR T ANIMAR	2		Will provide two science pavilions at rear marshy area between LHS [hostion and construction] [1716] e and en-	Design and construction EV16	0	201 612	
	•		and Warhill Sports Complex; headwaters of Powhatan Creek To	OT A THORNWING MENT WAR	ф. 	COCTONT	
			become part of science curriculum.				
Roof Renlacement	E	50	Dave of Lands and Lands an				
TEATINA TAAL TAAL	7	17	24 Trait 01 the division replacement schedule	Design and construction FV17 & 1 (no 72)	4	1 009 750	
						UC/GOZACY	

5-21-2013

# Williamsburg - James City County Public Schools Capital Improvement Projects Fund Budget Summary

Project	Actual 2014	Budget 2015	Budget 2016	\$ Change
Existing Facilities				
Clara Byrd Baker Elementary				
Refurbishment/Safety & Security Upgrade	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,647,500	\$ 1,647,500
Expand parking	-		290,000	290,000
Partial roof replacement	-		93,000	93,000
Rawls Byrd Elementary				
HVAC (gym)	21,907	-	-	-
Perimeter enclosure	14,917	-	-	-
D J Montague Elementary				
Bus loop canopy			259,510	259,510
Matthew Whaley Elementary				
Dry pipe sprinkler	50,543	-	-	-
HVAC	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.			
HVAC (chiller and auditorium)	43,419	-		
James River Elementary				
HVAC	1,989,983			
Roof replacement		_	641,000	641,000
Matoaka Elementary School				,
Playground repairs	122,051			_
Berkeley Middle School				
Cafeteria expansion	30,009	-		
Toano Middle School				
HVAC	2,058,607			
Parking lot	187,529	-	_	
Refurbishment	1,324,538			
Roof replacement	475,240			
Lafayette High School				
HVAC	2,492,838			
Refurbishment	811,797			
Refurbish practice fields	36,499			
amestown High School	20,177			
Refurbishment	77,195	2,682,684		(2,682,684)
Roof	11,100	614,482		(614,482)
Track Resurfacing		017,402	164,405	
Tennis Court Resurfacing				164,405
Roof underlayment			134,000 200,000	134,000
Division Wide		-	200,000	200,000
Operations HVAC	451,584			
Security card access system		-	-	
Technology	198,105	-	-	
Mobile Classrooms (Middle Schools)	497,194	-	-	-
Pue arrage aquipment	36,609	•	•	
Bus garage equipment	51,554	-	-	
Bus Safety Equipment	107,475	-		
Gym/Garage Lighting	9,196		-	-
Total Existing Facilities	11,088,787	3,297,166	3,429,415	1.271,166

n Description	County/ Caty CIP VY17	RY18	n.	FV30-	12J	FP22	RM	hu4	SCM	FY26	TAPAT
berkeley Tolai	•	352,775	348,176	332,080	230,167	106,653	116,420	1,119,860	•	•	2,606,131
Toano: Ropiace walk-in fridge & reeved Ropiace stortefront entrano wardowe V		82,400		67,749 67,749							82,400 67,749 67 887
generator				700'74	326,398		59,703				59,703 326,398
repaint wate Replace carpeting Replace vinyl bioes P. et.en.s.t.bio.					248,287						248,287
Activation Sections Relativation Foand Tetal		82,400	ŀ	160,631	890,953		59,703		ľ	456.671 397,849	141,178 456,671 1,791,536
Hoerashy: Replace vinyl üles								360,772			360,772
Replace carpeting. Repaint interior Mornsby: Total		,						89,043 207 357 657.172			89,043 207,357 657,172
Lafayette: Replace suit-in frug a litre di Roof deplacement	50,000	1,784,784									50,000 1,784,784
Replace main clocirical switch gear Replace alcetrical ponels Distruction boloson			196.267 132,613 90.177								196,267 132,613 90,177
Deep and start strutus it you more Rower wash and refinish metal root Field Lighting Football Practice Field & Hockey Practice Field		516,977			229,604 56,275	466,84					98,239 229,604 56,275 516,977
Wellovey to Warbill Auxillary Gyni Replace certycling	2,450,679		1,177,184						69.677		1,177,184 2,450,679 69,672
Lafeycite Total	2,500,679	2,301,761	1+2965*1	1	285,879	625'86		•	249,69	•	6,852,771
Jamestown: Republied AAC System BIFS samalr	005 EA	700,000	377,533,776								6,053,776 63 500
Replace wait in Linky & frances Distribution (cleared) Replace semicator		159,650		87,418 54 696							87,418 159,650 54 676
Repaint interior Jamestown Total	63,500	059,628	9/1,636,2	142,054		ŀ	212.243		ŀ		212243
Warhill Overheul crolling tray or Replace modin center curpet Repaint interior				76,491 76,846 277,191							76,491 76,845 277,191
Replace fluoring. Warhili Total				430,528	1,003,087		ŀ			•	£19'EEP'I
Contry Elett: Replace fence and return											201 10

- Begin construction of the LHS Auxiliary Gym prior to the demolition of the James Blair Gym. (Existing Grafton gym plans could help expedite the process) If not before, then at the same time as James Blair, as one project. As soon as a gym is operational, it would
  - Allow JV and V Volleyball, JV and V Boys Basketball, JV and V Girls Basketball to practice consistently on campus; JV and V Baseball and Softball space for indoor batting practice on campus; cheerleading to have a safer environment than wrestling room to practice; and Field Hockey and Football teams to have an alternative to the LHS Commons on rain days.
  - o Eliminate the urgent need to find an alternate to James Blair Gym
  - o Provide storage space needed by Theater Department
- Complete the Field Refurbishment Project to correct drainage issues and repurpose field B as a softball field by adding backstop, dugouts and an infield, thus
  - o Eliminating the need for transportation and use of the Rec Fields
  - o Would allow band to have better usage of the adjoining field in the Fall
- Install field lighting on both LHS campus fields. This would allow
  - o Track/Football/Soccer to practice in the evenings and share space
    - o Softball/Band to practice in the evenings
- Construct a walkway to Warhill Sports Complex for a safe and practical way for student-athletes to transport gear & arrive at practice in a timely manner, without requiring transportation from the School System. Sports impacted include
  - JV and V Field Hockey (Fall), JV and V Baseball (Spring), JV and V Boys Soccer, JV and V Girls Soccer, Cross Country and Football

As shown below, as a result of these proposed upgrades, Lafayette athletic teams would use Lafayette Campus Fields/Facilities & adjacent WSC fields for practice, almost eliminating transportation needs for practices.

James Blair Gym	Hornsby Gym	Rec Center Fields	WSC Fields
JV Boys Basketball	JV Girls Basketball (Fall) JV Boys Basketball	Softball (Spring)	V Field Hockey (Fall)
V Boys Basketball (Fall)	(Fall/Winter)		JV Field Hockey (Fall)
Softball (Fall & Winter)		Softball (Spring)	V Baseball (Spring)
JV Baseball (Fall & Winter)			JV Baseball (Spring)
V Baseball (Fall & Winter)			JV Soccer - Boys (Spring
JV Girls Basketball (In Season)			V Soccer - Boys (Spring)
V Girls Basketball (In Season)			Football (Fall)
Softball (Spring - rain days)			V Soccer - Girls (Spring)
Baseball (Spring - rain days)			JV Soccer - Girls (Spring

Teams no longer requiring use of other fields/facilities or transportation for practice

Teams no longer requiring transportation for practice

My name is Frank Polster and I am at 420 Hempstead Rd, Jamestown District

I spoke in support of the 2017-21 Stormwater CIP projects at the 26 Jan Board of Supervisors Pre-Budget Public Hearing and I am pleased once again to have the opportunity to speak in favor of the 2017-21 Stormwater CIP projects that the Planning Commission is deliberating on tonight.

"By virtue of MS4 priorities (TMDLs) and identified needs in Watershed Management Plans to fix our impaired waterways, funds have tended to be concentrated in the priority areas – since problems on the ground tend to result in problems in the waterway." I made this statement in my comments to the Board of Supervisors in January

An example of these problems are contained in the detailed assessment of the Mill Creek Watershed Management Plan done in 2011, That you can see in my handout. It is the basis for several of the projects that are before you tonight.



Excerpt from Mill Creek Watershed Management Plan 2011

The problems on the ground, in this example, are located in the neighborhoods Foxes, Grayling Woods, Brandon Woods and off Cooley Rd in Indigo Park. The graphic highlight neighborhoods which are contributing to pollution (moderate) with a stream bordering the neighborhoods that were rated as fair and poor (the red, orange and yellow lines) with high erosion conditions contributing sediment into the watershed and runoff of nitrogen and phosphorous from the lawns also into the watershed.

