

MINUTES
JAMES CITY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
BUSINESS MEETING
County Government Center Board Room
101 Mounts Bay Road, Williamsburg, VA 23185
September 27, 2022
1:00 PM

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. ROLL CALL

James O. Icenhour, Jr., Jamestown District
Michael J. Hipple, Powhatan District
Ruth M. Larson, Berkeley District
P. Sue Sadler, Vice Chairman, Stonehouse District
John J. McGlennon, Chairman, Roberts District

Scott A. Stevens, County Administrator
Adam R. Kinsman, County Attorney

Mr. McGlennon requested a motion to Amend the Agenda to add an additional presentation by Verizon and to withdraw Item No. 12 from the Consent Calendar.

A motion to Amend the Agenda was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

C. PRESENTATION

1. Recognition of Outgoing Board and/or Commission Members

Mr. McGlennon noted several presentations would be made on behalf of individuals who had served the County in various capacities. He further noted the citizens and staff who had volunteered time on various boards and commissions that served the community's interests included economic development, social services, environmental, and other factors. Mr. McGlennon stated certificates of appreciation would be presented to the individuals who were completing their respective terms, adding their work would be publicly recognized. Mr. McGlennon announced the individuals.

- Ms. Sally Andrews - Eight years on the Williamsburg Regional Library Board of Trustees
- Mr. William Apperson - 20 years on the Chesapeake Bay Board and the Wetlands Board
- Ms. Teresa Christin - Four years on the Colonial Behavioral Health Board
- Mr. Bob Gasink - Eight years on the Stormwater Program Advisory Committee
- Mr. David Gussman - 23 years on the Chesapeake Bay Board and the Wetlands Board
- Ms. June Hagee - 12 years on the Colonial Behavioral Health Board
- Mr. Theodore Hiller - Four years on the Williamsburg Regional Library Board of Trustees

ADOPTED

OCT 25 2022

Board of Supervisors
James City County, VA

- Mr. Thomas Hitchens - 15 years on the Agricultural and Forestal Districts Advisory Committee and four years on the Stormwater Program Advisory Committee

Mr. McGlennon noted Mr. Hitchens passed away earlier in the year, but the certificate would be presented to his family.

- Mr. Max Hlavin - Three years on the Community Action Agency Board of Directors

Mr. McGlennon noted Mr. Hlavin had previously served as James City County's Deputy Attorney.

- Mr. Adam Kinsman - Six years on the Colonial Community Criminal Justice Board

- Mr. John Kuplinski - 11 years on the Colonial Behavioral Health Board

- Ms. Julia Leverenz - Four years on the Planning Commission

- Mr. Christopher McDonald - Five years on the Social Services Advisory Board

- Mr. Josh Moore - Three years on the Social Services Advisory Board

- Mr. Stephen Phillips - Six years on the Historical Commission

- Ms. Patricia Russo - Three years on the Social Services Advisory Board

- Mr. Carlton Stockton - Four years on the Economic Development Authority

- Mr. Scott VanVoorhees - Seven years on the Thomas Nelson Community College Board

- Ms. Rebecca Vinroot - 12 years on the Colonial Behavioral Health Board

- Ms. Linda Wallace-Cody - 15 years on the Parks and Recreation Advisory Committee

- Ms. Heidi Wallace - Three years on the Social Services Advisory Board

Mr. McGlennon extended his appreciation to all the volunteers and for those in attendance as well.

2. Verizon Presentation

Mr. McGlennon noted a number of constituents had questioned the recent level of service in addition to changes. He further noted he hoped the presentation would address some of the questions. Mr. McGlennon welcomed the Verizon representative, Mr. Matt Ogburn, to the meeting.

Mr. Ogburn, Director of State and Local Government Affairs for Verizon in Virginia, noted he was present to address service issues in the County, in addition to the recent joint Virginia Telecommunication Initiative (VATI) broadband application. He thanked County staff for its participation regarding the VATI grant. Mr. Ogburn continued his presentation citing Verizon statistics for customer service numbers and the company's fiber projects, adding the current project in the County would account for approximately 40 miles of fiber. Mr. Ogburn noted the broadband project would total approximately \$4.1 million with 60% funding contributed by Verizon. He added 60% equated to approximately \$2.5 million with the balance of \$1.6 million being funded by the grant application request. Mr. Ogburn stated favorable vendor

relationships regarding project components and other statistical information. He noted that once the grant was awarded, Verizon was prepared with its resources and reliable supply chain to build out the project in the estimated 18-month timeline. Mr. Ogburn highlighted specific dates for plan submission and other factors within that timeline, adding Verizon would also partner with the County to provide additional information and communication on the project and the availability of new broadband services. Mr. Ogburn stated Verizon was very proud of its participation in the Affordable Connectivity Program (ACP), which was passed by the Biden Administration to provide a subsidy through the Federal Communications Commission to assist need-based applicants. He outlined the criteria for qualifying need-based applicants. He noted Verizon's lowest-cost Fios plan for high-speed internet was reduced to \$30 per month, adding that service was free to the customer. Mr. Ogburn noted there were no taxes, no bill, no connection fee, and a router was provided. He further noted the ease for applicants to receive the subsidized service, adding Verizon would work with County staff to inform qualified community members about the ACP. Mr. Ogburn stated he was the Board's point of contact to address customer service concerns for wireless or wireline issues.

Ms. Sadler asked if the grant program Mr. Ogburn referenced was a federal grant.

Mr. Ogburn noted the program was funded by federal money, but was going through the VATI, therefore it was a state program. He further noted Verizon, in conjunction with the County, had filed a joint application to a state program under the Department of Housing Community Development (DHCD). Mr. Ogburn stated DHCD ran the VATI grant program, adding funding came from the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA), which was then allocated by the Virginia General Assembly. He noted federal guidelines were in place, but the program was state-run therefore the grant application would go to the state.

Ms. Sadler asked if there were benefits to contacting state and federal representatives to assist in facilitating the grant.

Mr. Ogburn noted Mr. Patrick Page, Director of Information Resources Management, had compiled a list of application endorsements which included support from local and state representatives.

