

MINUTES
JAMES CITY COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
REGULAR MEETING
COUNTY GOVERNMENT CENTER BOARD ROOM
101 MOUNTS BAY ROAD, WILLIAMSBURG, VA 23185

September 9, 2025

5:00 PM

A. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Icenhour called the meeting to order at approximately 5:03 p.m. following the James City Service Authority (JCSA) Board of Directors Regular Meeting.

B. ROLL CALL

Michael J. Hipple, Powhatan District
Barbara E. Null, Stonehouse District
Ruth M. Larson, Berkeley District
John J. McGlennon, Vice Chair, Roberts District
James O. Icenhour, Jr., Chair, Jamestown District

Scott A. Stevens, County Administrator
Adam R. Kinsman, County Attorney

ADOPTED

OCT 28 2025

Board of Supervisors
James City County, VA

Mr. Icenhour sought a motion to Amend the Agenda to add a Proclamation for the 2025 United Way Day of Caring.

A motion to Amend the Agenda to add a Proclamation for the 2025 United Way Day of Caring was made by John McGlennon, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0
Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Mr. Icenhour noted there was no designated Pledge Leader scheduled for this meeting, adding he invited Mr. Dean Lynch, Executive Director of Virginia Association of Counties (VACo), to lead the Board and citizens in the Pledge of Allegiance.

C. MOMENT OF SILENCE

D. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Mr. Lynch led the Board and citizens in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Mr. Icenhour welcomed Mr. Lynch to the podium.

E. PRESENTATIONS

1. VACo Achievement Awards Presentation - Dean Lynch, Executive Director, VACo

Mr. Lynch addressed the Board noting he was in attendance to present the VACo Achievement Awards. He stated VACo was founded in 1934 to support the efforts of county officials through

education programs, advocacy, member services, and communications. Mr. Lynch further stated that in 2003, VACo established its Achievement Award Program to recognize localities that had adopted innovative approaches to providing public services. Mr. Lynch highlighted eligibility criteria for these awards, adding it was a highly competitive program. He remarked that he was pleased to present two Achievement Awards to James City County: James City County Safety Rodeo Program and the James City County Stormwater Seminars. He indicated a brief discussion of the programs would take place before he presented the awards.

Ms. Joanna Ripley, Assistant Director of General Services, addressed the Board noting that the General Services Department submitted several initiatives to the VACo Achievement Awards Program and of those submissions the Safety Rodeo and the Stormwater Seminars were selected. She noted approximately three years ago a dedicated 13-member employee committee consisting of employees from General Services, Risk Management, and JCSA collaborated to come up with a vision to create an innovative way to put safety awareness at the forefront of every employee. Ms. Ripley explained that those efforts led to the creation of the annual Safety Rodeo, which has a single mission: to ensure every employee returns home safely. She noted that the Stormwater seminars developed and led by the Stormwater and Resource Protection Division have become an invaluable resource, providing the latest updates on regulations to the public and the development community. Ms. Ripley noted on behalf of the County's employee committee, she expressed her thanks to VACo and the Board of Supervisors for acknowledging the employees' dedication, hard work, and commitment to both employees and the community.

Mr. Lynch presented the James City County Safety Rodeo Award to Ms. Ripley, followed by a photo with the Board of Supervisors.

Ms. Ripley announced that the Safety Rodeo video would be shown.

The Board and citizens applauded.

Mr. Lynch presented the James City County Stormwater Seminars Award to the Stormwater and Resource Protection Division staff, followed by a photo with the Board of Supervisors.

The Board and citizens applauded.

Mr. Lynch recognized Ms. Larson and her role as this year's President of VACo and expressed positive remarks about her position in that role and her representation of James City County.

The Board and citizens applauded.

(Inaudible discussion)

2. Proclamation - 2025 United Way Day of Caring

Mr. Icenhour cited the 2025 United Way Day of Caring Proclamation. He presented the proclamation to Ms. Charvalla West, CEO of the United Way of the Virginia Peninsula.

The Board and audience applauded.

Ms. West thanked the Board, County leadership and staff for their ongoing support. She expressed her gratitude for the volunteers' time, the campaign's financial support, and the neighborly kindness.

The Board and audience applauded.

Ms. West asked the Board's permission if a colleague could join her at the podium to discuss the 2025 United Way Day of Caring Kickoff event.

The Board gave consent.