The same types of issues are also true for the Brookhaven, Boughsprings Edgewood Ln, and Winston Terrace Stream Restoration projects also in the Mill Creek Watershed along with the Forrest Glen and Devon Rd Windsor Forrest projects in the Powhatan Creek Watershed. As a side note, the Woodland Farms Outfall Repairs and Stream Restoration projects, in the upper county, qualified for matching 2016 SLAF dollars from DEQ, making county dollars go further.

Grove Drainage & Water Quality Improvement project and the Toano Outfalls Drainage and Water Quality Improvement project bordering an Economic Development Park are intended to protect citizens and improve water quality by installing adequate drainage systems to alleviate existing flooding, support future development and redevelopment. I recently toured the Grove area with the Stormwater Program Advisory Committee and we stopped at the intersection of Whiting and Jackson intersection in Grove. The homeowner at this intersection is also the Roberts District's SPAC representative and we heard first hand about the flooding issue and the impact it has on the quality of life of the community. In some cases, homeowners are using well water, are on a septic systems and not on the county sewer/water system. The impact on them is truly a quality of life and water quality issue when flooding occurs. As I learned from a Grove resident, the project fulfills a promise to the Grove community made 22 years ago by Supervisor Perry DePuy to correct this recurring flooding issue.

The York and Ware Creek are impaired and like the Powhatan/Mill Creek Watershed Master Plans that informed the development of 9 projects in the 2017-21 CIP, the York and Ware Creek Watershed Management Plan Retrofits will also inform the development of future stormwater CIP projects that will restore and correct the impairment problems of these watersheds.

These 2017-21 CIP projects are intended to improve water quality by stabilizing, restoring, enhancing the channels, and providing adequate drainage. The majority of these projects are part of the Powhatan/Mill Creek watershed bacteria TMDL implementation plan due to DEQ in 2016 and are directly tied to the county's future MS4 permit. In addition, the reductions in pollutants from these stream restoration projects are additional credited to the county's pollution reduction requirements of the MS4 permit. But more importantly, it improves the quality of the county waterways and enhances the quality of life of county residents.

As I said at the beginning. "By virtue of MS4 priorities (TMDLs) and identified needs of the watershed plans to fix our impaired water ways; funds have tended to be concentrated in the priority areas – since problems on the ground tend to result in problems in the waterway.

I urge the Planning Commission to continue to solve these problems by supporting the Stormwater 2017-21 CIP projects.

Thank You

### **Jose Ribeiro**

To: Subject: Paul Holt RE: Request to distribute records to Planning Commission for March 21 2016 meeting

Dear Mr. Holt,

On behalf of the LHS Athletics Boosters, we respectfully ask that you distribute the attached documents to the Planning Commission as well as make these records an official part of the meeting minutes for March 21, 2016. In addition, I anticipate that we will have several additional documents including aerial images and a comparison table. The attached documents are itemized below:

- 1. WJCC Facilities report. PDF at <u>http://wiccschools.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/11/Facility-Condition-</u> Educational-Adeguacy-Assessment.pdf;
- 2. 2015 WJCC Facilities Study email with questions and concerns (email correspondence re: item #1);
- 3. LHS Boosters Nov 23, 2015 Letter to School Board;
- 4. 2015 Signed Petition and Comments LHS Athletics Boosters;
- 5. LHS Off Site Gym Usage & Mileage (including Facilities Request Form);
- 6. LHS Athletic Booster 2016 Open House Brochure; and
- 7. Grafton HS Auxiliary Gym & Costs (including email correspondence).

Since there are so many attachments, would you please let me know that you've received this email and can open all attachments?

Many thanks, Bambi Walters LHS Athletic Boosters 757-784-1978 (cell, ok to text)

#### **Bambi Faivre Walters**

From: Sent: To: Subject: KATHY WOOLLUM [thewoollums@msn.com] Friday, March 18, 2016 8:50 AM bambi@bfwpc.com For the record: WJCC EAA Amendments and Clarifications

Please note admission Excel cell errors, among other things.

From: Marcellus.Snipes@wjccschools.org To: thewoollums@msn.com CC: kristanholm@gmail.com Subject: FW: WJCC EAA Amendments and Clarifications Date: Tue, 16 Feb 2016 21:22:11 +0000

Please see attached responses from Faithful + Gould.

From: Snipes, Marcellus Sent: Tuesday, February 16, 2016 12:44 PM To: Overkamp-Smith, Betsy Subject: Fwd: WJCC EAA Amendments and Clarifications

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Daspit, Richard" <<u>Richard.Daspit@fgould.com</u>> Date: February 1, 2016 at 5:36:54 PM EST To: "Snipes, Marcellus" <<u>Marcellus.Snipes@wiccschools.org</u>> Cc: "Long, Samantha K" <<u>Samantha.Long@fgould.com</u>>, "Dutton, Benjamin" <<u>Benjamin.Dutton@fgould.com</u>>, "Bailey, Jonathan" <<u>Jonathan.Bailey@fgould.com</u>> Subject: WJCC EAA Amendments and Clarifications

Good afternoon Marcellus,

Please review the following per our earlier conversations and I can amend as you think may be the information clearer.

Regards,

Rick

and a second second second second	Educational Suitability Score by Nalik (I	lightest to Lowest)	
Corrected ESS	School	Corrected Rank	Prior Rank
92.1	Montague Elementary School	1	1
91.8	Hornsby Middle School	2	2

### Educational Suitability Score By Rank (Highest to Lowest)

90.6	James River Elementary School	3	4
 90.5	Norge Elementary School	4	3
89.6	Warhill High School	5	6
88.9	Blayton Elementary School	6	5
88.5	Toano Middle School	7	7
88.2	Baker Elementary School	8	8
88.1	Jamestown High School	9	9
86.6	Matoaka Elementary School	10	10
 85.8	Lafayette High School	11	13
85.5	Stonehouse Elementary School	12	11
85.0	Berkeley Middle School	13	12
82.4	Matthew Whaley Elementary School	14	14
80.5	Rawls Byrd Elementary	15	15
			Num en anticipation de la comp

Key

Rank Unchanged Rank Increased Rank Decreased

#### Responses to Ms. Woollum's email:

#### Category 01: Capacity

- 1. Is building 900 included in the 202,500 square foot number?
- Response: The square foot number was obtained from the 2014 CIP Project History and reportedly includes building 900 except for the CRD area.
- 2. Capacity score observation: 97.8 score is identical among all 3 high schools- how is that possible?
- Response: The Capacity scores have been revisited and a cell reference corrected resulting in a Capacity Score decrease to 59.3 at LHS.

Category 02: Support for Programs

- 1. The weighted scores do not add up to equal the sum raw score- this is the case for all 3 high schools (yet the program area scores do) What is the formula for the sum raw score for Program Space?
- Response: The formula for the raw score is the sum of the weighted scores within each category and a cell reference corrected resulting in a Support for Program Score increase to 95.6 at LHS.
- LHS Aux gym score should be 0, not 68.2. Like Warhill, that scored 0, LHS does not have an aux gym. The "aux gym" demarkations on historic LHS floor plans are outdated, as that space is a weight room, similar to the other high schools.
- Response: The question of Auxiliary Gymnasiums was discussed at the Facility Standards Workshop that concluded the development of building 900 as a multi-functioning high-bay gymnasium supporting health and physical education programs satisfied the criteria of an Auxiliary Gymnasium.
- 3. Gymnasium capacity/ enrollment #s- how were they derived?
- Response: The "Capacity" and "Enrollment" columns present three data sets depending on the Program Space category as noted parenthetically on the line item; Students quantity, Existing and Required Square Footage, and Students per period.
- a. LHS' single gym capacity 5620 / 4860
- o Response: This number refers to existing square footage and required square footage

- b. while Warhill's double gym is 4860 / 7250
- o Response: This number refers to existing square footage and required square footage
- c. JHS is 4860/ 5400
- o Response: This number refers to existing square footage and required square footage
- a. JHS aux is 5278/ 3250
- Response: This number refers to existing square footage and required square footage

#### Program Area/Field

- 1. All 3 high schools scored the same, yet clearly that is incorrect
- Response: The analysis across all properties and categories has been revisited and the scoring is correct.
- 2. LHS is credited with having a soccer field and a baseball field on campus, neither is accurate.
- Response: The current site includes developed areas north and adjacent to the tennis courts to provide soccer and baseball/softball play areas, and sufficient space in the track in-field for a second soccer field per VDOE site criteria. The evaluation criteria does not consider compliance with National Federation of State High School Associations rules.
- 3. LHS basketball courts erroneously are scored the same as the Warhill double court capacity gym and the Jamestown (gym + aux) courts.
- Response: This category scores outdoor basketball courts and is consistent across each property based on the availability of hard surfaces for court play.
  - 4. Program area score accounts for 25% of the 02 metric, and the LHS Score of 100 is more likely a 35
- Response: The LHS Raw Site Score totals 100 with a 25% weighting factor resulting in a Site Adjusted Score of 25.

