

MINUTES
JAMES CITY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REGULAR MEETING
COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER BOARD ROOM
101 MOUNTS BAY ROAD, WILLIAMSBURG, VA 23185
February 13, 2024
5:00 PM

A. CALL TO ORDER

ADOPTED

B. ROLL CALL

MAR 26 2024

Barbara E. Null, Stonehouse District
Michael J. Hipple, Powhatan District
John J. McGlennon, Roberts District
James O. Icenhour, Jr., Vice Chair, Jamestown District
Ruth M. Larson, Chair, Berkeley District

Board of Supervisors
James City County, VA

Scott A. Stevens, County Administrator
Adam R. Kinsman, County Attorney

Ms. Larson noted the Pledge Leader would be introduced by Supervisor McGlennon.

C. MOMENT OF SILENCE

D. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

1. Pledge Leader - Toby Velasquez Menjivar, at 5th grade student at Laurel Lane Elementary

Mr. McGlennon introduced the Pledge Leader and gave highlights of Toby's various interests and activities.

Toby led the Board and citizens in the Pledge of Allegiance.

E. PUBLIC COMMENT

None.

F. CONSENT CALENDAR

None.

G. PUBLIC HEARING(S)

Ms. Larson acknowledged Mr. Tim O'Connor, the Planning Commission representative, at the meeting.

1. Z-23-0006/SUP-23-0025. Brickyard Landing Park Rezoning and Special Use Permit

A motion to Approve was made by Michael Hipple, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Mr. Ben Loppacker, Planner, addressed the Board noting Mr. Alister Perkinson, Parks Administrator for the Parks & Recreation Department, had submitted a request to rezone 1006 Brickyard Road to PL, Public Lands with a Special Use Permit (SUP) to allow for a community recreation facility. He stated the subject properties were located at 1006 and 990 Brickyard Road. Mr. Loppacker cited the specifics of the rezoning and SUP application included in the staff report in the Agenda Packet. He highlighted requirements of Section 15.2-2232 of the Code of Virginia, adding the Planning Commission had deemed the location and use to be consistent with the adopted 2045 Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Loppacker stated at its December 6, 2023, meeting, the Planning Commission voted 7-0 to recommend approval of the rezoning and SUP application to the Board of Supervisors, subject to the proposed conditions. He welcomed any questions the Board might have, adding the applicant was available as well.

Ms. Larson asked if any Board members had questions.

Mr. O'Connor, Planning Commission representative, addressed the Board noting the Planning Commission voted in support of the application as it allowed improvements to the Brickyard Landing Park and provided beneficial factors to the community. He noted the Planning Commission found the rezoning and SUP application consistent with the adopted 2045 Comprehensive Plan and welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Ms. Larson asked if any Board members had questions.

Ms. Larson thanked Mr. O'Connor.

Ms. Larson opened the Public Hearing.

Ms. Larson closed the Public Hearing as there were no speakers.

2. S-22-0027. 4525 William Bedford Parcel Designation Change

A motion to Approve was made by Michael Hipple, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Ms. Paxton Condon, Deputy Zoning Administrator/Senior Planner, addressed the Board noting Mr. Vernon Geddy had submitted a request on behalf of Mrs. Joanna Coronado to vacate the "Recreation Area" designation of a 0.75-acre parcel located at 4525 William Bedford in the Chanco's Grant subdivision. She cited the specifics of the memorandum included in the Agenda Packet. Ms. Condon advised a Declaration of Covenants and Restrictions for Chanco's Grant Section II was recorded, along with the plat, on May 29, 1987. She added Article III of the Declaration stated that the common area was to be managed and controlled by a homeowners association (HOA); however, an HOA for Chanco's Grant was never formed. Ms. Condon noted the developer of Chanco's Grant Section II retained ownership of the parcel until 2004, at which time the current owners purchased the lot at public auction. She further noted the parcel was never developed as a recreation area. Ms. Condon stated that in 2009, Mr. and Mrs. Coronado requested to vacate the plat and were denied on October 27, 2009. She stated approval of the vacation of the Recreation Area designation would alter the plat so it could become a numbered lot which would allow a single-family dwelling to be built on the lot. Ms. Condon noted staff recommended approval of this application to the Board of Supervisors and welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Ms. Larson asked if any Board members had questions.