Ms. Sadler thanked Mr. Ogburn.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Mr. Ogburn for his attendance. He questioned dropped calls and service issues from constituents and others. Mr. McGlennon asked if Verizon had an explanation and future plans to address these issues.

Mr. Ogburn addressed a recent issue, adding an upgrade to some equipment on the towers created a signal loss for a short time. He noted the upgrades had been completed and service gaps for that problem be resolved. Mr. Ogburn further noted if service gaps occurred elsewhere in the County, he could set up a separate meeting and provide a contact point in the wireless division so the Board would be notified of any additional upgrades or new local tower installations.

Mr. McGlennon stated he would appreciate such a meeting, particularly since he recently experienced a service gap while on the phone.

Mr. Ogburn noted he would set up the meeting. He further noted reviewing that particular phone incident. Mr. Ogburn added as the contact point, he would gather the specifics of the issue and relay it to the wire line team who regularly performed quality checks. He noted he would provide an explanation of any issues to the Board.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Mr. Ogburn. He asked Mr. Ogburn if Verizon had plans to expand

Fios in the County, adding this question was frequently asked by constituents.

Mr. Ogburn noted the broadband grant program was Fios. He further noted the 764 addresses comprising this project would have Fios. Mr. Ogburn added additional addresses may become available for Fios as Verizon moves through areas, but not as part of this project as the application funding only applied to the 764 addresses. He noted additional customers would be at Verizon's cost for inclusion in its Fios program.

Mr. McGlennon questioned if there were any current plans to have Fios brought into other areas of the County beyond the 764 addresses.

Mr. Ogburn noted he was unaware of any plans outside this specific project.

Ms. Larson noted there were still constituent concerns and she personally had experienced issues with her internet connection with her cell service, though she later had a connection with her home internet. She said this issue had been expressed by numerous constituents regarding dead zones that previously had not been concerns. Ms. Larson noted contacting Mr. Ogburn was an option, but there was concern regarding the information from Verizon and what customers were experiencing. She further noted many people no longer had land lines and were solely reliant on cell service, adding continuing issues was a serious matter. Ms. Larson asked Mr. Ogburn for the type of information he needed regarding these service issues.

Mr. Ogburn referenced an earlier point which addressed a widespread County service issue due to Verizon upgrades. He noted ongoing issues should be forwarded to his attention with the information to include the cell phone number, time and place, and account number or identifying information for Verizon to reference. Mr. Ogburn noted a situation elsewhere in the state with a damaged chip in a cell tower and the possibility of something similar in the County. He further noted other considerations for service issues such as no cell tower coverage in specific areas.

Mr. Hipple echoed similar Board comments regarding the current level of cell service versus the previously higher level of service. He noted towers had been added and questioned if the system was overloaded. Mr. Hipple acknowledged there were existing areas, particularly near Camp Peary, where calls dropped, but new areas within the County were now locations of dropped service. Mr. Hipple asked if the new broadband would be fiber, satellite, antenna, or how would it be transmitted through the County.

Mr. Ogburn confirmed the broadband was fiber build. He noted the central offices within the County would be converted to approximately 40 miles of fiber either underground or aerially to the 764 addresses.

Mr. Hipple noted coverage in the Grove District and the upper part of the County were not as well covered as the central part of James City County. He asked if those areas would be priority or what areas would be included in the 40-mile fiber build.

Mr. Ogburn noted the fiber would be laid throughout the County. He further noted the goal of the VATI program was to achieve universal service within the County to ensure all County residents had service through a provider, whether it was Verizon, Cox, or another provider. Mr. Ogburn referenced 764 addresses were identified as either unserved or underserved for high-speed internet guidelines. He noted he would set a meeting to share the maps of the application addresses with the Board.

Mr. Hipple noted the cable concerns within his area. He further noted the Board's actions during the pandemic to ensure internet access was available to a majority of schoolchildren in the areas with no internet service. Mr. Hipple added the use of COVID-19 funding assisted in

those efforts. He noted he was hopeful this program would serve the remaining households without service.

Mr. Icenhour thanked Mr. Ogburn. He expressed the need to compile data which Verizon could review and discuss in a month. Mr. Icenhour noted several known dead zones in certain areas, adding calls from frustrated constituents and his own experience with dropped calls in areas where that previously had never happened was a concern. He referenced the 5G tower upgrade and noted he got LTE, not 5G, service in this area, whereas in Richmond he got 5G service. Mr. Icenhour requested Verizon's technicians give a service breakdown within the County at a presentation.

Mr. Ogburn noted the more detailed information compiled would assist the Verizon technicians.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Mr. Ogburn for information on the new programs and opportunities.

D. CONSENT CALENDAR

Mr. McGlennon asked if any Board member wished to remove any item.

Ms. Larson noted the number of important Items, particularly Speed and Alcohol Enforcement, Kinship Navigator Program, and Support of Operation Green Light for Veterans. She thanked staff for their work on these grants and Mr. Stevens regarding Operation Green Light, adding they became aware of this program at the National Association of Counties (NACo) annual convention earlier in the year. Ms. Larson noted the importance of this program and its support, particularly in this area where many veterans resided.

1. Amend Term of Alternate Appointed to Chesapeake Bay and Wetlands Board

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.
AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0
Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

2. Authorization for a Full-Time Solid Waste Director

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.
AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0
Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

3. Contract Award - \$266,000 - Chickahominy Riverfront Park Well Replacement Project

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.
AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0
Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

4. Grant Award - \$25,466 - Department of Motor Vehicles - Speed Enforcement

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.
AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0
Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

5. Grant Award - \$19,585 - Department of Motor Vehicles - Alcohol Enforcement

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.
AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

6. Grant Award - \$68,180 - Kinship Navigator Program

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

7. Grant Award - \$30,497 - Pre-Release and Post-Incarceration Services Fiscal Year 2023 Expansion

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

8. Minutes Adoption

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

The Minutes Approved for Adoption included the following:

-July 12, 2022, Regular Meeting

-July 26, 2022, Business Meeting

9. Official Intent to Reimburse Expenditures with Proceeds from a Borrowing

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

10. Remote Participation Policy

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

11. Supporting Operation Green Light for Veterans

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

12. Contract Award - \$2,172,186 - US 60 Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Safety Improvements Project

Mr. McGlennon noted Item No. 12 was removed earlier at the request of the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT).