Ms. Ellen Knecht, Donor Relations Manager of United Way of the Virginia Peninsula, addressed the Board and citizens noting there would be a kickoff event held on Thursday, September 11 at The Virginia Beer Company. She encouraged the public to attend the event.

F. PUBLIC COMMENT

1. Mr. Jay Everson, 6423 Chancery Lane, addressed the Board noting he had attended the most recent informational meeting at Legacy Hall regarding the proposed Consolidated Government Center. He mentioned the upcoming election with at least one Board of Supervisors seat being vacated. Mr. Everson noted there were two candidates running for office who were committed to defunding the proposed Consolidated Government Center if elected. He suggested deferring all final decisions and contracts regarding the new municipal complex until after the election.

2. Mr. Chris Henderson, 101 Keystone, addressed the Board mentioning a past discussion at the Board's last meeting. He noted he had suggested regulations in relation to a particular type of massage parlor and referenced the legitimate name of the business. He stated that the business type was not being categorized by ethnicity, nationality, or group affiliation for clarification purposes. Mr. Henderson reiterated his request for Board consideration on Board meetings being held at 7 p.m. opposed to 5 p.m. to allow the public more opportunity to attend. He mentioned he attended the recent informational meetings for the proposed Consolidated Government Center, noting strong public opposition. Mr. Henderson raised concern regarding the project's escalating costs and significant financial impact. He agreed with Mr. Everson to defer action on this matter until after the election. Mr. Henderson mentioned the upcoming 250th anniversary celebration and the County's involvement in the event. Mr. Henderson requested Board consideration on a feasibility study to assess the separation of the joint school system. He discussed construction commodity prices and lower costs.

G. CONSENT CALENDAR

1. Dedication of the Streets in Section 2B of the Landfall at Jamestown Subdivision

A motion to Approve was made by Michael Hipple, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

H. PUBLIC HEARING(S)

1. ORD-24-0002. Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance Regarding Data Centers

A motion to Approve was made by John McGlennon, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 4 NAYS: 1 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon

Nays: Null

Ms. Christy Parrish, Zoning Administrator, addressed the Board noting that at its meeting on March 11, 2025, the Board adopted an Initiating Resolution to consider amendments to the James City County Zoning Ordinance to address Data Centers. She reviewed the definition of Data Centers and addressed the heightened demand for these facilities. Ms. Parrish stated Data Centers were not defined in the Ordinance and were permitted by-right in the M-1, Limited

Business/Industrial; M-2, General Industrial; MU, Mixed Use; and EO, Economic Opportunity Districts. She further stated that Data Centers provide a variety of impacts both positive and negative. Ms. Parrish highlighted the pros and cons of Data Centers in the PowerPoint presentation. She explained that regulations governing Data Centers were location specific. Ms. Parrish noted the approval process could differ significantly between jurisdictions, with some utilizing an Overlay District for by-right permitting and others mandating a Special Use Permit (SUP) or special exception. She indicated some jurisdictions govern Data Centers through the adoption of performance standards or specific policies. Ms. Parrish stated that County staff presented materials to the Policy Committee at its June 12, 2025, meeting, which included 1) defining Data Center; 2) remove data processing centers as a permitted use; 3) consideration of adding Data Centers as a specially permitted use only when adhering to certain criteria and performance standards in the M-2, General Industrial District; and 4) consideration of adding Data Centers as a specially permitted use in the EO, Economic Opportunity District. She further stated after discussion, the Policy Committee voted 4-1 to recommend proposed changes and elaborated on those proposed changes. Ms. Parrish noted that staff presented the proposed Ordinance recommended by the Policy Committee to the Planning Commission at its July 2, 2025, meeting. She further noted upon discussion, the Planning Commission decided to exclude Data Centers in the EO, Economic Opportunity District. Ms. Parrish advised that the Planning Commission voted 6-1 to recommend proposed changes and elaborated on those proposed changes. Ms. Parrish stated that staff had updated the draft Ordinance to reflect the Planning Commission's changes. She further stated staff recommended approval of the draft Ordinance and if desired to direct staff to develop a comprehensive Board policy for Data Center facilities to identify best practices for reviewing Data Centers. Ms. Parrish welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Mr. McGlennon thanked Ms. Parrish and staff for their work on the subject matter. He expressed his belief that a policy was needed to regulate a practice that currently operated under by-right permission. He voiced his concern regarding the Planning Commission's recent recommendation that Data Centers were only suitable at the County's southern end. He stressed the need to keep such facilities separate from residential areas. Mr. McGlennon expressed his belief that Data Centers would become smaller, more efficient, and more advanced with time. He emphasized the need for a thoughtful evaluation of suitable areas for this kind of use.