Ms. Larson indicated there was no Planning Commission report on this item.

Ms. Larson opened the Public Hearing.

1. Mr. Vernon Geddy, Applicant representative, Geddy, Harris, Franck & Hickman, LLP, 1177 Jamestown Road, addressed the Board noting Ms. Condon provided a clear overview of the circumstances. He highlighted additional details regarding the original developer and the nonfulfillment components which ultimately led to the property being auctioned and purchased in 2004. Mr. Geddy stated in 2009, Mr. and Mrs. Coronado had submitted a similar request which the Board denied. Mr. Geddy mentioned the Chanco's Grant community discussed the potential of establishing an HOA for Chanco's Grant to utilize the lot as a recreation area; however, after 14 years that still had not been accomplished. He advised the Declaration of Covenants for Chanco's Grant Section II had a set 35-year term, adding it was recorded in 1987 and expired in 2022. Mr. Geddy stated there were no covenants in effect, no HOA, and expressed his belief that this lot would never become a recreation area. He noted the property owners desired to build a single-family dwelling on the lot that would be consistent with the neighborhood, the adopted 2045 Comprehensive Plan, and would allow productive use of the property. Mr. Geddy requested the Board approve this request and welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Ms. Larson asked if any Board members had questions.

Mr. Icenhour asked if all covenants had been eliminated.

Mr. Geddy confirmed yes.

Mr. Hipple mentioned the lot was larger than most. He inquired about future subdividing.

Mr. Geddy replied absolutely not, adding a condition could be implemented if necessary.

Mr. Hipple asked if it would be recorded as one single lot.

Mr. Geddy replied yes.

Ms. Larson thanked Mr. Geddy.

2. Mr. Todd Cox, 2908 Richard Grove S, addressed the Board noting the lot in question backed up to his property, adding he was not in support of the application. He expressed his concern with procedural errors regarding lack of signage and that he only received one letter via the United States Postal Service regarding notification of this public hearing. He mentioned in the past HOAs were not always mandatory prior to the developments' completion. Mr. Cox noted Chanco's Grant Board acknowledged that the Chanco's Grant homeowners had a share in the property and that share would not go away. He stated that an HOA may be formed; however, it was not mandatory. Mr. Cox encouraged the Board to deny this request. He referenced and cited the County Code Section 24-242(a) Open space within major subdivisions, adding Chanco's Grant subdivision fell within those guidelines of the County Code. Mr. Cox stated he had reached out to the property owners a couple of times with no contact back. He mentioned he had requested documentation on the 35-year term termination; however, to date he had not received the requested documentation. Mr. Cox asked the Board that the requested documentation be provided prior to a decision being made on this application. He reiterated his request to the Board to deny this application.

3. Mr. Frederick Smith, 2901 John Proctor W, addressed the Board noting he agreed with the statements of Mr. Cox, his neighbor. He requested the Board provide more transparency.

4. Mr. Dillon Tulip, 2904 Richard Grove S, addressed the Board noting he resided on the property adjacent to Mr. Cox. He expressed the importance and value of the green space in Chanco's Grant. Mr. Tulip mentioned neighborhood kids played and enjoyed that area. He hoped the Board would vote in support of preserving the green space.

5. Ms. Mary Pugh, 2908 Francis Chapman W, addressed the Board noting her concerns of potential property value depreciation if development were to occur, water and sewage extension would be required, and a demand for additional public services, water, increased taxes, etc.

Ms. Larson addressed Ms. Pugh noting she may be referring to the potential rezoning of a larger space in the Chanco's Grant subdivision. She further noted the Board was not considering that application this evening and clarified the application being considered was regarding the potential rezoning of one lot from recreation to residential. Ms. Larson added there was not a set date for the other application at this time, adding the two applications were not intermingled.

Ms. Pugh asked if the Board could provide a visual display of where the lot was located on the map. She noted her deed mentioned the recreation area was required to the homeowners of Chanco's Grant. Ms. Pugh expressed her appreciation for the recreation area. She mentioned the close-knit community in Chanco's Grant. Ms. Pugh noted one of the reasons she decided to move to Chanco's Grant was because it did not have an HOA. She expressed her desire to keep the recreation area as is highlighting the sense of privacy. Ms. Pugh thanked the Board.