13. Settler's Market

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

E. BOARD DISCUSSIONS

Mr. McGlennon noted a request to move Item No. 5 to the beginning of the Board's discussion.

I. Emergency Management Presentation

Fire Chief Ryan Ashe addressed the Board with the introduction of Ms. Sara Ruch, Deputy Coordinator of Emergency Management. He noted Ms. Ruch coordinated the daily functions of the Emergency Management program. Chief Ashe further noted Mr. Michael Teener, Emergency Management Planner, was in attendance. He stated Ms. Ruch was recently selected as Vice President for Region 3 of the International Association of Emergency Managers. He extended his appreciation of her work on that group. Chief Ashe began the presentation highlighting what defined Emergency Management in Virginia and what codes were applicable. He noted the Virginia Emergency Services and Disaster Laws outlined specific requirements for localities: 1) Agency of Emergency Management (which fell under the Fire Department); 2) Director of Emergency Management (County Administrator per James City County's Emergency Operations Plan [EOP]); 3) EOP (presented to the Board on a regular basis for update and adoption); and 4) documented chain of command (in the EOP). Chief Ashe further noted that localities with populations over 50,000 were required to have an alert and warning system, adding the County had the JCC Alert on Everbridge software. He stated the County was within the 10-mile Emergency Planning Zone (EPZ) of the Surry Power Station and therefore required to have a Radiological Emergency Response Plan which was evaluated every two years by FEMA to ensure response. Chief Ashe stated Ms. Ruch annually completed the Local Capabilities Assessment for Readiness (LCAR) which was turned into the Virginia Department of Emergency Management (VDEM) as notification of the County's preparedness. Chief Ashe noted there were also daily, weekly, or monthly reviews that Ms. Ruch would address.

Ms. Ruch addressed the Board noting September is National Preparedness Month. She noted she and Mr. Teener had been active with public outreach in the community. Ms. Ruch further noted the Office of Emergency Management, located at Fire Administration, was comprised of four positions which included Chief Ashe, Mr. Teener, and herself. She added Mr. Mike Powers, Coordinator of the Community Emergency Response Team (CERT) and Community Animal Response Team (CART) programs. Ms. Ruch noted the CERT/CART Coordinator position was grant funded, which required annual application. She further noted the possibility of another state Homeland Security grant available in October to continue funding for that position. She further noted Emergency Management's role in protecting the community via communicating and coordinating during natural disasters, acts of terrorisms, or manmade disasters. Ms. Ruch listed the four key components were preparedness, response, recovery, and mitigation. She noted the analogy of emergency management to a team sport, adding everyone in County government was a team participant from General Services, Social Services, and Stormwater and Resource Protection, and other departments. Ms. Ruch further noted County staff response to assist was always enthusiastic. She noted Emergency Management encompassed the four key components were ongoing as all hazards were addressed from COVID-19 to hurricanes to presidential visits and other situations as needed. Ms. Ruch further noted the involvement of community partners to assist during emergencies. She continued the presentation noting the 90:10 split with 90% focused on preparedness mitigation activity with 10% focused on the response and recovery portion. Ms. Ruch noted the continual update of plans as well as the creation of new plans, adding the Hazard Mitigation Plan was a regional plan with Hampton Roads. She further noted the Evacuation Assembly Center Plan, a subset to the Radiological Emergency Response Plan, was recently revised. Ms. Ruch explained citizens were previously sent to the City of Hampton and Charles City County, but with FEMA approval, James City County wrote its own plan which allowed citizens to stay in the County and shelter at Warhill High School. She continued highlighting various emergency plans such as the Emergency Debris Plan, Point of Distribution Plan, and the Shelter Plan. Ms. Ruch noted some plans were required to be updated regularly by the

Code of Virginia while others were updated after real world events. She further noted response input as well as training exercises were beneficial in developing plans, adding a recent partnership with Anheuser-Busch on a tabletop exercise on a hazardous material issue at that location. Ms. Ruch stated the scale of the exercise occasionally warranted funding requests from the state Homeland Security grant program or use of the local Emergency Management planning grant. She noted one of her goals was to create a robust program of community outreach, which she coordinated with the Parks & Recreation Department during many of its events to provide information. Ms. Ruch added James City County had numerous opportunities for community outreach. She noted CERT, which encompassed six classes with several sessions, taught citizens fire extinguisher use, basic first aid, team carries during evacuation, and general emergency management preparedness. Ms. Ruch further noted a new class would begin on October 6, mainly on Tuesday and Thursday evenings and an occasional Saturday. She added for more information call the Emergency Management Office at 757-220-0626. Ms. Ruch noted CART was partnered with local shelters. She further noted FEMA recognized after Hurricane Katrina that many people remained in their homes as there was no availability for pets in emergency shelters. Ms. Ruch added that jurisdictions were required to have a plan for housing animals during a disaster as a result. She explained CART members work in the designated shelter where citizens bring their preferably crated animals, are registered together with the animal placed in designated rooms for dogs, cats, and such. Ms. Ruch noted the CART member coordinated the animal's feeding, walking, and other aspects of care. She added a pet CPR and first aid class was being offered on November 19 from 9 a.m.-noon and anyone interested should call 757-220-0626. Ms. Ruch noted if organizations or groups wanted a presentation, Emergency Management would assist with that request, adding she was a frequent guest on the County's podcast. She continued the presentation highlighting additional daily activities which included participation in the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) of which Hampton Roads was designated a UASI and the area received approximately \$3.2 million on an annual average for plans and equipment. Ms. Ruch noted one regional activity was the complex coordination of a terrorist attack plan which allowed jurisdictions to communicate with each other within the region. She further noted the Regional Catastrophic Preparedness Grant Program was a result of the UASI. Ms. Ruch added a long-term housing plan was also being developed with the County's Housing team a part of the group coordinating those efforts. She noted the County's Class 5 rating in the Community Rating System and Emergency Management's assistance to the Stormwater and Resource Protection Division in meeting those requirements, which assisted residents with a 25% discount on flood insurance. Ms. Ruch further noted partnerships with government agencies that were particularly helpful during the COVID-19 pandemic in reaching County residents such as the National Park Service and Jamestown-Yorktown Foundation, and others as well as private partners, which included Dominion Energy, Busch Gardens, Anheuser-Busch, and the local hospitals. She added some non-governmental organizations included the American Red Cross, the Tri-County Pastors' Council, Grove Christian Outreach Center, Williamsburg Health Foundation, and United Way Peninsula Agency on Aging. Ms. Ruch noted the importance of these partnerships and the longevity of some of them which was helpful during disasters. She continued the presentation highlighting the County's Emergency Operations Center (EOC) which were in place for an efficient and effective response to community needs during an emergency. Ms. Ruch noted jurisdictions had limited resources and establishing the best course of action when competing issues or priorities existed. She further noted a group discussion with departmental input was critical to the success of the EOC. Ms. Ruch added Emergency Management also had several trailers: the CART trailer shared with the City of Williamsburg's team but run by the County; two shelter trailers purchased with UASI funds several years ago; and a radiological trailer. She continued the presentation highlighting the EOC relationships with various federal and state agencies. Ms. Ruch noted everything starts at the local level and ends at the local level in disasters. She further noted damage assessment was performed in conjunction with the County's Building Safety and Permits Division which is partnered with the Treasurer's Office. Ms. Ruch stated citizen input on damaged areas was helpful to ensure no areas were missed. She noted the