#### Appendix A

- 1. The header on the Appendix reads "WJC Facility Assessment" which is not consistent with the "WJCC Public Schools" endeavor.
- Response: The headers of Appendix A will be amended to "WJCC Public Schools".

This email and any attached files are confidential and copyright protected. If you are not the addressee, any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. Unless otherwise expressly agreed in writing, nothing stated in this communication shall be legally binding.

The ultimate parent company of the Atkins Group is WS Atkins plc. Registered in England No. 1885586. Registered Office Woodcote Grove. Ashiev Road, Epsom, Surrey KT18 5BW, A list of wholly owned Atkins Group companies registered in the United Kingdom and locations around the world can be lound at <a href="http://www.atkinsglobal.com/site-services/group-company-registration-details">http://www.atkinsglobal.com/site-services/group-company-registration-details</a>

Consider the environment. Please don't print this e-mail unless you really need to

#### **Bambi Faivre Walters**

From: Sent: To: Subject: KATHY WOOLLUM [thewoollums@msn.com] Friday, March 18, 2016 8:40 AM bambi@bfwpc.com For the record: questions re Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA

From: thewoollums@msn.com To: marcellus.snipes@wjccschools.org CC: kristanholm@gmail.com Subject: RE: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA Date: Sat, 13 Feb 2016 10:38:31 -0500

Hello Marcellus-

One could certainly argue that an update is needed when they used incorrect information for a score. It is disappointing to think that our tax dollars are paying for a project for which the consultants will not ensure an accurate report, particularly on such a sensitive, timely issue. Please advisethanks, Kathy

From: Marcellus.Snipes@wjccschools.org To: thewoollums@msn.com Subject: RE: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA Date: Thu, 4 Feb 2016 16:26:05 +0000

They would only update the report and subsequent scoring, if needed. They are in the process of providing answers to your questions.

From: KATHY WOOLLUM [mailto:thewoollums@msn.com] Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 6:29 PM To: Snipes, Marcellus Subject: RE: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA

Thanks for checking in Marcellus- I was thinking about this very topic today. I'm confused, you had mentioned they would update it, and the subsequent scoring. Can you help me understand what changed? thanks-Kathy

From: <u>Marcellus.Snipes@wjccschools.org</u> To: <u>thewoollums@msn.com</u> Subject: RE: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA Date: Wed, 3 Feb 2016 15:22:56 +0000

#### Good Morning!

The report was not revised but we will have answers to your questions below. I will forward the answers when received.

From: Snipes, Marcellus Sent: Thursday, January 28, 2016 3:17 PM To: 'KATHY WOOLLUM'; Robertson, Alan Cc: Barner, Daniel; Kristan holm Subject: RE: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA

Yes we are faring well this week. The warm temperatures and dedicated staff have assisted us in clearing the snow.

I should be able to provide you with a copy of the revised report soon. Hopefully, next week by Wednesday 2/3 at the latest.

From: KATHY WOOLLUM [mailto:thewoollums@msn.com] Sent: Wednesday, January 27, 2016 9:07 PM To: Snipes, Marcellus; Robertson, Alan Cc: Barner, Daniel; Kristan holm Subject: RE: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA

Hello Marcellus- I hope you are faring well this snowy week!

After our LHS Booster Club planning meeting this evening, Kristan and I shared the LHS details of the facilities report with Mr Barner and he was pleased to hear that you were requesting an updated version from F&G, including the observations made in the original email at the bottom of this email string.

Since it really is a matter of updating a spreadsheet and checking formulas, we'd expect that they could turn around the information fairly quickly. We have a follow up discussion next Friday, Feb 5; it would be helpful if we could have the updated scores by then.

thanks! Kathy

From: <u>Marcellus.Snipes@wiccschools.org</u> To: <u>thewoollums@msn.com</u>; <u>Alan.Robertson@wiccschools.org</u> Subject: RE: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA Date: Fri, 15 Jan 2016 14:44:54 +0000 Thank you Kathy! I did receive your email and I will be forwarding your requests for information the project lead at F + G. I will ask for a timeframe of when answers can be provided and advise you of their response(s).

From: KATHY WOOLLUM [mailto:thewoollums@msn.com] Sent: Friday, January 15, 2016 9:02 AM To: Robertson, Alan; Snipes, Marcellus Subject: FW: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA

Hi there and happy new year!

Marcellus, I think the business card I have for you may be outdated, so the email below might not have found its way to you.

Can you both let me know that you received this?

thanks! Kathy 757-814-7930

## From: <u>thewoollums@msn.com</u> To: <u>alan.robertson@wiccschools.org</u>; <u>snipesm@wicc.k12.va.us</u> CC: <u>kristanholm@gmail.com</u>; <u>daniel.barner@wiccschools.org</u> Subject: Nov 6 Report of FC and EAA Date: Tue, 22 Dec 2015 12:50:25 -0500 Hello Mr Snipes and Mr Robertson-

Congratulations again on obtaining an objective opinion on facility needs in order to support long term planning. There appears to be great appreciation for the study for budget purposes, and to validate our school district's needs to funding partners. We recognize that the timing of the results, combined with the myriad of other responsibilities you both have may not have allowed for adequate review before the report was finalized. So, we'd like to help.

As Mr Snipes and I spoke about briefly before the Dec 15 SB meeting, the challenge in using the Nov 6 report fully, is that questions have been raised, specific to the LHS data. We anticipate that the team from Faithful & Gould, once made aware of the discrepancies, will revisit the analysis in order to ensure the accuracy of their report and resulting recommendations so that the report may be used as intended. As a reputable firm, they surely appreciate that if the fundamental information that goes into an analysis is inaccurate or not fully vetted, then obviously the findings are significantly less valuable to their client. It seems reasonable to expect that as the firm who was awarded the contract to deliver their scope of services (and receive payment), they will ensure that the scope of services are delivered in order to fulfill their contractual commitment to WJCC Schools.

Page 1 of their report, bullet one, says their role was to "*identify and document the present conditions and risks at each school*". Second paragraph includes "*we evaluated the current condition of all elements of the subject facilities and sites...*" This is repeated on page 19 in the Scope of Services.

Requests from the Advocacy team, specific to the LHS data, are:

- 01: Capacity
  - Is building 900 included in the 202,500 square foot number?
  - Capacity score observation: 97.8 score is identical among all 3 high schools- how is that possible?
- 02: Support for Programs: "the site score is based on the presence of appropriate physical education areas and athletic fields"

Program Space:

- the weighted scores do not add up to equal the sum raw score- this is the case for all 3 high schools (yet the program area scores do) What is the formula for the sum raw score for Program Space?
- LHS Aux gym score should be 0, not 68.2. Like Warhill, that scored 0, LHS does not have an aux gym. The "aux gym" demarkations on historic LHS floor plans are outdated, as that space is a weight room, similar to the other high schools
- Gymnasium capacity/ enrollment #s- how were they derived?
  - LHS' single gym capacity 5620 / 4860
  - while Warhill's double gym is 4860 / 7250
  - JHS is 4860/ 5400
    - JHS aux is 5278/ 3250

#### Program Area/Field

- All 3 high schools scored the same, yet clearly that is incorrect
- LHS is credited with having a soccer field and a baseball field on campus, neither is accurate, this should be 0
- LHS basketball courts erroneously are scored the same as the Warhill double court capacity gym and the Jamestown (gym + aux) courts.
- Program area score accounts for 25% of the 02 metric, and the LHS Score of 100 is more likely a 35

Again, we anticipate that F+G will fulfill the terms of their engagement with WJCC Schools and ensure a quality deliverable by correcting the data as needed.

Lastly, it is worth noting that the header on the Appendix reads "WJC Facility Assessment" - which is not consistent with the "WJCC Public Schools" endeavor. It matters because getting the details right enhances credibility, while getting them wrong diminishes it.

Happy to discuss at your convenience!

Thank you-Kathy Woollum

#### **Bambi Faivre Walters**

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments: Tschirhart, Mark [mtschirhart@ycsd.york.va.us] Friday, March 18, 2016 3:58 PM 'Bambi Faivre Walters' Sutton, Laura RE: Grafton - request for auxiliary gym info Aux gym dimensions.pdf

Bambi,

Here you go.

Mark

----Original Message-----From: Bambi Faivre Walters [mailto:bambi@bfwpc.com] Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 3:20 PM To: Tschirhart, Mark <<u>mtschirhart@vcsd.york.va.us</u>> Cc: Sutton, Laura <<u>LSutton@vcsd.york.va.us</u>> Subject: RE: Grafton - request for auxiliary gym info

Mark,

Thank you lots -- that's exactly the cost information that we need! Could I ask if you have an electronic sketch of the gym? We don't need all the architectural drawings, just an overall image that shows the gym and walkway that connected to the other building. Also, do you know the approximate interior dimensions of the gym?

Sorry to keep asking, but we need to give some realistic information. The WJCC School Board and Planning Commission have an initial, proposed estimate of \$2.45M for our auxiliary gym, so the cost data you shared makes it seem doable.