Ms. Larson thanked Ms. Pugh.

Ms. Larson closed the Public Hearing as there were no additional speakers.

Ms. Larson asked Mr. Kinsman about the claimed verbiage on the deed aspect and the County Code Section 24-242(a) Open space within major subdivisions concerns.

Mr. Kinsman replied he would discuss the required covenants aspect and he would most likely defer the County Code Section 24-242(a) policy to Mr. Paul Holt, Director of Community Development, and/or Ms. Christy Parrish, Zoning Administrator. He mentioned he had a copy of the covenants and was happy to provide the requested documentation to Mr. Cox as he requested. Mr. Kinsman cited the Declaration of Recreation Article 10, Section 1: "The covenants and restrictions of this declaration shall run with and bind the land for a term of 35 years from the date this declaration is recorded." He advised it was recorded in 1987 confirming it was in fact expired, adding any property rights that the Chanco's Grant homeowners had regarding the lot had expired. Mr. Kinsman touched on the red rezoning signage that was mentioned earlier and clarified that was not required by the Code of Virginia. He noted the County had practiced that standard for many years; however, the practice was recently discontinued. Mr. Kinsman further noted the public notice aspect was adhered to with regard to the Code of Virginia requirements.

Ms. Parrish addressed the Board noting she would address the concern regarding the County Code Section 24-242(a) policy. She noted to her knowledge the Chanco's Grant subdivision was created prior to that requirement. Ms. Parrish indicated new major subdivisions developed today would have to adhere to that requirement.

Ms. Larson thanked Ms. Parrish.

Mr. Icenhour asked if the expired covenants requiring the established HOA were voluntary or mandated.

Mr. Kinsman requested a few minutes to review the documentation.

Mr. Icenhour mentioned he and Mr. McGlennon were on the Board during the first case

application in 2009. He remarked he reread the minutes and comments regarding the application and from his recollection the reason for denial in 2009 was to allow the Chanco's Grant community to establish a voluntary HOA. Mr. Icenhour explained that in order for that piece of property to exist, taxes had to be paid on it. He reiterated the Board's intent to allow the Chanco's Grant community the opportunity to set up this property as an established recreation area; however, that did not occur. Mr. Icenhour expressed his concern of the unknown temporary covenant aspect. He added this circumstance was an eye-opener and he expressed his concern on how these communities are set up to ensure these issues did not occur in the future.

Ms. Larson expressed the community opportunity over several years to establish a voluntary HOA within the community. She noted the Declaration of Recreation had since expired and the property owners had rights. Ms. Larson anticipated even if the Board denied the application today, the applicant would continue to come before the Board to request a parcel designation change and it would be approved at some point as there were no legal bindings. She noted no one should be on the property except for the property owners. She asked Mr. Kinsman for clarification on that point.

Mr. Kinsman confirmed yes. He added to Mr. Icenhour's question regarding the voluntary or mandated aspect of the HOA. Mr. Kinsman stated it was set up as an association; however, the definition had changed drastically from then to now. He mentioned this particular association was rudimentary, noting control of all common area. Mr. Kinsman added the developer was supposed to give that lot to the HOA at some point; however, that did not occur. He reiterated the fact that the covenants had expired and any Chanco's Grant homeowner rights had terminated.

Mr. Icenhour asked if the HOA was never really formed.

Mr. Kinsman replied he was unsure. He stated it was legally formed within the document; however, whether it was actually formed or not he did not know.

Mr. Icenhour mentioned he had experiences with other communities within his district where the neighborhood had a functioning HOA and essentially it went defunct due to lack of directorship, adding these concerns were had in smaller communities. He thanked Mr. Kinsman.

Mr. Hipple mentioned he had questions for Mr. McGlennon and Mr. Icenhour as they were Board members during the first application in 2009. He asked if the Board reasoning for the denial of the parcel designation change was to allow a voluntary HOA to be formed by the Chanco's Grant community.

Mr. McGlennon confirmed yes, adding the referenced minutes for that meeting indicated the community's desire to collectively establish a voluntary HOA; however, that was not accomplished.