Crisis Track system, used during damage assessments, allowed the state to access that system to view the damage to James City County as the data was uploaded and expedite assistance. She continued highlighting disaster assistance through individual and public assistance, adding FEMA had criteria for evaluation. Ms. Ruch noted the breakdown for a federal emergency in terms of assistance from federal and state funding.

Chief Ashe noted numerous questions were asked about debris pickup and FEMA assistance after storms and meeting the monetary threshold established for assistance. He referenced Ms. Ruch's earlier comment about the local level noting that a particular area might sustain serious damage, but not qualify for federal funding and then the locality would look to assist. Chief Ashe addressed the Board's involvement and assistance during a disaster. He noted the first item was a declaration of local emergency which, if planned, came before the Board as a resolution. Chief Ashe further noted if unplanned then the declaration had to be confirmed within 45 days or at the next regularly scheduled Board meeting which permitted contractual and purchasing abilities in the event of an emergency. He added when an event triggered such a declaration, then Chief Ashe and Ms. Ruch send that information to the VDEM and on to the Governor's Office as notification of the local emergency. Chief Ashe noted the Board's continued support of staff. He noted the Board also shared accurate public information with citizens and businesses daily. Chief Ashe recognized the Board's support of County staff implementing the EOP and providing Emergency Management and EOC staff with information gathered through communication with local and state elected officials. He noted the importance of maintaining communications through Mr. Stevens to provide updates to the Board. Chief Ashe further noted no direct action was required of the Board, but its continued support and communication. He stated the chain of command included the Board serving as the policy team to the EOC team in coordination with the Director of Emergency Management (Mr. Stevens), the Coordinator of Emergency Management (Chief Ashe), and the Deputy Coordinator (Ms. Ruch) who handled daily activities during an EOC activation.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Chief Ashe and Ms. Ruch and extended his congratulations to Ms. Ruch on the Region 3 distinction. He noted the importance of EOPs and staff's commitment to that preparation.

Ms. Sadler extended her thanks to both. She asked how citizens could sign up for the JCC alerts.

Ms. Ruch noted citizens can go to <https://jccalert.org/> to sign up. She further noted another option was to call 757-220-0626 and register for the system.

Ms. Sadler thanked Ms. Ruch for the information.

Ms. Larson thanked Mr. Stevens, Chief Ashe, and Ms. Ruch for the presentation. She referenced this year's NACo annual convention in which a North Carolina Board Supervisor spoke about a natural disaster incident where emergency plans were not aligned. Ms. Larson noted the EOC was a distance from Ms. Ruch's office, adding that was the existing EOC building and then the Fire Administration building was constructed.

Chief Ashe noted the EOC was outside of the 10-mile EPZ and was the original 911 center. He further noted the location of the original buildings and the disconnect between staff at the various locations, adding when Ms. Ruch began working with the County in 2017, the Emergency Management offices were moved into Fire Administration for daily response integration. Chief Ashe noted the EOC served as a coordination hub for everyone.

Ms. Larson referenced Chief Ashe's earlier comment about the Board sharing accurate information to the public. She asked if that information would come from Emergency Management and then be directed to the County Administrator, which would then be shared

with Board members through their respective channels.

Chief Ashe confirmed yes. He cited the COVID-19 pandemic response and hurricanes as examples. Chief Ashe noted various forms of social media and word-of-mouth information and the need for consistent and accurate information to relay to citizens. He further noted the need to get that accurate information to Board members who may field calls directly from citizens. He added the emergency hotline frequently acted as a rumor line, which then allowed the Public Information Office to directly address any potential misinformation and communicate correct information.

Ms. Larson thanked Chief Ashe.

Mr. Stevens thanked Chief Ashe and Ms. Ruch for the presentation. He noted the County was very fortunate to have Ms. Ruch as her reputation was well recognized in the Hampton Roads area. Mr. Stevens further noted the presentation was to notify the public of the coordinated efforts and preparation involved in Emergency Management. He added Emergency Management served as the coordinating unit among the different County departments to serve the County if an event occurred. Mr. Stevens noted if the Board had additional questions on other emergency plans, those could be addressed at another time. He further noted he felt very confident the County was well prepared.

At approximately 2:58 p.m., the Board recessed for a short break.

At approximately 3:04 p.m., the Board reconvened.