With much appreciation, Bambi Walters

----Original Message-----From: Tschirhart, Mark [mailto:mtschirhart@ycsd.york.va.us] Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 2:58 PM To: 'Bambi Faivre Walters' Cc: Sutton, Laura Subject: RE: Grafton - request for auxiliary gym info

Bambi,

Laura Sutton had called and asked me for this information as well. I have just now been able to pull together some totals that could be useful to you.

Architectural and engineering costs were \$177,000. Construction was \$1,660,000.

The project was bid in 2013, substantial completion was Jan 7, 2014.

Construction costs typically escalate around 5% per year so bidding the same gym in 2016 would cost approximately \$1,925,000. Add the A&E cost to that for a total project cost.

Mark

-----Original Message-----From: Bambi Faivre Walters [mailto:bambi@bfwpc.com] Sent: Friday, March 18, 2016 2:18 PM To: Tschirhart, Mark <<u>mtschirhart@ycsd.york.va.us</u>> Cc: Kimberly Osborne <<u>kim@stagingbyvip.com</u>> Subject: Grafton - request for auxiliary gym info

Dear Mr Tschirhart,

Hi, my name is Bambi Walters, and I am a parent of students at Lafayette High School who are trying to get information to our Planning Commission about plans and costs of the new Grafton gym as well as who built.

Can you please help us get some information? If not, who can we ask?

Thank you, Bambi Walters LHS Athletic Boosters 757-784-1978

# LHS ATHLETIC BOOSTER CLUB



#### November 23, 2015

Dear Members of the Williamsburg/ James City County School Board:

Thank you for your consideration and discussion of the existing Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) needs of Lafayette High School during the School Board meeting on Tuesday, November 17. As president of the LHS Athletic Booster Club, I represent an organized group of parents, school staff, alumni, community members and business owners dedicated to supporting all sports at Lafayette High School.

It is my pleasure to provide additional context to the existing needs at LHS. Please note that nearly half of our sports teams must travel off campus for games and practices. This creates unnecessary risks to our student athletes, additional time away from their studies, a logistical burden on parents, an inconsistent environment for activities to take place, and an extraordinary responsibility to the school system.

## 1. LHS Auxiliary Gym

### Background

- LHS has neither an auxiliary gym (like Jamestown HS) nor a double capacity gym (like Warhill HS). The vast majority of high schools in the region have an auxiliary gym.
- Numerous plans existed to build an aux. gym at LHS but were never executed.
- LHS capacity needs for sports practices and PE instruction continue to be exacerbated by growing student enrollment; Current enrollment has already exceeded 2017 projections.
- LHS Sports Teams compete for space at Hornsby with outside entities such as church groups and AAU teams that have paid the County for that space.

## **Problem: Safety Issues**

- Students must leave campus to attend practice.
- Students are challenged to find a ride to various practice locations.
- Students must travel to practice later at night.
- Athletic trainer and student aides cannot cover all practices due logistical constraints.

## **Problem: Current Capacity Issues**

Lack of space for sports teams and student instruction

## Fall Time Frame

- Volleyball and Cheerleading use LHS gym during their fall season for practices and games. Thus, other sports must use James Blair facility for any off-season workouts for all who are interested (greater number than roster) namely:
  - JV and Varsity Boys basketball open gym
  - JV and Varsity Girls basketball open gym
  - Baseball during inclement weather

# LHS ATHLETIC BOOSTER CLUB



Softball during inclement weather

## Winter Time Frame

- Girls and Boys Basketball, while in season, are guaranteed LHS gym for games only.
- Teams that must utilize James Blair and Hornsby for practice schedule
  - o JV and Varsity Boys basketball
  - o JV and Varsity Girls basketball
- Off season, the following teams have access to James Blair only:
  - o Softball
  - o Baseball

Spring: Rain day practices at James Blair

- Softball
- Baseball

## Instruction

• Physical education classes lack optimal participation due to space limitations of current gym- students sit out.

## **Theater Department**

• Theater department lacks significant storage space that could be remedied by space within an auxiliary gym.

# Problem: Future Capacity Urgency

 Based on current plans for a new middle school, James Blair will no longer be available to LHS.

2. Need for Walkway	to Warhill	Sports	Complex	
Background	1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-1999-199			

- LHS historically used Mid County Park fields. Since those fields have been eliminated, LHS now accesses Warhill Sports Complex (WSC).
- Students need safe passage to practice fields. Initial approved budget item became unwieldy with recommended adaptations.

## **Problem: Safety Issues**

- Students must traverse a steep hill, then cross various creeks to get through wooded area to access fields at WSC. 2x4 planks over creek areas are primary indicators of paths in this unlit area.
- Cross-country team runs along congested Longhill Road.

# Students drive off campus.

# **Problem: Logistical Challenges**

- Teams must request buses, which adds pressure on Transportation Department.
- Athletes drive to WSC.
- Teams must transport gear and supplies off campus.

# Current Teams Impacted by Sports games or practices that are held at WSC:

- Baseball
- Girls soccer
- Boys soccer

# LHS ATHLETIC BOOSTER CLUB



- Cross country
- Periodic football practices
- Periodic field hockey practices
- (Softball practices and plays at James City County Rec. Center)

### 3. Need for Lights on Practice Fields

# Background

Lights were included in plans for LHS practice fields but never installed at LHS.

## Safety Issues

- Band must practice in parking lot
- Overall safety concern due to unlit area (particularly when it gets dark at 5:00 pm)

### **Teams Impacted**

- Football- namely during post season play
- Track- winter and spring
- Cross Country
- Tennis
- Field Hockey
- Band

It was encouraging that when it was time on the agenda to discuss the Capital Improvement Plan, the above issues were acknowledged and a productive conversation among the board ensued.

We appreciate that Dr. Constantino said he highly encourages the board to add or adjust as needed and would welcome the topic at the next joint meeting with the County so that citizens in the community can be heard.

The LHS Athletic Boosters seek reasonable, consistent and safe access to sporting events and an efficient working environment for our athletes and coaching staff. Our request is that these long standing concerns be included in the 2016 budget.

Respectfully,

Kally Wallan

Kathy Woollum President Lafayette High School Athletic Boosters

# WJCC School Board

	Name	From	C
1.	Bambi Walters	Williamsburg, VA	
2.	Kathryn Irby	GULFPORT, MS	
З.	Kimberly Osborne	Williamsburg, VA	
4.	Kathy Woollum	Williamsburg, VA	
5.	Randall Holm	Williamsburg, VA	
6.	Chris Howard	Williamsburg, VA	
7.	Steven Menzies	Williamsburg, VA	lt
			- 4

Comments

It's about time we do something for these talented young students. They have succeeded despite a very average infrastructure supporting them. We are a flagship school in the very first HS in Williamsburg, why should we have the worst facilities?

8.	Kellie Ernst	Williamsburg, VA
9.	Heather Moreno	Williamsburg, VA
10.	<b>Rochelle Seitz</b>	Williamsburg, VA
11.	Leigh Ann Carroll	Williamsburg, VA
12.	Dorothy Osborne	Williamsburg, VA
13.	German Mendez	Williamsburg, VA
14.	Sherrie Carroll	Williamsburg, VA
15.	James J. Knicely	Williamsburg, VA
16.	Rebecca Linn	Williamsburg, VA
17.	Anthony Domalski	Williamsburg, VA
18.	Mallory Young	Williamsburg, VA
19.	Mark Bernecker	Williamsburg, VA
20.	Susan Kern	Williamsburg, VA
21.	David Corlett	Williamsburg, VA
22.	Jill Trott	Williamsburg, VA
23.	Greg Hatcher	Williamsburg, VA
24.	Joan Peterson	Williamsburg, VA
25.	Andrea Pokorny	Williamsburg, VA
26.	Anna Kostelni	Williamsburg, VA
27.	Gail Galli	Williamsburg, VA
28.	James Osborne	Williamsburg, VA

The walkway and lighting are particularly important for safety.

Let's do this!

Our student athletes deserve this!