Ms. Null agreed to Ms. Larson's point.

Ms. Larson requested Ms. Condon back to the podium. She asked if this lot would be for one single-family dwelling only.

Ms. Condon replied correct.

Ms. Larson mentioned Mr. Hipple's point of no potential subdividing or anything of that nature.

Ms. Condon replied no, adding subdividing would not be permitted based on area requirements within that zoning district.

Ms. Larson thanked Ms. Condon.

Ms. Larson noted staff would provide a joint presentation for Agenda Item Nos. 3 and 4 prior to holding separate public hearings.

3. SUP-23-0022. Westport Subdivision Tie-In to James City Service Authority

A motion to Approve was made by James Icenhour, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Ms. Terry Costello, Senior Planner, addressed the Board noting Ms. Karlyn Owens, on behalf of the James City Service Authority (JCSA), had applied for two SUPs to install two water main connections within the Westport and Liberty Ridge subdivisions. She stated the purpose of these installations was to connect the two independent water systems to the JCSA's central system. Ms. Costello indicated that both subdivisions were considered by-right major subdivisions. She cited the specifics of the SUP application included in the staff reports in the Agenda Packet. She noted the proposed locations of both water main locations would be within the street-rights-of-way and both locations include areas outside and inside the Primary Service Area (PSA). She highlighted various beneficial factors. Ms. Costello stated the County's Utility policy strongly discouraged utility extensions outside the PSA. She noted staff found that public utilities outside the PSA was inconsistent with the adopted 2045 Comprehensive Plan. Ms. Costello further noted staff was unable to recommend approval to the Board of Supervisors due to the inconsistencies with the 2045 Comprehensive Plan and the County's Utility policy. She cited Section 15.2-2232 of the Code of Virginia requirements noting the local Planning Commission shall review to determine whether the location, character, and extent of the project was consistent with the 2045 Comprehensive Plan. Ms. Costello stated the Planning Commission voted 5-2 to find these proposals consistent with the 2045 Comprehensive Plan. She noted at its December 6, 2023, meeting, the Planning Commission recommended approval of these applications by a vote of 5-2. Ms. Costello further noted staff recommended approval, subject to the proposed conditions. She welcomed any questions the Board might have and representatives from JCSA were available as well.

Ms. Larson thanked Ms. Costello.

Ms. Larson asked if any Board members had questions.

Mr. Doug Powell, General Manager of JCSA, 119 Tewning Road, addressed the Board acknowledging Ms. Karlyn Owens, JCSA's Engineer, was in attendance. He mentioned he would speak to both SUP applications collectively. Mr. Powell noted it was an unusual request; however, there were some significant benefits to JCSA's customers if the application requests were approved. He discussed the JCSA Water System noting the system was comprised of the central system in addition to eight independent systems and displayed a visual on the PowerPoint presentation. Mr. Powell noted approximately 60,000 customers were served via JCSA's central system. He indicated the water source was groundwater and the primary source of water came from the Five Forks Water Treatment Plant in addition to other smaller production wells. He identified the independent water systems on the map displayed on the PowerPoint presentation. Mr. Powell noted Westport and Liberty Ridge were directly adjacent to the central system and to the PSA along Centerville Road. He discussed and displayed the proposed extensions for Liberty Ridge and Westport on the PowerPoint presentation. He touched on the various reasons for the proposed extensions which included: avoidance of rehabilitation costs at the W-4 Facility, corrosion control, and identification of system vulnerabilities and risks. Mr. Powell noted public utilities already existed outside the PSA. He further noted Westport and Liberty Ridge were already served by public water systems owned and operated by JCSA. Mr. Powell mentioned if the SUPs were approved with the proposed conditions recommended by Planning staff then no other lots could connect to the JCSA water

line other than lots already platted without an SUP amendment. Mr. Powell highlighted the beneficial factors of the proposal which included the following: additional redundancy and improved fire protection, avoidance of rehabilitation expenses associated with older well facilities, maintain capacity at a lower cost, fewer Virginia Department of Environmental Quality and Virginia Department of Health permits, and reduced water production costs. He concluded the presentation and welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Mr. Hipple expressed his concern of subdivisions with their own well systems end up being taken over at a loss to James City County. He discussed his point in further detail. Mr. Hipple noted Liberty Ridge had lost a few lots; he asked if those lots were included in this proposal.