2. Design-Build Approach for County CIP Projects

Mr. Shawn Gordon, Capital Project Management Engineer, addressed the Board with an overview of design-build approach. He noted the Design-Bid Build (DBB) process was traditionally what the County had used for Capital Improvements Program (CIP) projects. Mr. Gordon further noted the typical process was hire an engineer/architect design firm, complete plans, approve plans, bid process, and hire a contractor. He stated there were separate bids for the design team and the construction team, adding the engineer/architect and the contractor had no contractual obligation to each other with the County (the owner) would bear all the risks for complete contract documents. Mr. Gordon explained with the Design-Build (DB) process, the County, as the owner, would hire a single entity that would incorporate the design-build team which would include design and construction under a single contract. He noted the DB process allowed for greater collaboration between the design team and contractors/subcontractors. Mr. Gordon further noted this approach held the design team responsible for risk with the general contractor responsible for delivery. He added a third delivery approach was the Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) which was for larger, complex projects. Mr. Gordon explained this approach involved a Construction Manager (CM) who guaranteed delivery of a project within a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) based on construction documents and specifications at the time of the CM's hire. He noted this approach provided professional services and the CMAR acted as consultant to the owner during the design and construction phases while also monitoring costs to not exceed the GMP as additional costs would be the CMAR's financial responsibility unless the costs were due to a change order or change in scope. Mr. Gordon further noted the state's requirements on use of a CMAR as the CM cannot use in excess of 10% of his services with 90% of the work performed by subcontractors sourced through a competitive bid process. Mr. Gordon stated this approach was used on a recent collaborative project with the College of William & Mary, adding William & Mary used this approach for projects \$26 million and higher. He continued the presentation identifying the advantages and disadvantages of the DBB and DB processes as well as their respective timelines. Mr. Gordon noted with the DB project timeline, costs

were determined earlier as more tasks can occur concurrently. He further noted the Design-Build Institute of America (DBIA) projected nearly 50% of construction spending will be for DB projects by 2025. Mr. Gordon stated this represented a 34% spending increase from 2018 to 2025. He highlighted the improved performance of the DB process over the other processes. Mr. Gordon continued the presentation indicating DB projects in the region which included several in the City of Williamsburg and other neighboring localities, as well as the \$3.8 billion Hampton Roads Bridge Tunnel Expansion, one of the largest infrastructure projects in the country and the largest highway construction project in Virginia history. He noted DB projects in James City County included Legacy Hall, the Law Enforcement Center, and others, adding James City Service Authority (JCSA) has several projects as well. Mr. Gordon further noted potential DB projects within the County included the General Services headquarters building and the Marina infrastructure to support the restaurant.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Mr. Gordon for the presentation and asked if the Board had any questions.

Mr. Hipple noted the DB process was the most cost-effective approach over time. He further noted the lowest bid was not always the best, adding it usually was the bare minimum on a project. Mr. Hipple stated the benefits of the DB approach, noting it was an avenue to pursue. He noted other jurisdictions were reviewing the DB approach, citing time and money savings were major factors.

Discussion ensued.

The Board thanked Mr. Gordon for the presentation.

3. Short Term Rentals

Mr. Stevens addressed the Board requesting a deferral on this item until a later meeting.

4. Government Center Discussion - Possible Locations

Mr. Paul Holt, Director of Community Development and Planning, and Mr. Jason Purse, Assistant County Administrator, addressed the Board noting they would co-present the information on this item.

Mr. Purse noted he, Mr. Holt, and Mr. Stevens had spoken with the Board in the spring regarding building consolidation. He further noted discussion had focused on the expansion of current facilities whether that included current site expansion or identification of new property. Mr. Purse stated the Board directed Mr. Stevens to confer with staff to evaluate options. He noted Geographic Information System (GIS) mapped the County with three identifiers: the geographic center of the County (blue), the mean center of all County areas (green), and the mean center of the high population area (red). Mr. Purse further noted the red area indicated the primary study area for the consolidated campus with maximum accessibility. He stated he and Mr. Holt, along with Mr. Kinsman, first began to evaluate County-owned properties in this area and then undeveloped properties, not County-owned, in this area. Mr. Purse noted the use of a test-fit for each potential site to evaluate accommodation. He further noted a consolidated facility would need approximately 150,000 square feet. Mr. Purse stated three areas were possible locations: Warhill Sports Complex next to the Law Enforcement Center (LEC), the old water site (the Woods) next to the James City County Recreation Center on DePue Drive and Longhill Road, and two softball fields and two soccer fields next to the Recreation Center. He noted the areas around the Recreation Center were more centrally located within the County.

Mr. Holt continued the presentation with drawings on the respective properties for the test-site. He noted the importance of the drawings to scale with overlays of aerial photos to show existing conditions and to indicate the layout for each property. Mr. Holt further noted the criteria previously discussed for the consolidated facility which would be needed through 2045. He stated 300 parking spaces would be required based on the Space Needs Study and in compliance with current code. Mr. Holt noted as additional resources were needed and on a master plan level that would require planning for 370 parking spaces. He further noted other criteria included the avoidance of environmentally sensitive areas, provision of typical roadways and landscape buffers, and other factors. Mr. Holt highlighted the advantages of the Recreation Center fields as a viable option. He noted the disadvantage to this property was the impact to athletes and families who used the ballfields as well as reworking the existing parking lot for ingress and egress. Mr. Holt cited some traffic concerns with this property. Mr. Holt noted the Recreation Center Woods area, former site of the JCSA water tank, was another viable property option. He further noted the property supported adequate space in its master plan for a future County office building, parking with the possibility of some structure parking, a building pad site for a school administration building, and a 350-seat Board and Commission and/or community meeting room building.

Mr. Purse continued the presentation noting the test-fit use of designs for the properties. He noted the professional design layout at Warhill next to the LEC was comprised of a four-story, 48,000-square-foot building. Mr. Purse further noted the existing infrastructure in the area as well as traffic concerns for this location. He continued the presentation highlighting the environmental Resource Protection Area (RPA) and discussing the expansion opportunities on this property.