Page 1 - Signatures 1 - 28

	Name	From	Comments
29.	Todd Gentry	Williamsburg, VA	There's an imbalance of how resources are allocated! This deserves attention and a resolution to resource LHS!
30.	Vanessa Gentry	Williamsburg, VA	
31.	Michelle Lawrence	Williamsburg, VA	
32.	Deborah Huckins	Williamsburg, VA	Lafayette is the oldest high school in James City County. Has been pushed to lowest level of the pole when it comes to making sure LHS students receive the same as the other 2 high schools. It's time to focus on this school. The new ones can take a back seat and wait their turn. It's time folks.
33.	Susan Lessner Boesler	Williamsburg, VA	Lafayette High School has been neglected for years as the oldest high school in town. The facilities are far from being on par compared to the other schools here and rightfully will need more money spent on Lafayette to even slightly improve it. The sporting programs will suffer greatly when James Blair is abolished and another venue for the athletes is taken away.
34.	Dani Cote	Williamsburg, VA	
35.	Donna Epps	Williamsburg, VA	
36.	Debbie Wright	Williamsburg, VA	
37.	Tammi Collins	Williamsburg, VA	
38.	Jamie Savat	Williamsburg, VA	
39.	Danielle Tayman	Williamsburg, VA	
40.	Kayla Poller	Williamsburg, VA	
41.	Katie Brophy	Norfolk, VA	
42.	Juanita Singletary	Newport News, VA	
43.	Paula Fulcher	Williamsburg, VA	
44.	Lewis Renkel	Williamsburg, VA	These are all important projects for the development, and safety for the students at LHS. I encourage you to consider these changes to accelerate these projects.
45.	Megan Roberts	Williamsburg, VA	As a member of the basketball team, an auxiliary gym is extremely necessary. Our JV team can't practice until 6:15 at James Blair, and as of next year we will not have access to that facility. An auxiliary gym is a must.
46.	Patricia Spadaro	Williamsburg, VA	
47.	Stephen A Menaquale	WILLIAMSBURG, VA	
48.	Troy Crosby	Toano, VA	
49.	Susan Doherty	Williamsburg, VA	
50.	Christopher Gareis	Williamsburg, VA	

	Name	From
51.	Eleschia Ruttley-Shipp	Williamsburg, VA
52.	Cathy Huckins	Williamsburg, VA
53.	Suzanne Yeats	Williamsburg, VA
54.	Paula Mooradian	Williamsburg, VA
55.	Bonnie-Lyn Wilson	Lexington Park, MD
56.	Monique Dandridge	Williamsburg, VA
57.	lisa Hatcher	Williamsburg, VA
58.	Trish Rice	Williamsburg, VA
59.	Susan Barton	Williamsburg, VA
60.	Megan Reuter	Williamsburg, VA
61.	Jennifer Quarles	Williamsburg, VA
62.	Jill Zimmerman	Williamsburg, VA
63.	Kim Rich	Williamsburg, VA
64.	David Wilson	Williamsburg, VA

Lafayette facilities should be compatable with the other two WJCC high schools. It is a significant burden for my son to go to practice at Warhill rather than to use the facilities on the school grounds. Additionally, the use of a path between the school and Warhill would save tax dollars.

65.	Corey Bartlett	Williamsburg, VA
66.	Bruce Tomberg	Williamsburg, VA
67.	Kimberly Wortham	Norfolk, VA
68.	Douglas Pons	Williamsburg, VA
69.	Jacqueline Nirmaier	willamsburg, VA
70.	Deborah Wahls	Williamsburg, VA
71.	Cassie Winters	Toano, VA
72.	Jacx Ramos	Williamsburg, VA
73.	Jane Oren	Williamsburg, VA
74.	Michael Mock	Williamsburg, VA
75.	Marjie Harris	Williamsburg, VA
76.	Leigh Anne Golub	Williamsburg, VA
77.	David Golub	Williamsburg, VA
78.	Stacie Gillen	Williamsburg, VA
79.	Michael Galli	Williamsburg, VA

All these things are extremely important to the students and should be added to the budget.

they need more on-site facilities and facility improvements in addition to easier or direct access to the sports complex

Comments

	Name	From	Comments
80.	Lisa Lucas	Williamsburg, VA	
81.	Pablo Yanez	Williamsburg, VA	
82.	Ursula Robins	Williamsburg, VA	
83.	Anita O'Brien	Williamsburg, VA	
84.	Kelley Crisci	1, VA	
85.	Jeff Crisci	Williamsburg, VA	
86.	Beth Chambers	Williamsburg, VA	Lafayette High School athletes deserve these facilities!
87.	Tatyana Kuzmenko	Williamsburg, VA	
88.	Jesica Cline	Williamsburg, VA	
89.	Bobby Porter	Williamsburg, VA	
90.	Linda Hertzler	Williamsburg, VA	
91.	silvia tandeciarz	williamsburg, VA	
92.	Graham Granger	Williamsburg, VA	
93.	Stanislav Kuzmenko	Williamsburg, VA	As a member of the LHS Track & Field Team, these items are vitally important to my success.
94.	Judy Bono	Williamsburg, VA	It's time for the WJCC School Board to stop playing favorites and provide equal educational and sports facilities to all WJCC students. Because of the crazy redistricting that took place a few years ago, some students have had the privilege of attending new elementary, middle and high schools, while other students have had to attend one old facility after

Everyone is aware that some schools get more than others and that the facilities are not equal. This inequity has changed the demographics of neighborhoods as families have moved out seeking what they perceive to be a better educational and athletic environment. This has influenced real estate values and caused a good deal of hostility toward present and past school boards.

It's time to level the playing field and start modernizing and renovating the schools that need it the most, instead of improving the newer schools that already have the better facilities. Lafayette High School has a lot going for it, but we are treated like a red-headed stepchild. We need an auxiliary gym, we need a walkway and we need lighting on our sports fields. We want our school to look like the success that it is. We want our Lafayette students to be treated like the academic and athletic champions that they are.

As a Coach and an employee at Lafayette, I have experienced the limited practice space 1st jand. We are always shuffling and getting bumped for other teams. We (continues on next page)

95. channing wynne

ine Toano, VA

	Name	From	Comments
95.	channing wynne	Toano, VA	<i>(continued from previous page)</i> need another space so this won't happen. The success lafayette athletes have will only grow with the proper facilities!
96.	Barbara Little	Williamsburg, VA	
97.	Demond Lawrence	Williamsburg, VA	
98.	Raymond Westfall	Williamsburg, VA	
99.	Tamara Da Silva	Williamsburg, VA	
100.	Stephen Council	Williamsburg, VA	
101.	Anita Angelone	Williamsburg, VA	
102.	Sonya Perozek	Williamsburg, VA	
103.	Deborah Keane	Williamsburg, VA	Lafayette HS has always been the neglected child in the WJCC School District. They have no baseball field of their own, no inside gym for winter workouts and yet they still

104. Sarah Wright Williamsburg, VA 105. Elizabeth Johnson Williamsburg, VA 106. Addison Williamsburg, VA Mooradian 107. Susan Strang Williamsburg, VA 108. Laurie Lee Williamsburg, VA 109. b lee williamsburg, VA 110. Mandi McKnight Williamsburg, VA 111. Sue Sydow Williamsburg, VA 112. **Kristen King** Williamsburg, VA 113. **Josalynn Hayes** Williamsburg, VA 114. Deanna Guiseppi Williamsburg, VA

115. Zuleika Hedrick Williamsburg, VA 116. Carter Lowery Williamsburg, VA 117. Ryan Lee Williamsburg, VA 118. Kimberly Galvin Williamsburg, VA 119. Marissa Boyd Williamsburg, VA 120. Teresa lverson Williamsburg, VA 121. Heidi Demasi Williamsburg, VA 122. Kathy Swan Williamsburg, VA

Larayette HS has always been the neglected child in the WJCC School District. They have no baseball field of their own, no inside gym for winter workouts and yet they still are the only baseball team in the WJCC school district to win States. Don't you think it is about time the boys get some decent facilities?

It's time! The Lafayette High School family expects and deserves these necessary improvements. Let's do the right thing for our school community!

FORMER & CURRENT LHS STUDENTS & FAMILIES!

	Name	From
123.	Jenni Lisle	Williamsburg, VA
124.	Hillary Bryant	Williamsburg, VA
125.	Jeanette Wright	Williamsburg, VA
126.	Sara Clark	Williamsburg, VA
127.	Sharon McGinty	Williamsburg, VA
128.	baylie gentry	Williamsburg, VA
129.	Kristi Hughes	Williamsburg, VA

## Comments

times everyday.

As a mother of three who lives in this district, I'm appalled by the condition of this facility in general. We do not live in an impoverished county, why are our children going to school in substandard facilities?

130.	Joy Gonzalez	Williamsburg, VA
131.	Kim Smith	Williamsburg, VA
132.	connor wrann	Williamsburg, VA
133.	Scott Rich	Williamsburg, VA
134.	carly orlowski	Williamsburg, VA
135.	Madisyn Guiseppi	Williamsburg, VA
136.	Brooke Crookston	Williamsburg, VA

Julie Thorn Willimsburg, VA
 Leisa Williams Williamsburg, VA
 Denise Smith Leesburg, GA
 Sierra Long Williamsburg, VA

141.	Jillian Dalton	Williamsburg, VA
142.	Jack Erwin	Williamsburg, VA
143.	Jennifer Garcia	Williamsburg, VA

144. Jeffrey Wrobel Richmond, VA 145. john womeldorf williamsburg, VA 146. **Heather Mason** Williamsburg, VA 147. **Missy Piggott** Williamsburg, VA 148. Claire Kennis Williamsburg, VA 149. Monica Outten Williamsburg, VA

There are many people that fall in the swamp to wisc everyday. The walkway will be used by runners multiple

My son graduated from Lafayette in 2012 and the school desperately needs these upgrades as well as other upgrades to the outside grounds

It is imperative that all students have access to facilities which are beneficial. There is a CLEAR disparity between LHS and JHS in many ways. This would be a step forward in ensuring that eventually the gap between the schools starts to close.