Ms. Larson expressed her concern about how the policy would keep up with many changes happening with Data Centers. She mentioned VACo had a podcast about Data Centers and encouraged individuals to listen to the podcast. Ms. Larson pointed out that Henrico County was using Data Center tax revenue to fund affordable housing and that the initiative had its benefits.

Ms. Parrish expressed her belief that the policies could be amended easier than the Ordinance could.

Ms. Null expressed her concern with the water usage these Data Centers require. She referred to Albemarle County's policy, which mandated that Data Centers use public water and sewer services, as well as closed-loop or recycled water cooling systems and generators. She questioned if the County could use water from the James River and recycle it.

Ms. Parrish replied that various existing standards and criteria could be incorporated into the policy.

Mr. McGlennon replied to Ms. Null that Data Centers needed potable water.

Mr. Hipple asked if the Grove area was the only feasible location for the Data Center.

Ms. Parrish replied to be served by JCSA water, yes.

Mr. Hipple suggested attending seminars to better understand these data centers and explore options for smaller-scale centers to ease concerns.

Ms. Parrish recommended developing a flexible policy and elaborated on that in greater detail.

Mr. Hipple noted he did not want Data Centers to compromise the County's water supply. He recommended deferring the vote until more information could be collected. He looked to the Board for agreement on the deferral.

Mr. McGlennon advised that the vote was only to allow staff to develop a policy. He looked to Ms. Parrish on clarity on that.

Ms. Parrish replied that it would also include a definition for Data Center, remove data processing center from the other zoning districts, and then make it an SUP in the M-2 Zoning District.

Mr. Icenhour replied to Mr. Hipple while he understood the concern, he was not comfortable with the fact that the use was currently by-right in certain areas of the County. He recommended to move forward with the vote to require an SUP for this use. Mr. Icenhour noted that policy amendments could be made as the Board deemed appropriate and elaborated on his point in further detail.

Discussion ensued.

Dr. Kira Allmann, Planning Commission representative, addressed the Board noting during the July 2, 2025, meeting, there were several discussions on impacts and concerns related to Data Centers. She mentioned one point of discussion was that Data Centers primarily serve large corporations outside the local community and that there were substantial electrical consumption that could place unknown demands on Dominion Energy. Dr. Allmann highlighted various negative impacts such as visually unappealing, warehouse-like appearance, high water usage, adverse effects on air quality, noise, and hazardous e-waste. She noted one Commissioner raised concern about the absence of a clear decommissioning plan and that the building height requirements did not explicitly include the rooftop HVAC systems. She further noted there was also discussion about which districts should be considered for Data Center construction in addition to permitting Data Centers even with an SUP in the Mixed Use Zoning District due to visual impacts on nearby residents. Dr. Allmann mentioned a Commissioner also argued for a by-right option in the M-2 District pointing out areas where there were existing substations, a lack of residential homes, surface water considerations, and expressing trust in staff's ability to ensure compliance. She stated another Commissioner set out a case for requiring Data Centers to provide local community benefits such as computing capacity dedicated to local startups or research institutions in an effort to ensure that Data Centers served local needs and were sustainable long-term. Dr. Allmann further stated that the Planning Commission agreed on the need for best practice criteria to lessen data center's adverse local effects. She indicated there were no public comments at the Planning Commission meeting, adding the Commission voted 5-1 to recommend approval to include amendments such as limiting Data Center placement to M-2 Zoning Districts only with an SUP requirement and recommending that the Board direct staff to develop a comprehensive policy for Data Center facilities. Dr. Allmann concluded her remarks and welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Mr. Icenhour opened the Public Hearing.