Mr. Holt noted the refined design for the Woods area of the Recreation Center. He further noted the advantages of this property with centralized facilities and increased parking, including overflow parking, to accommodate over 900 parking spaces. Mr. Holt added this location was currently on an existing Williamsburg Area Transit Authority (WATA) route. He noted one disadvantage to this property would be the relocation of the skate park. Mr. Holt further noted expansion could be possible here with the repurposing of the ballfields if needed in the future for a centralized campus theme.

Mr. Stevens reiterated a review of five or six sites with these two locations the most logical choices. He noted the designs were only for test-fit purposes with the option to move building locations within the site.

Ms. Larson questioned the separate community building, adding she like the meeting room concept. She noted the separate school administration building and the reasoning particularly in relation to costs.

Mr. Purse noted the flow of the buildings along DePue Road.

Mr. Holt added having a four-story building and then the two-story school administration building eliminated one massive building on the property. He noted the potential flexibility to bring different buildings online within the site. Mr. Holt further noted a meeting room could be used for community events away from the integrated administrative building, adding there were pros and cons for both viewpoints.

Ms. Larson asked about the sports played on the fields, adding soccer was one sport.

Mr. Purse noted softball, adding he thought Lafayette High School used the field.

Ms. Larson asked about softball and the Williamsburg Indoor Sports Complex (WISC).

Mr. Purse noted softball fields were on the CIP and the accommodations to address that point.

Ms. Larson asked if a decision was needed today.

Mr. Stevens indicated location. He noted a decision if the Woods or LEC were preferred sites. Mr. Stevens added if a decision was made today on the Woods site, it would not impact the fields at this time. He noted architectural points regarding costs and design for the buildings which would be addressed later. Mr. Stevens further noted he felt separate buildings should be connected with waterproofing and safety concerns addressed.

Ms. Sadler asked if the ballfields were a long-term consideration if needed later and not for initial consideration.

Mr. Holt confirmed yes.

Mr. Stevens referenced the skate park and relocation. He noted discussion with the Director of Parks & Recreation on the design of the skate park. Mr. Stevens further noted the current design for a skate park was different than the existing one, but the plan was to replace the existing skate park with relocation of an updated park within the County's current Parks system. He asked the Board about the Woods site or the LEC site, adding additional conversations would be held on more details.

Mr. Holt noted the details could be addressed for either site.

Mr. Icenhour asked about the LEC site and the proposed Fire Station 6.

Mr. Purse noted it was on the Space Needs Study and could potentially fit on the LEC site.

Mr. Icenhour asked if the LEC site was chosen then Fire Station 6 would be moved to another location.

Mr. Holt and Mr. Purse confirmed yes.

Mr. Hipple noted Fire Station 6 already had a space below this area, adding it would be past the powerline area. He further noted his preference for the Warhill site, adding both sites had traffic issues. Mr. Hipple noted the \$5 million designated in the budget to enhance the road which came out to Longhill Road.

Ms. Sadler asked about the roads. She noted the traffic concerns in that area.

Discussion ensued.

Mr. Hipple noted costs associated with multiple buildings. He further noted the current lack of County ballfields which was not a concern at the LEC site. He addressed parking concerns at the Recreation Center. Mr. Hipple noted future growth in the County and its centralized location with expansion toward the Toano/Lightfoot area.

Discussion ensued on parking at the Recreation Center.

Mr. Icenhour noted the viability of these two properties and starting the process. He further noted he was in favor of the Woods site. Mr. Icenhour added this site offered the opportunity for expansion to the ballfields in the future if needed. He noted the possibility of Eastern State property availability at a later date.

Ms. Sadler asked about road improvement money near the Warhill Sports Complex, but not at the Recreation Center.

Mr. Stevens noted the Parks & Recreation Department had plans for a future road to address connectivity to the Warhill Sports Complex. He further noted he did not think it was in the five-year CIP, but the \$5 million was identified as a need.

Mr. McGlennon noted the future road would bring the traffic to Longhill Road, which currently was not expanded.

Mr. Stevens confirmed yes.

Mr. Icenhour noted another element of the Eastern State property and potential development included transportation along that corridor. He further noted the County would not be solely responsible for the roadway improvements if development came into that area.

Ms. Larson noted her support of the Woods site. She further noted the Warhill site offered proximity to the LEC and the increased safety aspect. Ms. Larson stated vulnerability of staff as well as board and commission members. She echoed other Board members in the school administration building be a centralized library also. Ms. Larson noted the importance of having discussion with the school system on its willingness to move to a centralized location.

Mr. Purse noted Ms. Larson had previously asked that question. He further noted the 150,000 square footage he had mentioned earlier in the presentation. Mr. Purse added the four levels of 48,000 square feet would equate to 190,000 square feet of space which could accommodate either a library or school administration building.

Ms. Larson asked if both could be done with a library on the bottom floor and school administration on another level.

Mr. Purse confirmed yes.

Ms. Larson noted the importance of a discussion with school administration. She further noted if the school administration wanted a different location, it would need to plan accordingly.

Mr. Stevens noted conversation with Superintendent of Schools Dr. Olwen Herron and location was a focal point for the school system. He further noted more discussion after a site decision was made.

Mr. Holt noted the example of proposed buildings on the site and expansion needs. He further noted traffic impacts at DePue Road and Longhill Road and the master plan's traffic study impact on these roads with the Eastern State property.

Ms. Sadler asked if either side allowed for growth.

Mr. Holt confirmed yes. He noted the power line easement and the RPA on the Warhill site and the impact to total space.

Mr. Hipple asked the total acreage on both sites. He noted the layout versus the use of the site.

Mr. Holt noted stormwater determination was needed on the Warhill site, adding the Woods had more Best Management Practice (BMP) uses as the land was flatter. He further noted more stormwater analysis on the other sites for development purposes.

Mr. Hipple noted a site needed to be determined.

Mr. Purse noted both sites were approximately 10 acres.

Ms. Sadler noted she liked the proximity to the LEC. She further noted she was in favor of the Warhill site.