If LHS is going to remain competitive at the 4A level these things need to be provided. Why should the students, student athletes, faculty and coaches not be granted the (continues on next page)

Page 6 - Signatures 123 - 149

	and the state	
Name		Comments
Monica Outten	Williamsburg, VA	(continued from previous page) right to improve LHS for things that are so needed? It was the School Board's decision to convert a perfectly functioning school into office space, now only to tear it down??? How does this benefit the school district and our
		children's future?
Jennifer Myers	New Kent, VA	
Nancy Schwab	Williamsburg, VA	
Alan Paolucci	Williamsburg, VA	My daughters have been cheerleaders at Lafayette for 5 years (both of them combined). Every year, it's a struggle for them to train because a gym is not available for their use. They've been forced to use any available space and due to that fact, injuries have occurred. This is a SAFETY issue that needs to be addressed. An auxiliary gym is a MUST HAVE. Confusion exists on this issue because some people have erroneously labeled the weight room as an auxiliary gym. This is not accurate and the continued willful ignorance on the part of the school board is unconscionable! We elected you to fairly represent ALL WJCC schools. Bias and favoritism (because that's what it looks like) should not be tolerated. Lafayette continues to perform at an award winning level, competing at and winning state competitions on a regular basis. The school was recognized for its outstanding athletics program last year at the state level, for promoting excellence and sportsmanship. Given that, it is baffling why Lafayette doesn't receive fair treatment by the Board. Is it because Lafayette has a higher minority representation than the other schools in WJCC? An outsider looking in might draw that conclusion (fair or not). Please don't let that happen. We don't need Williamsburg becoming the epicenter of a
Calob Massio	Barhamsville VA	national race issue.
Tara Neville	Williamsburg, VA	Our family has been at JAMESTOWN and Lafayettethe discrepancy is astounding! Fairness throughout WJCC schools should not be so difficult to achieve. Bringing LHS up to Jamestown standards should have been done years ago. Improvements to JHS should be shelved until LHS has comparable facilities. An auxiliary gym? How do we sit on that for so long? Come on! Let's give ALL WJCC students our support and get LHS the basic amenities that JHS has enjoyed for years!
	Jennifer Myers Nancy Schwab Alan Paolucci	Monica OuttenWilliamsburg, VAJennifer Myers Nancy Schwab Alan PaolucciNew Kent, VA Williamsburg, VA Williamsburg, VACaleb Massie Haleigh LykinsBarhamsville, VA Williamsburg, VA

- 156.Jeff rehlKissimmee, FL157.Georgette<br/>ThroupeWilliamsburg, VA
- 158. Stephanie Collier Tampa, FL

	Name	From	Comments
159.	Marc Stedman	Williamsburg, VA	
160.	Jamie Lapetina	Toano, VA	
161.	Damian Jimmison	williamsburg, VA	
162.	Lauren Lisle	Newport News, VA	
163.	Paula Marotta	Williamsburg, VA	
164.	Craig Barrick	Williamsburg, VA	
165.	Annie Sears	Williamsburg, VA	
166.	Rebecca Gay	Williamsburg, VA	
168.	Tracie massie	Barhamsville, VA	
169.	Ryan Turnsge	Mechanicsville, VA	23111
170.	Tom Oren	Williamsburg, VA	
171.	Corey Liasse	Newport News, VA	
172.	Priscilla Kilgore	Williamsburg, VA	
173.	Jennifer Taylor	Williamsburg, VA	
174.	Cara Martin	Williamsburg, VA	
175.	Sonia Paolucci	Williamsburg, VA	My 4th child is attending this school. 2 of my daughters have been kicked out of the gym into the wrestling room for practice. Cheer is a sport! One that requires a tall room and ample room for mats. They can not perform a proper practice in the wrestling room. It's just not a safe place. The gym is a necessity. The walkway needs to be a safe path for our athletes who have to go to another facility because we don't have the proper ones at LHS! The LHS athletic department is truly a step above the rest. Not only does the board affect athletics but LHS has a VERY strong academic sports department as well. Our One Act plays have gone to state several times and have won. The board has taken space away from them. Our sets need a place to be built and costumes need to be stored. Our Band is growing. We don't have the storage space for the kids, uniforms, and instruments. Our Marching band is forced to practice on the bus loop in the heat of summer because the fields are not up to par or there is no room. Lafayette is

176. Hansford Taliaferro Williamsburg, VA

177. Beth Hertzler
 178. Tina Renfrow

Williamsburg, VA Williamsburg, VA district.

similar.

a great school despite the board! I think it's about time Lafayette gets treated the same as the other schools in the

Not only is a auxiliary gym needed, but a new gymnasium

is needed or an expansion of the current gym is needed.

I've seen gyms at other schools not as big as Lafayette that has bigger gyms. On example is Brunswick High gym that seats 2100 people. Lafayette should have something

Lanexa, VA
Lallexa, VA
Richmond, VA
Williamsburg, VA
Lanexa, VA
Williamsburg, VA
Williamsburg, VA
Williamsburg, VA
Williamsburg, VA

187. Lynn Bauer Williamsburg, VA 188. **Trent Neville** Williamsburg, VA 189. **Trevor Wagner** Washington, DC 190. **Julie Hummel** Williamsburg, VA 191. Tracey Mendoza Williamsburg, VA 192. Kathryn Tomczak Williamsburg, VA 193. **Joe Fisher** Williamsburg, VA 194. Kelly Lovis Williamsburg, VA 195. Shannon Hassan Williamsburg, VA 196. Allison Jump williamsburg, VA 197. Haley Lisle Williamsburg, VA 198. Amanda Gorelick Williamsburg, VA 199. Crystal Crump Lanexa, VA 200. **James Barton** Williamsburg, VA 201. Luz Rivera Williamsburg, VA

Williamsburg, VA

Lafayette has long been the "step child" when comparisons to the other schools! It's time to change that.

Comments

The sports program at Lafayette had a huge impact on my son. He was one of many that had to walk from Warhill to LHS not only for sports but also for extra classes. These are important for our STUDENTS, which is where the district should be centered on.

It's about time that Lafayette gets what the other schools already have, even up the playing field for all of our kids.

Because I couldn't get it last year I hope that future classes can.

Our Lafayette students are also deserving of the best!

Please treat LHS with the respect the school, students, teachers & staff deserve--these items are long over due and thus is the oldest high school in the WJCC system--these requests should be granted to make LHS equally competitive with JHS & WHS--please stop ignoring this wonderful HS! Thank you for your time and consideration.

203.	Destiny Rivera	Williamsburg, VA
204.	Mark Marable	Henrico, VA
205.	Mark Rivera	Williamsburg, VA

Alyse Goetsch

202.

	Name	From	Comments
206.	Carlie Logan	Williamsburg, VA	
207.	Kasiah Blake	Williamsburg, VA	
208.	Cassie Massie	Toano, VA	
209.	Brittany Coley	Williamsburg, VA	
210.	Mary Minor	Williamsburg, VA	
211.	GEORGE TSIGARIDAS	WILLIAMSBURG, VA	
212.	Taylor Heitman	Westminster, MD	
213.	Rashawn Smith	Williamsburg, VA	
214.	Derrick Abson	Williamsburg, VA	
215.	Alyssa Hogan	Williamsburg, VA	
216.	Raven Blake	Williamsburg, VA	
217.	susan dixon	lightfoot, VA	
218.	Laura Tripp	Williamsburg, VA	
219.	Mary K. Goad	Williamsburg, VA	
220.	Shelly Neischel	Williamsburg, VA	
221.	Rodney Taylor	Newport News, VA	
222.	Jessie Blagg	Norfolk, VA	
223.	Deboorah Santrock	Hayes, VA	
224.	Rebecca Godfroy	Toano, VA	As an alumni of Lafayette, I agree with these needs wholeheartedly!
225.	Brandy Rojas	Williamsburg, VA	
226.	Ashley Cline	West Point, VA	
227.	Kathryn Bellaire	Williamsburg, VA	
228.	Rene Thomas	Williamsburg, VA	Warhill has easy and safe access to these facilities, Jamestown has advanced scholastics. Please assist our LHS!
229.	Grace Wilder	Williamsburg, VA	
230.	Laura Coleman	Williamsburg, VA	It is unbelievable that we can renovate parks (Kidsburg) and build bike trails in this community but cannot fund a walkway for students at Lafayette to reach Wanner Stadium safely. Would it be acceptable for students at Warhill or Jamestown to walk through/over a creek and ravine to reach athletic practice facilities? Is playground equipment at a newly built school (Matoaka) more of a priority that maintaining and upgrading an older school? Prioritize money fairly across school lines. We all pay taxes.
231.	Logan Fugate	Williamsburg, VA	
232.	Amanda Coombs	Williamsburg, VA	