1. Mr. Chris Henderson, 101 Keystone, addressed the Board noting he represented BASF Corporation for approximately 25 years and its attempt to divest and redevelop in the lower portion of the County. He noted the attempt to reposition the property for development as a resort. Mr. Henderson indicated BASF Corporation explicitly excluded residential uses because of its prior use as a manufacturing facility. He mentioned the County's Comprehensive Plan and

the intent to keep that property as industrial use. He expressed his belief that the BASF property was the best location for a Data Center, citing the ready availability of power infrastructure. Mr. Henderson indicated the site was equipped with overhead powerlines and a 20-acre substation that received direct power from the Surry Power Station via two 500 kV lines and two 230 kV lines, providing ample capacity for numerous data center modules. He highlighted the various key elements this property offered and its ideal location. Mr. Henderson requested the Board to consider not requiring the M-2 Zoning District to go through an SUP process. He argued that a lengthy SUP process would put the property at a disadvantage, especially when the County could benefit from the additional revenue. Mr. Henderson explained that each Data Center was worth approximately \$3-4 billion and that typically those centers were developed in groups of four. He suggested that this particular use could double or even quadruple the taxable value of land and business property in James City County.

Mr. Icenhour closed the Public Hearing.

Mr. Icenhour asked Ms. Parrish for an explanation of the provision that excluded cryptocurrency mining and the justification for that decision.

Ms. Parrish replied staff excluded the specific use because the focus was exclusively on Data Centers, adding staff lacked the necessary expertise to assess the broader, general impacts of cryptocurrency mining.

Mr. Icenhour asked Ms. Parrish if cryptocurrency mining would be looked at as a separate issue.

Ms. Parrish confirmed yes.

2. ORD-25-0003. Amendments to the Zoning Ordinance Regarding Pedestrian and Bicycle Accommodations

A motion to Approve was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Ms. Liz Parman, Deputy County Attorney, addressed the Board noting before the Board was a recommended Ordinance to amend Section 24-35 of the James City County Zoning Ordinance. She explained that this section required developers to dedicate and build pedestrian and bicycle lanes for certain projects requiring a site plan or a major subdivision review. She noted the memorandum included in the Board's Agenda Packet outlined certain constitutional concerns with this particular Code section. Ms. Parman further noted to address these concerns staff developed three additional exemptions under subsection c. She cited the additional exemptions. Ms. Parman indicated that the exemptions prevented the County from imposing project requirements that were unrelated to the proposed development. She stated that staff recommended the Board adopt the proposed Ordinance and welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Mr. Icenhour asked if the Board had questions.

The Board declined.

Dr. Allman addressed the Board stating that during the August 6, 2025, Planning Commission meeting, Ms. Parman proposed amendments emphasizing the constitutional concerns with requiring land dedication for pedestrian and bicycle lanes for projects that may not necessitate such accommodations. She noted that Ms. Parman explained that any requirement for land dedication must be reviewed under Supreme Court decisions relating to takings and exactions. Dr. Allman further noted a Planning Commissioner requested clarification on which road the

Ordinance amendments applied to, adding Ms. Parman confirmed that it applied to the road adjacent to the proposed development. She indicated there were no public comments at the Planning Commission meeting, adding the Planning Commission voted 7-0 to recommend approval of the Zoning Ordinance amendment. Dr. Allmann concluded her remarks and welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Mr. Icenhour opened the Public Hearing.

Mr. Icenhour closed the Public Hearing as there were no public speakers.

3. An ordinance to amend and re-ordain various provisions of Chapter 3, Animal Laws.

A motion to Approve was made by John McGlennon, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Ms. Jennifer Tomes, Treasurer, addressed the Board noting the proposed Zoning Ordinance amendment changes before the Board would update the County's Dog Licensing Ordinance to allow the County to issue lifetime dog licenses beginning January 1, 2026. She indicated the County currently offered multi-year dog licenses depending on the expiration date of a current rabies vaccination. Ms. Tomes stated since 2007, the County's licensing fees have been \$5 or \$8 per year depending on whether the animal was spayed or neutered. She further stated in the last five years the number of licenses issued had declined each year. Ms. Tomes advised that the Code of Virginia, related to animal licensing, was changed in 2017 to authorize localities to issue lifetime licenses. She pointed out that numerous surrounding jurisdictions had transitioned to offering lifetime licenses, specifying that the fees fluctuate between \$10 to \$50. Ms. Tomes highlighted the three changes to include 1) removing annual dog licenses and replacing them with lifetime licenses; 2) updating the fee schedule for licenses to \$15 for all dogs; and 3) adding a clause to allow individuals with current licenses to exchange their annual license for a lifetime license at no cost. She noted that this would reduce the burden on County residents, lead to better compliance, and increase revenue. Ms. Tomes advised that the Treasurer's Office would continue to compile vaccination data received from area veterinarians and make it accessible to the County's Animal Control Officer, an effort that the Officer endorsed. She welcomed any questions that the Board might have.