Mr. McGlennon asked Mr. Icenhour about his site choice.

Mr. Icenhour noted the Recreation Center Woods site.

Mr. McGlennon noted the Warhill site was a farther distance for his constituents. He further noted his preference was the Woods site.

Mr. Stevens expressed his appreciation to the Board for the discussion, adding he would have more information later.

5. Update on the Natural and Cultural Assets Plan

Item No. 5 was moved to the first discussion item as previously noted.

Ms. Tammy Rosario, Assistant Director of Community Development, addressed the Board with an update on the Natural and Cultural Assets Plan. She noted James City County, its consultant, Ms. Karen Firehock of GIC, Inc., and the Natural and Cultural Assets Mapping Committee (NaCAMC) had worked together over the past year on a plan to conserve and protect natural and cultural resources within the County. She noted the multi-stage process had been highlighted at various Board meetings over that timeframe. Ms. Rosario stated the stages included mapping and modeling the County's natural and cultural resources, assessing the potential risk to these resources, and identifying opportunities to conserve, protect, or restore the resources. She recognized the work of the Mapping Committee which was comprised of citizen advisors appointed by the Board of Supervisors in October 2021, adding the members represented each district within James City County as well as various groups and organizations connected to natural and cultural resources. Ms. Rosario acknowledged Mr. Jay Everson, a citizen advisor, was in attendance. She noted the work of the Technical Advisory Committee which was comprised of numerous County departmental staff responsible for the stewardship of the County's natural and cultural assets. Ms. Rosario extended her thanks to the many citizens for their input during the Engage 2045 Comprehensive Plan process which led into the natural and cultural assets planning as well as citizen input during the mapping revision process with the February 2022 survey. She also thanked the many citizens who attended the June 2022 Open House or completed the online survey. Ms. Rosario noted the draft plan was included in the Agenda Packet for reference. She further noted Ms. Firehock would make a presentation prior to formal consideration of the plan to the Board at its October 11, 2022, Regular Meeting.

Ms. Firehock, GIC, Inc. consultant, addressed the Board noting the presentation highlighted the origins of the Natural and Cultural Assets Plan project. She noted the plan was an operational initiative in the 2035 Strategic Plan with an outgrowth of community priorities from the County's 2045 Comprehensive Plan. Ms. Firehock further noted prioritization of protection for natural lands and open spaces was the most highly ranked and supported objective across the three community engagement rounds. She continued the presentation highlighting the benefits of conserving natural assets and the six steps used in the process. Ms. Firehock noted the criteria of a minimum 100 acres for interior habitats which support biodiversity. She further noted maps were updated to reflect current and future development for more concise land areas. Ms. Firehock highlighted the different asset maps and their

respective characteristics which included: intact habitats, agriculture, forestry, water, recreation, and heritage and culture. She noted the area's rich historical, cultural, and archaeological sites. Ms. Firehock further noted potential risks which included sea level rise to 2060, storm surge, impaired rivers as identified by the Department of Environmental Quality, development, and utility-scale solar. She continued the presentation highlighting statistical data on County acreage, wetland acreage, and other categories. Ms. Firehock reiterated appreciation to the committee members and County staff, adding the various opportunities and timelines for community input throughout the process. She highlighted several participant comments during the presentation. Ms. Firehock continued noting the plan strategies and potential designs for maintaining connectivity in landscape development. She discussed the strategies overview and the four goals with specific objectives in the presentation. Ms. Firehock noted the high risk to Jamestown Island was recognized consistently. She further noted for the public's knowledge that recommended steps to achieve the objectives was included in the Board's Agenda Packet. Ms. Firehock stated Goal 2, which addresses conservation and protection of high value agricultural soils and historic farms, also highlighted agritourism and the Farm Link program which helps young farmers and retiring farmers connect and make plans to keep their lands in agricultural use. She continued the presentation highlighting the additional goals in the strategies overview and plans for incorporation of possible changes prior to adoption and publication of the plan. Ms. Firehock also noted grant information for funding was supplied in the materials.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Ms. Firehock for the presentation and asked the Board if it had any questions for her.

Ms. Larson noted her appreciation of the landscaping comments. She further noted trees planted along roadways that were damaged during storms which then required County cleanup. Ms. Larson referenced the comments on solar farms, adding an upcoming case would come before the Board. She questioned the process for making this plan a living document.

Ms. Firehock noted a current map existed for areas at risk in relation to the solar aspect. She further noted the next step would be adopted policies on where or where not to have solar development. Ms. Firehock added the work done with the GIS team was another layer of maps to be used in conjunction with Community Development. She noted the policies would be promoted on the County's website, putting into policy guidance, and sometimes adopting as an Ordinance. Ms. Firehock further noted the use of short-, mid-, and long-range icons for timeline identification.

Ms. Larson thanked Ms. Firehock.

Mr. Hipple noted consideration of changing the acreage size in the A-1, General Agricultural District from one to three acres per house to one to 20 acres on larger lots and the potential impact to connectivity as referenced in the presentation. He further noted discussion on tree canopy versus no tree canopy and how this information may help citizens. Mr. Hipple stressed the importance of land preservation in the County. He noted he envisioned the plan being used as a guide for citizens who owned land to preserve it, provide resources for animals, and other factors, but not as a mandate. Mr. Hipple further noted distribution via different sources to inform citizens of the information.

Ms. Firehock concurred.

Discussion ensued.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Ms. Firehock for the comprehensive work and the suggestions. He questioned what measurable outputs were available to identify if the protection of these assets

was successful.

Ms. Firehock noted the recommended actions, after incorporation into a work plan, could be used as a checklist. She further noted the development of benchmarks, whether in a future Comprehensive Plan or another way, which would indicate 50% of property would attain a certain level within a timeline.

Mr. McGlennon questioned if the objectives were accomplished then were the particular desired results a product of those objectives.

Ms. Firehock noted establishing an arbitrary timeline, perhaps in five or 10 years, to rerun the model to determine results. She further noted that approach allowed for any patterns to be assessed and modified if necessary. Ms. Firehock referenced the document as a snapshot in time.