Mr. Powell replied he was unsure of the lots Mr. Hipple was referring to but if the lots were not currently platted those lots could not be served without an SUP amendment.

Mr. Hipple asked Mr. Kinsman if those lost lots were included in this proposal.

Mr. Kinsman replied no, those lots in question were not platted.

Mr. Hipple asked if the lots were platted at one time or never platted at all.

Mr. Kinsman replied the lots were never platted.

Mr. Hipple reiterated his concern regarding the tie-in to the JCSA central system.

Mr. Icenhour mentioned a policy change in relation to subdivisions and central well systems. He discussed the challenges regarding the independent water systems outside the PSA. Mr. Icenhour noted these two were the exception based on proximity. He further noted he did not have an issue supporting this request as there were significant consumer benefits and financial incentives. He expressed his opinion that a precedent would not be set based on this approval as the decision was ultimately based on the proximity factor.

Mr. McGlennon expressed his concern with supporting these tie-in approval requests; however, he understood the JCSA beneficial aspect of it as well. He stated in other cases there were generally broader public benefit in terms of health and safety.

Mr. O'Connor, Planning Commission representative, addressed the Board noting Mr. Powell had summed it up very well. Mr. O'Connor noted ultimately the Planning Commission voted to recommend approval of these applications as the public benefit outweighed the restriction of the 2045 Comprehensive Plan as far as not providing those services outside the PSA. He informed Mr. Hipple that the central well requirement had been removed to avoid future instances such as this. Mr. O'Connor welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Ms. Larson asked if any Board members had questions.

Ms. Larson thanked Mr. O'Connor.

Ms. Larson opened the Public Hearing for the Agenda Item No. 3.

Ms. Larson closed the Public Hearing as there were no speakers.

4. SUP-23-0023. Liberty Ridge Subdivision Tie-In to James City Service Authority

A motion to Approve was made by James Icenhour, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Ms. Larson opened the Public Hearing.

Ms. Larson closed the Public Hearing as there were no speakers.

H. BOARD CONSIDERATION(S)

1. SUP-23-0026, 206 The Maine Detached Accessory Apartment

A motion to Approve was made by James Icenhour, the motion result was Failed.

AYES: 1 NAYS: 4 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Icenhour Jr.

Nays: Hipple, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Ms. Tess Lynch, Planner II, addressed the Board noting at its January 9, 2024, Regular Meeting, the Board postponed this application due to concerns regarding the occupancy of the detached accessory apartment and the potential for future occupancy by a non-family member. She further noted Planning staff added, with the concurrence of the applicant, a new SUP condition addressing the occupancy. Ms. Lynch stated staff had confirmed with the applicant, on behalf of the property owners, that occupancy of the single-family dwelling and/or the detached accessory apartment would be limited to family members only. She noted staff recommended approval of the application, subject to the proposed conditions. Ms. Lynch welcomed any questions the Board might have, adding the applicant was available as well.

Ms. Larson asked if any Board members had questions.

Ms. Larson thanked the applicant and property owners for their willingness to limit the occupancy to just family members. She asked Mr. Kinsman if the SUP ran with the land or the owner.

Mr. Kinsman replied the SUP conditions ran with the land, adding the next owner would require occupancy of family members only.

Ms. Larson thanked Mr. Kinsman.

Mr. Hipple inquired about future occupancy if the property was sold and how the County determined that family members were occupying either the single-family dwelling and/or detached accessory apartment.

Mr. Holt replied an additional SUP condition had been included. He stated if the application were approved the resolution would be recorded at the Williamsburg/James City County Courthouse, adding any documentation and/or title search would show up there. Mr. Holt noted immediate family was specifically defined as reflected in the Subdivision Ordinance in relation to family subdivisions. He further noted if Planning staff received a complaint then staff would visit and assess the property to ensure all SUP conditions were being followed.

Mr. Hipple expressed his concern of effectively validating future occupancy. He spoke to that point in further detail.

Mr. Holt replied that other than a complaint there were challenges to that point.

Mr. Hipple expressed he was not insinuating lack of honesty or nonadherence; however, he mentioned the confusion aspect.