	Name	From	Comments
233.	Noelle Berardi	Williamsburg, VA	
234.	Lisa Walker	Williamsburg, VA	
235.	Terri Cordle	Williamsburg, VA	
236.	kris blood	Ridgewood, NJ	Have we forgotten that Lawrence Taylor and Ron Springs went to this High School. Wouldn't they want to improve the athletic fields for the kids? Maybe they can support this?
237.	Crystal Whitehead	Williamsburg, VA	This is a DISGRACE! I Where is the equitability in this?
238.	Denise Trainor	Williamsburg, VA	
239.	Andie Mooradian	Williamsburg, VA	
240.	Michael Woolson	Williamsburg, VA	
241.	Lisa Granger	Williamsburg, VA	
242.	Justin Foerster	Williamsburg, VA	
243.	Patrick Fallen	Manassas, VA	
244.	Jamieson Vinson	WILLIAMSBURG, VA	
245.	Christine Hite	Williamsburg, VA	
246.	Teresa Baker	Toano, VA	
247.	lan Lucas	Williamsburg, VA	
248.	Jennifer Baynard	Williamsburg, VA	
249.	Zach Galli	Williamsburg, VA	
250.	gail owen	Williamsburg, VA	
251.	Nancy Luzar	Williamsburg, VA	Lighted practice fields have been needed since my kids went there back at the end of the last century! It's a testament to the kids and coaches that LHS is the athletic/theatric/forensic powerhouse that it is with such limited facilities.
252.	Craig Bryant	Williamsburg, VA	This last weekend-I went to the Track with my Daughter to work out as she is in Winter Track. I noticed the Track was the exact same as the one I had jogged on in 1991-and periodically since then. During that time-I have seen almost every "Hood School" from RVA-Petersburg get a "Face Lift"-New Track-Uprgades-while Lafayette-Williamsburg 's First High Scool (modern times) has been left to rot but still maintains a State Presence for the Area in Sports while Warhill and Jamestown get Featured and apparently Funded-Thank you for reading my comment and your attention to a School that Deserves Modernization-CB
253.	Cronan Maxwell	Williamsburg, VA	
254.	Nancy Piland	Williamsburg, VA	
255.	Darci Huddleston	Williamsburg, VA	
256.	Tracie Clarkson	Lanexa, VA	
257.	Casey Cole	Williamsburg, VA	

Page 11 - Signatures 233 - 257

	Name	From
258.	Mattie Goad	Williamsburg, VA
259.	richard schenk	yorktown, VA
260.	Stefanie Kalaskas	Williamsburg, VA
261.	Corlis Jones	Williamsburg, VA
262.	David Levenson	Williamsburg, VA
263.	kathy murray	Williamsburg, VA
264.	Rhonda Peterson	Williamsburg, VA
265.	Lenna Williams	Gloucester Point, VA
266.	Joan Golliday	Williamsburg, VA
267.	Hope Venus	Williamsburg, VA
268.	Nsncy Shackleford	Williamsburg, VA
269.	Michael and DeDe Landis	Williamsburg, VA
270.	Suzanne Blanding	Williamsburg, VA
271.	Louise Vail	Williamsburg, VA
272.	Hunter Sheldon	Williamsburg, VA
273.	Karolee Towe	Williamsburg, VA
274.	Beth Cole	Williamsburg, VA
275.	Pamela Tomczak	Williamsburg, VA
276.	Caitlin Rudders	williamsburg, VA
277.	Rhonda Hankins	Williamsburg, VA
278.	Lynn Neenan	Williamsburg, VA
279.	Kathleen Quindara	Williamsburg, VA
280.	Nyckole Russell	Holmes, PA
281.	Angela Byrum	Williamsburg, VA
282.	Bernadine Neighbor	Williamsburg, VA
283.	Kirstyn McArdle	Williamsburg, VA
284.	Vicky Held	Williamsburg, VA
285.	Linda Loboda	Williamsburg, VA
286.	Karen LeMaire	Gloucester, VA
287.	Elizaberh Dente	Williamsburg, VA
288.	Martha Beamon	Williamsburg, VA
289.	Daniel Williams	Covington, GA
290.	Melanie Dawson	Williamsburg, VA
291.	Cappy Troy	Williamsburg, VA

# Comments

need dugouts on baseball field, backstop needs work

	Name	From	Comments
292.	Laura Raciborski	Williamsburg, VA	
293.	Karen Gibson	Williamsburg, VA	
294.	maria tyler	toano, VA	
295.	Michelle Vales	Williamsburg, VA	
296.	Timothy Crump	Williamsburg, VA	
297.	Steven Mares	Williamsburg, VA	and the second
298.	Betsy Lavin	Williamsburg, VA	
299.	Jennifer Jones	Williamsburg, VA	
300.	Patrick Sensiba	Williamsburg, VA	
301.	Sarah Bland	Williamsburg, VA	
302.	Bill Miller	Williamsburg, VA	
303.	Ronda Stump	Williamsburg, VA	
304.	Maria Long	Williamsburg, VA	
305.	Jamie Wilson	Providence Forge, VA	I attended Lafayette from 96-99 and being that I was a student there I agree with this petition
306.	Dawna Barber	Williamsburg, VA	
307.	Laura Morris	Williamsburg, VA	
308.	James Mills	Williamsburg, VA	Also very importantplease approve existing campus classroom space be returned to LHS and current storage space be made accessible for Theater department use. As a relatively low cost remedy, it shouldn't impact the CIP budget. The LHS Theater troupe has historically represented WJCC schools as state champions or final four finalists on multiple occasions in the past 10 years, most recently as 2015 champions! This fantastic community resource deserves adequate space commensurate with their exceptional performances! Thank you
309.	Christine DeFebo	Grover, MO	
310.	David Coleman	Williamsburg, VA	Perhaps the school board could take a field trip and walk the journey from LHS to Warhill and observe the differences in facilities between the peer high schools' facilities in order to appreciate the requests.
311.	Carolyn Selbe	Williamsburg, VA	
312.	Sarah Alexander	Williamsburg, VA	
313.	Brad Howe	Williamsburg, VA	
314.	Kristine Duncan	Burke, VA	
315.	Shannon Atwood	Williamsburg, VA	
316.	Amanda Jones	Williamsburg, VA	
317.	Chelsea Goldstein	Williamsburg, VA	
318.	Denise Salyards	Williamsburg, VA	

	Name	From
319.	Charles Woollum	Williamsburg, VA
320.	Louise Mokszanowski	Williamsburg, VA
321.	Kenny Hacker	Williamsburg, VA
322.	Scott Neischel	Williamsburg, VA
323.	James Bellaire	Williamsburg, VA
324.	Janet Landis	Williamsburg, VA
325.	Debbie Kukla	Williamsburg, VA
326.	Zarron Moses	Richmond, VA
327.	Teresa Prokup	Williamsburg, VA
328.	Ken Underwood	Williamsburg, VA

329.	Shanna McGlynn	Herndon, VA
330.	Sarah Jeon	Williamsburg, VA
331.	Sara Pine	Williamsburg, VA
332.	Dana morris	Williamsburg, VA
333.	Carlos Wallace	Williamsburg, VA
334.	Ginger Garrison	Williamsburg, VA
335.	Christian Ortego	Williamsburg, VA
336.	Anita Rowe	Williamsburg, VA
337.	Kelli Katz	Williamsburg, VA
338.	Christine DeBlieux	Williamsburg, VA
339.	Michelle Ponzo	Williamsburg, VA
340.	kimberly Dimes	toano, VA
341.	Sabrina Levenson	Williamsburg, VA
342.	Maryanne Bellaire	Williamsburg, VA
343.	Matthew Nester	Paget, Bermuda
344.	Tinsley Goad	Williamsburg, VA
345.	Steve Foster	Williamsburg, VA
346.	Thomas Belden	Providence Forge, VA
347.	Alice Agnello	Williamsburg, VA
348.	Robert Agnello	Williamsburg, VA
349.	Patricia Killiany	Williamsburg, VA
350.	Lauren Skutans	Williamsburg, VA
351.	Sue Swanson	Williamsburg, VA

Lafayette HS desperately needs these improvements. It seems like money is funneled to Jamestown HS often overlooking Lafayette.

Lets better support our kids and school athletics. Remember athletics builds the body and the mind.