Mr. Icenhour noted other documents which were used in varying degrees, adding land use decisions were ultimately decided by the Board of Supervisors. He referenced the use of documents such as the Comprehensive Plan and watershed plans. Mr. Icenhour noted a current review of watershed plans was also a snapshot in time and the evaluation of those plans. He further noted the importance of utilizing these documents regarding land use.

Ms. Firehock noted that was the idea behind the staff advisory committee working in conjunction with the NaCAMC. She further noted that work allowed staff to recognize how the goals and objectives worked on Parks and Recreation Master Plans and other areas of initiative. Ms. Firehock stated the data was already in the County's GIS. She noted the recommendations in the document were practical and financially achievable.

Ms. Sadler acknowledged citizen participation and appreciation for community input. She thanked Mr. Everson for his participation.

Mr. McGlennon also extended his appreciation to all the committee members and staff for their work.

Ms. Firehock thanked the Board for the opportunity.

F. BOARD CONSIDERATION(S)

None.

G. BOARD REQUESTS AND DIRECTIVES

The four Board members passed on comments.

Mr. McGlennon noted he had two items. He further noted his attendance at two Project Pipeline community presentations the previous week with Mr. Icenhour and Ms. Larson at the one hosted at Laurel Lane Elementary School on Monday evening. Mr. McGlennon commended the VDOT for making the information more understandable. He noted he was curious about public response to the three recommended proposals. Mr. McGlennon further noted the three recommended proposals would have a combined cost of \$36 million with an impact of eight reductions in traffic accidents annually. He stated if citizen wished to comment, the opportunity for this round was open until Friday, September 30 with information available on the County website and VDOT's Project Pipeline website. Mr. McGlennon noted a former Board of Supervisor, Mr. John Donaldson, had passed away over the weekend. He further noted Mr. Donaldson served two terms on the Board, was a long-time faculty member at the then Marshall-Wythe School of Law at William & Mary, and contributed greatly to the

community.

Mr. Hipple noted Mr. Donaldson was a great man.

H. REPORTS OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. Stevens thanked the Board for its support of Operation Green Light for Veterans, a NACo initiative, which was also supported by the Virginia Association of Counties. He noted to light community buildings and citizen involvement around their homes with green lighting from November 7-13. Mr. Stevens further noted promotion of the event, adding the Williamsburg/James City County Courthouse had been test-fit with green lights. He stated the program was in honor of veterans who had served and those currently serving. Mr. Stevens stated the voting center had opened last week at 4095 Ironbound Road in the building behind the Courthouse with the hours of operation 8 a.m.-5 p.m., Monday-Friday, through November 4. He added the center would be open on the following Saturdays: October 29 and November 5, 8 a.m.-5 p.m. Mr. Stevens noted anyone interested in early voting had those opportunities. He further noted several public outreach opportunities were available: Community Conversation Series, 6 p.m., September 29, at the James City County Recreation Center with a police overview of crime statistics and community events with suggestions for safer areas and homes; conversation regarding the need for a consolidated government center; and discussion on the trash consolidated waste disposal. Mr. Stevens stated these conversations offered an opportunity for additional community feedback on these items. He noted conversations within the Police Department with a survey on morale, staffing, and some items. Mr. Stevens further noted the Department was short-staffed, creating stress and working on communication to address issues. He stated he and Police Chief Eric Peterson had held several staff meetings earlier in the day with more meetings upcoming. Mr. Stevens noted he appreciated the Officers' participation and candid comments, adding the common goals of addressing morale and staffing would take several months of work. He further noted he would keep the Board updated and he extended his appreciation of its support on the various initiatives he had mentioned.

I. CLOSED SESSION

A motion to Enter a Closed Session for discussion or consideration of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(A)(3) of the Code of Virginia and pertaining to the property located at 2205 Jamestown Road; and discussion concerning the expansion of an existing business or industry where no previous announcement has been made of the business' or industry's interest in locating or expanding its facilities in the community, pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(A)(1) of the Code of Virginia; and discussion of a personnel matter, the appointment of individuals to County Boards and/or Commissions pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(A)(1) of the Code of Virginia for appointment to the Agricultural and Forestal District Advisory Committee, the Clean County Commission, and the Social Services Advisory Board was made by Michael Hipple, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

At approximately 4:12 p.m., the Board of Supervisors entered a Closed Session.

At approximately 4:46 p.m., the Board re-entered Open Session.

A motion to Certify the Board only spoke about those matters indicated that it would speak about in Closed Session was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

1. Discussion or consideration of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(A)(3) of the Code of Virginia and pertaining to the property located at 2205 Jamestown Road
2. Discussion concerning the expansion of an existing business or industry where no previous announcement has been made of the business' or industry's interest in locating or expanding its facilities in the community, pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(A)(1) of the Code of Virginia
3. Discussion of a personnel matter, the appointment of individuals to County Boards and/or Commissions pursuant to Section 2.2-3711 (A)(1) of the Code of Virginia

Mr. Icenhour asked if the appointments would be made individually or collectively with one vote.

The Board members concurred with collective appointment and one vote.

A motion was made by James Icenhour for the Appointments of Mr. David Hogue and Mr. Jason Knight to the Agricultural and Forestal District (AFD) Committee for a term to expire on September 30, 2027; the Appointment of Ms. Jennifer Pye to the term on the Clean County Commission to fill the balance of a term set to expire on May 31, 2023; and the Reappointment of Ms. Karen Davis to the Social Services Advisory Board for a term to expire on September 25, 2026, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

4. Appointments - Agricultural and Forestal District Advisory Committee
5. Appointment - Clean County Commission
6. Appointment - Social Services Advisory Board

J. ADJOURNMENT

1. Adjourn until 5 pm on October 11, 2022 for the Regular Meeting

A motion to Adjourn was made by Michael Hipple, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Sadler

At approximately 4:48 p.m., Mr. McGlennon adjourned the Board of Supervisors.


Deputy Clerk