Mr. Holt replied that was true for any SUP uses across the County. He mentioned the beneficial

aspect of the County utilizing a private sector tracking system to monitor short-term rentals; however, currently there was not a system developed to track other SUP uses.

Mr. McGlennon asked if this was new construction or an existing structure.

Ms. Lynch replied the desire was to build a detached garage to include an accessory apartment above the garage.

Mr. McGlennon asked if the detached accessory apartment would be occupied by the family's son.

Ms. Lynch confirmed yes.

Mr. McGlennon inquired about the timeline of obtaining the Certificate of Occupancy, adding he thought it was a year.

Ms. Lynch confirmed yes.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Ms. Lynch.

2. Staff Appointment to the Middle Peninsula Juvenile Detention Commission

A motion to Reappoint Ms. Sharon McCarthy to the Middle Peninsula Juvenile Detention Commission for a term to expire June 30, 2027, was made by John McGlennon, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

3. Amend the Board's adopted calendar to add a Board Retreat on March 2, 2024, at 8 am at the Law Enforcement Center, 4600 Opportunity Way, Williamsburg, VA

A motion to Amend the Board's Adopted Calendar to Add a Board Retreat on March 2, 2024 at 8 a.m. at the Law Enforcement Center 4600 Opportunity Way, Williamsburg, Virginia was made by Barbara Null, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

I. BOARD REQUESTS AND DIRECTIVES

Mr. McGlennon noted on Sunday, February 11, 2024, he attended a service for the passing of Ms. Mary Ann Oyer, the widow of Mr. Edwin Carl "Quill" Oyer. He further noted that on February 1, 2024, he attended the Virginia Association of Counties (VACo) Annual Local Government Day at the General Assembly. He stated he had the opportunity to speak with each of the County's state legislators and address concerns and support. Mr. McGlennon elaborated in further detail.

Ms. Larson expressed concern with applicants for various uses attempting to gain approval from the General Assembly opposed to dealing with the locality directly. She referenced short-term rentals as an example. Ms. Larson explained that as a Board it has collaborated with VACo to attempt pushback regarding that point. She highlighted a 90% approval rate regarding SUP applications, adding each decision was made with County citizens best interests in mind. Ms. Larson expressed significant concern regarding the rights of localities potentially being taken away. She thanked Mr. Kinsman and lobbyists for all efforts keeping the Board up to date on this matter. Ms. Larson noted she attended the VACo Annual Local Government Day and attended the Chair Institute, adding she, Mr. Stevens, and Supervisor McGlennon conducted a presentation. She commented that she and Mr. Stevens met with the Chair of York County and the York County County Administrator to address future traffic-related concerns due to a

recently approved warehouse on the Williamsburg Pottery property. Ms. Larson noted she had attended a County staff meeting at the James City County Marina to discuss current and future improvements on-site.

Mr. Hipple thanked Ms. Larson for meeting with York County staff to address future traffic-related concerns. He mentioned the new apartments being constructed on Old Mooretown Road, adding to his knowledge York County was not planning on conducting a traffic study. Mr. Hipple expressed his concerns regarding traffic impacts. He reiterated his thanks to Ms. Larson for her efforts.

Ms. Larson expressed condolences to her former colleague on the Williamsburg-James City County School Board, Ms. Elise Emanuel, for the recent loss of her husband.

J. REPORTS OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. Stevens noted he had nothing to report.

Mr. McGlennon expressed his desire to report that Mr. Stevens hosted the recent Community Conversations event. He mentioned it was well attended and very informative.

Mr. Stevens thanked Mr. McGlennon. He expressed positive remarks of the event and thanked Mr. Brad Rinehimer, Assistant County Administrator, and Police Chief Mark Jamison, for conducting presentations and County staff for all efforts coordinating the event. Mr. Stevens also thanked the Board members who attended.

K. CLOSED SESSION

None.

L. ADJOURNMENT

1. Adjourn until 1 pm on February 27, 2024, for the Business Meeting

A motion to Adjourn was made by John McGlennon, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

At approximately 6:20 p.m., Ms. Larson adjourned the Board of Supervisors.

Teresa J. Saeed

Deputy Clerk