Comments

	Name	From	Comments
352.	Tom and Paula Figgs	Williamsburg, VA	We completely agree with the recommendations which would bring us somewhat equal with the other major high schools. For the future, can the board consider a pool facility for all of our high schools (which could be centrally located and the cost shared between JCC/Wmsbrg, York and New Kent) since we are all having to commute to Hampton or Ft. Eustis. It doesn't have to be elaborate, Hampton U. only has 6 lanes and 3 schools competed against each other at the same time.
353.	Debbie Weisman	Williamsburg, VA	
354.	Cheryl Palmer	Williamsburg, VA	
355.	Valerie Fehlman	Williamsburg, VA	Lafayette, is a great school and it deserves a building facility to help maintain the academic and leadership accomplishments it has achieved for future students.
356.	Helena Mock	Williamsburg, VA	
357.	Heidi Hunter	Avon, OH	
358.	Karen McKenzie	Williamsburg, VA	I currently have two children attending LHS and we experience first hand the difficulties of not having these basic amenities as part of such a large high school athletic program. Not having the appropriate tools to support the program (i.e. Appropriatly sized gym, safe and direct access to practice fields/facilities and the lack of adequate and basic supplemental lighting, on campus, for after school practices during the winter months) has, and does, negatively affect their ability to successfully manage both their extra-curricular and educational demands/schedules . My kids LOVE their high school and the experiences they are having - a big part of it has to do with the amazing and talented athletic program at LHS! I want them to continue to enjoy participating in the program and I feel with the addition of the items outlined in the CIP the current and future students of LHS, as well as a large portion of the

359.	Christopher Lucas	Williamsburg, VA
360.	Tim Cote	Williamsburg, VA
361.	Joe Meadows	Williamsburg, VA
362.	Charles Woollum	Williamsburg, VA
363.	John Cole	Williamsburg, VA
364.	Julie Oss	Williamsburg, VA
365.	Carlie Massie	Barhamsville, VA
366.	Tiffiny Brown	Williamsburg, VA
367.	Jennifer Howlett	Williamsburg, VA
368.	Lesa Mccaffery	Williamsburg, VA

LHS students deserve the same support as all WJCC High School students get from the school division.

Williamsburg community, will benefit greatly. I hope this plan is given serious and appropriate consideration.

Page 15 - Signatures 352 - 368

	Name	From	Comments
369.	Karla Sprouse	Williamsburg, VA	
370.	Susan North	Williamsburg, VA	
371.	jennifer waymire	williamsburg, VA	
372.	Sonya Peretti-Hull	Williamsburg, VA	
373.	Kirby Smith	Williamsburg, VA	
374.	Keira Reese	Williamsburg, VA	
375.	LeighAn White	Williamsburg, VA	
376.	Pauline Detweiler	Williamsburg, VA	For the safety of our children and our staff, these requests need to be honored.
377.	Brebda Corey	Williamsburg, VA	
378.	Shervonda Hairston	Williamsburg, VA	
379.	Arianna Heck	Williamsburg, VA	The Lafayette Theater department is by far the best in the district! Mrs. McCorry has been able to continue to showcase her students year after year despite the fact that her theater equipment and facilities are unequivocally older than the other high schools in the district.
380.	Kathy Justice	Toano, VA	
381.	Jennifer Kittrell	Williamsburg, VA	
382.	Alyson Mitchell	Chesapeake, VA	
383.	Debra Houle	Williamsburg, VA	
384.	Jodi Consoli	Williamsburg, VA	
385.	Shari Weniger	Williamsburg, VA	It's unfathomable to think that our school has budgeted, but not implemented these three items. It's "OKAY" for our kids to be in the dark after practice or to slog through wetlands to get to practice fields.
386.	Keith Nowadly	WILLIAMSBURG, VA	
387.	Lee Thornhill	Newport News, VA	
388.	Jennifer Bickham-Mendez	Williamsburg, VA	These expenditures will directly benefit students. I hope the school board will see the wisdom of investing in student activities that make such a tremendous difference in their lives and future trajectories.
389.	Dominique Johnson	Williamsburg, VA	
390.	brett Smith	Williamsburg, VA	
391.	Victoria Gerousis	Williamsburg, VA	
392.	Kenneth Juul	Williamsburg, VA	Our daughter loved her time at LHS
393.	Milena Territo	Williamsburg, VA	
394.	Betty Piretra	Williamsburg, VA	
395.	Alexia Gonzalez	Williamsburg, VA	

	Name	From
396.	Kathy Nordeman	Williamsburg, VA
397.	Sara Block	Williamsburg, VA
398.	Cheri Grantz	Williamsburg, VA
399.	Alice Allred	Williamsburg, VA
400.	Diane Hartley	Williamsburg, VA
401.	John Grantz	Williamsburg, VA
402.	Robert Eaton	Williamsburg, VA
403.	Lisa Cumming	Williamsburg, VA
404.	Dan O'Neal	Williamsburg, VA
405.	Antoinette DeRose	Williamsburg, VA
406.	Adam Rubenstein	Williamsburg, VA
407.	Patti Marcuson	Williamsburg, VA
408.	Dylan Marcuson	Williamsburg, VA
409.	Timothy B Taylor	Williamsburg, VA
410.	Monica Rettig	Williamsburg, VA

411.	Kent Marcuson	Williamsburg, VA
412.	Paige Mckenzie	Williamsburg, VA
413.	Jennifer Contrucci	Williamsburg, VA
414.	Ellen Gilbert	Williamsburg, VA
415.	John Nichols	Williamsburg, VA

416.	Dorsey Orlowski	Williamsburg, VA
417.	Ashley Willis	Williamsburg, VA
418.	Whitney Woods	Williamsburg, VA
419.	Kelly Herbst	Williamsburg, VA
420.	John Piggott	Williamsburg, VA
421.	Terri Harris	Williamsburg, VA
422.	Will Hummel	Williamsburg, VA
423.	Tara Chicirda	Williamsburg, VA
424.	Marie Colclough	Williamsburg, VA
425.	<b>Richard Eichhorn</b>	Williamsburg, VA
426.	Jim Coffey	Williamsburg, VA

#### Comments

Back before Jamestown and Warhill High Schools were built the school board was insistent that Lafayette be updated to reflect the quality of the other newer high schools. It looks as if more recent school board members have not retained that commitment to Lafayette.

All of these improvements are long overdue; without them, they increase the likelihood of traffic accidents and other safety issues by travelling back and forth via car or alongside busy Longhill Road.

	Name	From	(
427.	Christian Orlowski	Williamsburg, VA	

Comments

Page 18 - Signature 427

es
ctices
Prac
Athletic P
Athl
a
Off-Sit
yette
Lafay

James Blair	Homsby	Warhill Sports Complex	Rec Center
Gym	Gym	Fields	Softball Field
2.8 miles from LHS	4.7 miles from LHS	1.5 miles from LHS	2.1 miles from LHS
JV Volleyball	JV Girls Basketball	Field Hockey	Softball
12-15 girls	10-15 girls	36-40 girls	15 to 25 girls
5:30 to 7:00, 3X week(Fall)	6:30-8:30, 2X week	3-5, 5x week	5:30-7:00, 5x week (Spring-
*applies when coach not a teacher	(Fall)	(Fall)	good weather)
Basketball	Basketball	Baseball	Softball
20-35 athletes (male & female)	20-35 athletes	20 to 40 boys	15 to 25 girls
5:30-8:30, 2X week (Fall)	5:30-8:30, as needed	5x week (Spring-good	3:00-7:00, 2x week
	(Fall/Winter)	weather)	(Fall&Winter)
Softball		Boys soccer	
15 to 25 girls		40 boys	
5:30-7:00, 2x week (Fall&Winter)		5x week (Spring)	
Baseball		Football	
20 to 40 boys		40-70 boys	
7:00-8:30, 2x week (Fall&Winter)		As needed, 1Xweek	
		(Fall-weather)	
Basketball		Girls soccer	
20-35 athletes (male)		40 girls	
5Xweek(Winter-in season)		5x week (Spring)	
Softball		Baseball	
15 to 25 girls		20 to 40 boys	
3:00-7:00, 5x week (Spring-rain		7:00-8:30, 2x week	
days)		(Fall&Winter)	
Baseball			
15 to 22 boys			
3:00-7:00, 5x week (Spring-rain			
days)			

Grafton High School 2014 *Source is Mark Tschirhart at <u>mtschirha</u>	
Description	Cost
Architectural & Engineering	\$177,000
Construction	\$1,660,000
* The project was bid in 2013, substantial completion was Jan 7, 2014. ** Construction costs typically escalate around 5% per year so bidding the same gym in 2016 would cost approximately \$1,925,000.	
Total	\$1,837,000

\*\*\*Please see attached sketch of the Grafton Aux Gym and note (1) hallway (similar need at LHS to complete main building), (2) boys and girls' locker rooms (similar need at LHS), (3) bleachers for spectators (similar need at LHS for spectators at game), and (4) storage space (LHS has additional storage needs that would likely come under \$2.45 budget by WJCC School Board).

\*\*\*\*Builder was A.R. Chesson Construction Co., Inc., P.O. Box 2266, Elizabeth City, NC 27909. The office phone is (252) 338-9171. Ed Powell was the Executive Vice President. The standard invitation for bid (IFB) process was used to select the contractor.