Ms. Null stated this initiative was a great idea.

Ms. Larson inquired about whether the staff was adequate to manage the annual dog license follow-ups.

Ms. Tomes replied that the Treasurer's Office was attempting to use its tax system for tracking purposes; however, she indicated there had been some difficulties with the system. She noted the Treasurer's Office recently created a new, standalone database for Animal Control to use, separate from the tax system. Ms. Tomes indicated the system generated letters after 90 days.

Ms. Larson thanked Ms. Tomes.

Mr. Icenhour expressed concern about the low level of dog license compliance.

Ms. Tomes replied most of the people who complied with the annual dog license requirement were dog owners who attended dog parks.

Mr. Icenhour questioned how to guarantee proper vaccinations with the introduction of a lifetime dog license.

Ms. Tomes replied that the Treasurer's Office would continue to maintain current records of

rabies vaccinations as reported by area veterinarians. She indicated that veterinarians would be required to confirm rabies vaccination before performing any service for an animal.

Mr. Icenhour stated that there was no Planning Commission report on this item.

Mr. Icenhour opened the Public Hearing.

Mr. Icenhour closed the Public Hearing as there were no public speakers.

4. SUP-25-0004. 4622 Rochambeau Drive Evolution House Group Home

A motion to Approve was made by Michael Hipple, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Ms. Terry Costello, Senior Planner, addressed the Board noting that Mr. Greg Davis had applied on behalf of Evolution House, LLC, for an SUP to allow the operation of a group home housing more than eight individuals at 4622 Rochambeau Drive. She noted the property was located along Rochambeau Drive and Cloverleaf Lane. Ms. Costello further noted the group home was currently operating within the existing building located on this property, adding the building was formerly a doctor's office. She cited the specifics of the SUP application included in the staff report in the Agenda Packet. She advised that the SUP, if approved, would allow for the operation of a residential home that supports men recovering from addiction. Ms. Costello stated it was important to note that the Federal Housing Act prohibits discrimination in housing based upon a person's disability, which includes this type of recovery. She further stated the proposal includes housing of up to 16 residents with on-site staff. Ms. Costello explained the number of housed residents was dependent on various criteria that must be met and elaborated on that point in greater detail. She noted that staff recommended proposed conditions to mitigate the potential impacts of the use and preserve the residential character of the home. Ms. Costello noted that staff found the proposed use should not negatively affect the surrounding property nor County services and filled a housing need within the County. She further noted that staff recommended approval of this application, subject to the proposed conditions. Ms. Costello stated at its meeting on July 2, 2025, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the application by a vote of 6-0. She concluded her remarks and welcomed any questions the Board might have, adding the applicant was available as well.

Mr. Icenhour asked if the Board had questions.

The Board declined.

Dr. Allmann addressed the Board noting during the July 2, 2025, Planning Commission meeting, the applicant's representative highlighted that Evolution House addressed a critical local need, a high success rate, and demonstrating efforts to achieve Building Code and fire safety compliance. She detailed the measures being considered to address surrounding neighbor concerns, which included imposing stricter curfew regulations, mandating expulsion for trespassing, and enforcing a strict zero-tolerance policy on drug use. Dr. Allmann mentioned the Commissioners support for the application and commended the applicant for holding a community meeting and collaborating with County staff to incorporate SUP conditions. She stated during the Planning Commission meeting there were three public speakers who spoke in support of the application. Dr. Allmann further stated the Planning Commission voted 6-0 to recommend approval, subject to the proposed conditions. She welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Mr. Icenhour asked if the Board had questions.

The Board declined.

Mr. Icenhour opened the Public Hearing.

1. Mr. Greg Davis of Kaufman & Canoles, P.C., 4801 Courthouse Street, Suite, 300, addressed the Board noting he was representing Evolution House, LLC. He provided a brief overview of the organization, adding it had nine locations in the Tidewater area, each licensed by the Virginia Department of Behavioral Health and Developmental Services. Mr. Davis stated that the residents of Evolution House were there on a voluntary basis, not from court-ordered detention or treatment programs. He further stated the organization had a success rate that was 30% higher than the national average. Mr. Davis displayed various photos of the group home in the PowerPoint presentation. He advised that the group home housed men without housing which included a 90-day stay. Mr. Davis noted the residents of Evolution House were subject to house rules, curfews, trespassing, and drug testing requirements with a zero-tolerance policy. He further noted the facility was always staffed with trained professionals. Mr. Davis explained there were no traffic and/or parking concerns. He indicated residents were shuttled via van to various appointments and activities. Mr. Davis discussed the increased demand for this service, noting that the COVID-19 pandemic fueled a rise in substance abuse. He reported that Evolution House has added Sentara Optima as a partner, alongside Colonial Behavioral Health. Mr. Davis encouraged the Board to support this application and welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Mr. Icenhour asked if the Board had questions.

Ms. Null mentioned her support for the application.

2. Mr. Chris Henderson, 101 Keystone, addressed the Board noting he currently employed current and past Evolution House residents and graduates. He noted that two of the employed residents were pursuing certification as Registered Peer Recovery Specialists. Mr. Henderson shared his positive experience and advocated for other employers to offer opportunities to these individuals. He expressed his support of the application.

3. Mr. Henry Stratman, 3809 E Steeplechase Way, Apt. C, addressed the Board noting he was a former resident of Evolution House. He mentioned he moved into Evolution House in the summer of 2020. Mr. Stratman shared that he had attended multiple other treatment facilities without seeing any improvement. He explained that Evolution House fosters a supportive, communal atmosphere where people were encouraged to develop and improve themselves. Mr. Stratman recognized the organization's owners for their passion and dedication to the program. He expressed his support for this application.

4. Mr. Cole Sanderson, 4611 Rochambeau Drive, addressed the Board noting he was the adjacent property owner. He explained that his disabled father lived there, adding his immediate concern was safety. Mr. Sanderson voiced his approval for the application, confirming his belief that the safety measures were adequate.

Mr. Icenhour closed the Public Hearing as there were no additional speakers.

5. Farming Lease with Riverside Farm, Inc.

A motion to Approve was made by Barbara Null, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

Mr. John Carnifax, Jr., Project Manager, addressed the Board noting the County recently purchased property located at 6693 Richmond Road for a future Fire Station 6. He noted before the Board was a farming lease, adding the property was currently being farmed by Riverside Farm, Inc. Mr. Carnifax welcomed any questions the Board might have.

Ms. Null asked what the County's income was on this agreement.

Mr. Carnifax replied \$100 per year.

Mr. Icenhour indicated there was no Planning Commission report on this item.

Mr. Icenhour opened the Public Hearing.

Mr. Icenhour closed the Public Hearing as there were no public speakers.

I. BOARD CONSIDERATION(S)

None.

J. BOARD REQUESTS AND DIRECTIVES

Mr. Hipple noted he had no report.

Ms. Null noted she attended the Police Department's National Night Out. She expressed positive remarks about the event. She further noted that she attended the 25th Anniversary event for the Williamsburg Indoor Sports Complex (WISC) at Jamestown Beach Event Park. Ms. Null stated she and Ms. Larson attended the grand opening of Wawa on Monticello Avenue. She mentioned the County's Police and Fire Departments had a hoagie-building contest, adding the Police Department won.

Ms. Larson mentioned that despite no meetings in August, the summer was very busy. She reported on her attendance at the VACo Summit and its Regional meetings and explained how those events contributed to the development of the Legislative Agenda. Ms. Larson apologized for missing the Ribbon Cutting Ceremony for Jamestown 4-H Educational Center as it celebrated the restoration of Cabin 1, a beloved space that had been fully rebuilt following significant storm damage. She noted she participated in a Visit Williamsburg Retreat to discuss strategies for boosting tourism, including new products and a long-term vision. Ms. Larson mentioned an upcoming Williamsburg Pancake Festival taking place on the last weekend in September. She recognized Ms. Elise Emanuel, a former Williamsburg-James City County (WJCC) School Board member who had recently passed. Ms. Larson expressed positive remarks of her role in the community. She also recognized Ms. Penny Pulley, President of the Board for the WJCC Schools Foundation, and who recently passed. She offered favorable comments on her role in the community.

Mr. McGlennon noted his attendance at recent VACo events. He mentioned yesterday Ms. Larson had the opportunity to introduce the Honorable Senator Mark Warner at the Housing Summit in Richmond. Mr. McGlennon noted that it had been a difficult summer, marked by numerous losses for Ms. Larson and the Board. He mentioned a former colleague of Ms. Larson's, Mr. Joe Fuentes, WJCC School Board, who had also passed away earlier this summer and the thoughtful remarks she gave at his funeral. Mr. McGlennon noted he also attended Ms. Pulley's funeral and commended Ms. Larson for her eulogy at the service. He expressed positive remarks about her and her role in the community. Mr. McGlennon spoke highly of Ms. Emanuel and her impactful role within the community and her dedicated advocacy for education and the WJCC School Division. He provided more details about Ms. Emanuel. Mr. McGlennon also recognized the recent passing of Ms. Sarah Kadec noting she was a passionate citizen activist who led the James City County Citizens Coalition.

Mr. Icenhour acknowledged that it had been a summer of profound difficulties and losses. He stated he and his wife shared a close relationship with Ms. Emanuel, who was his wife's sorority sister. Mr. Icenhour noted that his family faced challenges in August with multiple surgeries. He thanked Ms. Larson for attending the Wawa grand opening in his absence. Mr.

Icenhour mentioned he attended the 20th anniversary of Bdefined Fitness. He acknowledged the significant amount of work done regarding VACo and thanked Ms. Larson for his appointment to the VACo Finance Committee.

Ms. Larson expressed her desire to thank Mr. Lynch for his attendance at the meeting. She commented that the Housing Summit provided valuable insights and highlighted widespread efforts throughout the Commonwealth to tackle affordable housing issues.

K. REPORTS OF THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Mr. Stevens noted with the Board's support, the County had installed School Speed Zone Cameras. He advised the objective was to reduce vehicle speeds around schools and improve safety. Mr. Stevens indicated the School Speed Zone Cameras were now active and were installed at the following schools Norge, Stonehouse, D.J. Montague, Clara Byrd Baker, James River Elementary Schools, Toano Middle School, and Lafayette High School. He advised that violators who were caught driving 10 mph or more over the speed limit in an active school zone would receive a warning notice for the rest of September. Mr. Stevens remarked that this grace period would end in early October, at which point violators would be issued a \$100 citation. He mentioned as a public reminder that WJCC school buses were also equipped with cameras. Mr. Stevens mentioned a proposal had been made to move the James City County Skate Park to the Warhill Sports Complex, adding a public input session would be held on October 9 at the James City County Recreation Center from 6-8 p.m. He indicated New Town Tunes, a free weekly concert series, started last week. He noted the concerts were held every Wednesday evening, beginning just after 5 p.m. at Sullivan Square, located behind Legacy Hall in New Town. Mr. Stevens encouraged public attendance.

Ms. Larson asked Mr. Icenhour if the Board could take a five-minute recess before entering into Closed Session.

Mr. Icenhour confirmed yes.

At approximately 7:05 p.m., the Board recessed for a short break.

At approximately 7:14 p.m., the Board reconvened.

L. CLOSED SESSION

A motion to Enter a Closed Session was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

At approximately 7:15 p.m., the Board entered Closed Session.

At approximately 8:09 p.m., the Board re-entered Open Session.

A motion to Certify the Board only spoke about those items indicated that it would speak about in Closed Session was made by John McGlennon, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

1. Consideration of a personnel matter, the appointment of individuals to County Boards and/or Commissions, pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(A)(1) of the Code of Virginia

- a. Appointment - Williamsburg Regional Library Board of Trustees

A motion to Appoint Mr. Brad Rinehimer to the Williamsburg Regional Library Board of Trustees for a term that would begin October 1, 2025, and expire September 30, 2029, was made by Ruth Larson, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

b. Appointment - Virginia Peninsula Community College Board

2. Discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose, or of the disposition of publicly held real property, where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body; specifically, a parcel of real property situated in the Berkeley District along Jamestown Road, pursuant to Section 2.2-3711 (A)(3) of the Code of Virginia
3. Discussion of the award of a public contract involving the expenditure of public funds, and discussion of the terms or scope of such contract, where discussion in an open session would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body, pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(A)(29) of the Code of Virginia, and pertaining to the contract for the joint operation of schools between the County and the City of Williamsburg.

4. Certification of Closed Session

M. ADJOURNMENT

1. Adjourn until 1 pm on September 23, 2025 for the Business Meeting

A motion to Adjourn was made by Barbara Null, the motion result was Passed.

AYES: 5 NAYS: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0

Ayes: Hipple, Icenhour Jr, Larson, McGlennon, Null

At approximately 8:10 p.m., Mr. Icenhour adjourned the Board of Supervisors.

Teresa J. Saeed

Deputy Clerk